

Document:		SDS Design Programme		Revision: V72 (Remaini	evision: V72 (Remaining Activities)			DRAFT
Issued by:		SDS (PB)		Responded by:	S Nesbitt / S Dedhar			
Date of Comments:		2011/07/04	Ref.:	Date of Response:	07 July 2011	Doc.no.:		
No.		Comr	ment			Response		
Gene	eral			'				
1.		ted 03 June 2011 with no	this draft version of V72 and previo o explanation – e.g. DCR 0130,	us				
2.	is not yet agree		V73 is overdue (04-07-11) and V7 in issuing a complete and accurate					
3.		nments provided to SDS of board either in V71 or dr						
4.	General – No Variance Statement to V71 that provides traceability and explanations to a global delay of activities by ~ 1 month within 1 month's time.			me.				
5.	General – Finish Date for design activities shown is in November 2011. This is acceptable.			is				
6.		activities are in the past; I	011, but cut off / reference date is e hence, the programme is out-of-dat					
7.		till to be created resp. to	f how many and which SDS design be updated. Please provide clear					
8.	General - Clos	e out of IDR/IDC Actions	not shown. Please advise.					
9.	Programme Ke been removed		rom programme – why have these					

CJV/DM/Honeck ETN Review Comments Form ETN20110706 BSC review comments-ULE90130-SW-PRO-00010 DRAFT V72.docx 1/4



Document:		SDS Design Programme		Revision: V72 (Remaini	evision: V72 (Remaining Activities)			
Issued by:		SDS (PB)		Responded by:	S Nesbitt / S D	oitt / S Dedhar		
Date of Comments:		2011/07/04	Ref.:	Date of Response:	07 July 2011	Doc.no.:		
No.		Comr	nent			Response		
10.	drawings for 22 2010. Please re design is not a	2 Nov 2011. Alignment di eview and advise as this	f Edinburgh Gateway Alignment IF rawings previously issued IFC in Deproposed date for reissue of IFC at an overall design closure as shown met.	ec		7		
11.		8380 shows release of M . Is this in line with overa	fini test Track IFC drawings for issu Il requirements?	е				
12	Activity SDS10	Activity SDS108630 Date to be provided by Siemens on 08 July 2011.						
13	Activity SDS108620 Details have been provided previously to SDS.							
14	Activity SDS107940 Action is with SDS. Info provided. SDS to arrange meeting if required.							
15	Activity A11110	Activity A11110/20 Requirements Management input not provided by SDS.						
16	Activity SDS74560 This whole section is incorrect. SDS did not respond to tie comments pre-contract, but informally issued a DDCfS in Dec 08. This was reviewed in Manchester in June 09 and, as a result, BSC are now driving the process including SDS part. The only activity appropriate for the programme is SDS74840.			he				
17	Activity SDS10900 Additional drawings not seen by BSC.							
18	Activity SDS 10 months now.	04390 SDS has had info	to enable civils design for approx 6					
19	Activities A486	868 etc Why finish 6/6/1						
20	Footer states V	/71 and not V72.						

CJV/DM/Honeck ETN Review Comments Form ETN20110706 BSC review comments-ULE90130-SW-PRO-00010 DRAFT V72.docx 2/4



Document:		SDS Design Programme		Revision: V72 (Remaini	evision: V72 (Remaining Activities)			DRAFT
Issued by:		SDS (PB)		Responded by:	S Nesbitt / S D	bitt / S Dedhar		
Date of Comments:		2011/07/04	Ref.:	Date of Response:	07 July 2011	Doc.no.:		
No.		Comn	nent			Response		
21			wn on programme and related ase review and include within					
22		onth slippage for Rheda e issued 03 June 2011.	ť					
23		reet / Laurie Street designs this been closed out by						
24	Confirm that DCR 0308 includes for both cycleways – why the two month slippage for DCR 0308?							
25	W500 – redesign to be shown on programme as requested previously.							
26	DCR 0277 – why is BAA CCRC Walkway E&B design being issued to CEC for approval. BSC have not seen copy of design issued to CEC for approval – please issue copy for our records and confirm that this has also been issued by SDS to EAL.			d —				
27			s is not acceptable. Please review vithis will be brought back.					
28		ease review in line with S a CEC) approval.	DS – CEC discussions and reflect					
29	Rheda Open of Mini Test Track		shown (or is this covered by "Depo	t				
30	DCR 0265 to b turnback strate		requirement for fully automatic					

CJV/DM/Honeck ETN Review Comments Form ETN20110706 BSC review comments-ULE90130-SW-PRO-00010 DRAFT V72.docx 3/4



Document:		SDS Design Programme		Revision: V72 (Remaining Activities)			Status:	DRAFT
Issue	d by:	SDS (PB)		Responded by:	S Nesbitt / S D	Dedhar	•	
Date of Comments:		2011/07/04	Ref.:	Date of Response:	07 July 2011	Doc.no.:		
No.		Comment			Response			
31	Some items fro		amme against work to complete list shown on programme. SDS to revie					
32		tion submissions to be sh separate Planning Variat						
33	Close out of Sh	nandwick Place walls des						
34	Any remaining tram stop design packages should be shown as separate deliverables.							
35	Final CEC Approvals are not shown as discussed on 01 June 2011 with AD and JCh.							
36	SW Approvals are not shown on programme – SDS to demonstrate how this is being managed if not on programme.			iis				
37	When will the final report for the Gogar Landfill surcharge, confirming trackform change to Rheda Green, be issued by SDS as this is not shown on the programme?			on				
38	Does on-street	trackform (SDS 108480)	cover Line 2 track crossings?					
39	deliverables for		0191 are complete and that all en issued or add to programme to					

CJV/DM/Honeck ETN Review Comments-ULE90130-SW-PRO-00010 DRAFT V72.docx 4/4