## EDINBURGH TRAM PROJECT P80 Risk Allocation Report Current Period End 01-Mar-08

Current Period End Sim Run P80 1A+1B	01-Mar-08	-									Risk Mean Su 22336.45		Total Allocation Phase 1A 26637.90		
WBS Item	Allocated				Impac	t Assess	ment 08 F	nent 08 Feb 2008 Exposure Period		e Period	Sim Run	P80 Risk	sk P80 Risk		
	Risk ID	Cause	Risk Event	Effect	Prob	Curren	t Impact A	ssessmer	nt Start	End	Risk Mean	Allocation	Allocation 1A		
					%	Min	Most	Max			£k	£k			
							Likely								
11.1 Construction	994	The design for the lighting has yet to be approved by CECs Street Lighting section	Additional time or cost could be incurred in relation to the street lighting works	Compliance with their requirements may incur abortive works resulting in additional cost and delay to programme	17.50%		12.5		29-Aug-07	31-Oct-08	2.19	3.03	2.43	Design & Consents	
2 PROCUREMENT CONSULTANT	44	SDS contractor does not deliver the required prior approval consents before novation	Late prior approval consents	Delay to programme with additional resource costs and delay to Infraco. procurement. Impact upon risk balance.	50.00%	900	1800	2700	30-Jun-06	30-Nov-08	897.11	1243.68	1119.32	Design & Consents	
3 DESIGN	336	Adequate scope and extent of noise and vibration prevention measures/requirements are not provided to SDS, Specifications relating o Tram noise provided by Tramco are optimistic.	Design assumptions lead to Tram noise and vibration measures being inadequate during operation	Tram design requires to be re- worked; Post construction elements need to be adjusted or re- constructed or additional noise and vibration measures need to be incorporated.		100		1000	01-Jan-07	31-Jan-11	53.22	73.79	59.03	Design & Consents	
5 PARLIAMENTARY PROCESS/ APPROVALS	271	Inadequate quality of submission of approval. Partial submission of package. Programme compression. Lack of CEC resources.	Failure to process prior approvals applications within 8 weeks	Delay and disruption to Infraco programme	80.00%	750	750	1000	03-Jan-06	29-Aug-08	667.20	924.95	924.95	Design & Consents	
5 PARLIAMENTARY PROCESS/ APPROVALS	990	SDS are behind programme with design review certificates and tie have decided not to extend programme period to account for this.	CEC carry financial impact of uncertified designs provided to Infraco	Modifications required to the designs post-contract award resulting in additional costs	50.00%	500	750	1000	13-Aug-07	31-May-08	375.52	520.59	416.47	Design & Consents	
7.3 Infraco	279		Third party consents including Network Rail, CEC Planning, CEC Roads Department, Historic Scotland, Building Fixing Owner consent is denied or delayed	Delay to programme; Risk transfer response by bidders is to return risk to tie; Increased out-turn cost if transferred and also as a result of any delay due to inflation.	50.00%		1250		03-Jul-06	31-Dec-09	625.00	866.45	779.80	Design & Consents	
1 GENERAL/OVERALL	169	Concurrent major projects in Edinburgh	Other major projects in Edinburgh interface with Tram	Delay in sequence in certain areas, Additional interface project management costs.	50.00%	100	300	500	01-Mar-07	31-Dec-10	150.08	208.05	166.44	General Programme Delay	
1.7 Miscellaneous	343	General delay to programme with various causes e.g. failure to obtain approvals on time; parliamentary processes, delays due to lack of prioritisation of BAA agreement with new owners	Delay to completion of project	Inflation at 5% causes increased out-turn cost due to delay plus revenue loss	40.00%	6000	12000	18500	31-Dec-10	31-Dec-11	4769.10	6361.58	5187.27	General Programme Delay	
7.1.3 Depot	974	Inaccurate Topo Survey results	Increase in levels of Spoil Excavation	Increased Cost & Programme extension	25.00%	100	300	500	14-May-07	24-Aug-08	75.04	104.03	104.03	Infraco Delivery	
7.1.3 Depot	981	Existing Spoil Site Unable to accept future spoil	Increase in the Lothian Valuation Joint Board rateable value of the spoil site		80.00%	0	25	50	19-Jul-07	31-Mar-08	19.95	27.66	27.66	Infraco Delivery	
7.1.3 Depot	876	Agreement with SEPA to use Gravity Drain Proposal	Gravity Drain Proposal	Cost & time saving	79.50%	12.5	12.5	12.5	19-Mar-08	08-Jul-08	9.94	13.78	13.78	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	952		Uncertainty about extent of construction works required on road network relating to Wide Area Modelling issues.	Potential claim from SDS to deal with additional design work; Potential construction costs to deal with WAM issues (difficult to quantify without design) over and above those already included.	95.00%	0		3000	03-Jul-06	24-Sep-08	1421.78	1971.05	1971.05	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	931	Utilities assets uncovered during construction that were not previously accounted for; unidentified abandoned utilities assets; known redundant utilities; unknown live utilities; unknown redundant utilities.	Unknown or abandoned assets impacts scope of Infraco work	Re-design and delay as investigation takes place and solution implemented; Increase in Capex cost as a result of additional works.	90.00%	500		1000	01-Oct-07	31-Jul-10	674.25	934.73	747.78	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	172	unstable ground (unlicensed tip) has	Tramway runs through area of possible contamination and special foundation is required to cope with unstable ground	Increase in costs to provide special foundation solution	95.00%	100	200	300	01-Jan-07	31-Jul-08	190.18	263.65	263.65	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	105	Encountering archaeological finds/burials/munitions during construction	Exhumation of archaeological finds/burials	Delay in construction programme	85.00%	0	150	500	28-Sep-07	31-Jul-10	184.74	256.11	230.50	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	318	Failure to make arrangements with Utilities for the phasing of necessary connections; Utility Company operational constraints	Utility connections cannot proceed as planned	Potential delay to start of Infraco works in certain sections	50.00%	100		500	04-Apr-07	31-Jan-09	149.81	207.69	166.15	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	173	Uncertainty over extent of contaminated land/hazardous materials on route	Tramway runs through area of previously unidentified contamination/hazardous materials and material requires to be removed and replaced (dig and dump).	Increase in costs to remove material to special and other tip.	50.00%	1500	6000	8000	29-Sep-06	31-Jul-10	2599.25	3603.39	3243.05	Infraco Delivery	
7.3 Infraco	865	Buildings contain asbestos that was not uncovered during surveys	Asbestos found during demolition works and excavations for construction	Cost and delay during investigation and removal	90.00%	60		150	01-Jan-08	31-Mar-09	94.39	130.85	104.68	Infraco Delivery	

## EDINBURGH TRAM PROJECT P80 Risk Allocation Report Current Period End 01-Mar-08

Sim Run P80 1A+1B 30965.44 £k

Risk Mean Sum 22336.45 £k

Total Allocation
Phase 1A
26637.90

WBS Item	Allocated	d Risks			Impac	t Assess	ment 08 F	Feb 2008	Exposur	e Period	Sim Run	P80 Risk	P80 Risk	
	Risk ID	Cause	Risk Event	Effect	Prob	Current	Impact A	Assessmer	nt Start	End	Risk Mean	Allocation	Allocation 1A	
					0/2	Min	Most	Max	+		£k	£k		
					/6		Likely	IVIAX			_r	_K		
1.1 Land & Property	352	Increase in land values	Higher land compensation claims than	Additional uplift on compensation	30.00%	0		4500	05-Mar-07	31-Dec-10	684.66	949.15	949.15	Land compensation
1.1 Land & Property	10	Costs of obtaining access rights are unknown	anticipated Cost associated with obtaining wayleaves	Increased legal costs relating to obtaining wayleaves	40.00%	50	200	500	02-Apr-07	25-Oct-08	99.84	138.41	138.41	Land compensatio
7.2 MUDFA/Utilities	164	Utilities assets uncovered during construction that were not previously accounted for; unidentified abandoned utilities assets; asbestos found in excavation for utilities diversion; unknown cellars and basements intrude into works area; other physical job	Unknown or abandoned assets or unforeseen/contaminated ground conditions affect scope of MUDFA work.	Re-design and delay as	95.00%	1000	3000	6000	02-Apr-07	31-Dec-08	3171.30	4396.43	4176.61	MUDF.
7.2 MUDFA/Utilities	139	Utilities diversion outline specification only from plans	Uncertainty of Utilities location and consequently required diversion work/ unforeseen utility services within LoD	Increase in MUDFA costs or delays as a result of carrying out more diversions than estimated	90.00%	0	1200	2400	02-Apr-07	31-Dec-08	1081.92	1499.89	1424.90	MUDF/
7.2 MUDFA/Utilities	342	Tram alignment at A8 crossing at Gogar co-incides BT data nests/cable (main coms link between Glasgow and Edinburgh) and sewer	A8 crossing tunnel requires special design or BT data nest/cables require to be moved or solution to sewer to be engineered		80.00%	1000	1250	1500	04-Apr-07	30-Sep-08	1000.65	1387.22	1387.22	MUDFA
7.2 MUDFA/Utilities	914	Required approval/acceptance turnaround time does not reflect SUC standard practice; SUCs do not have enough resource or process capability to achieve 20 day turnaround	design approval/acceptance turnaround time to meet programme	Additional period required for design approval/acceptance turnaround	95.00%		880		02-Mar-07	31-Dec-08	836.00	1158.96	1101.01	MUDF/
7.2 MUDFA/Utilities	911	Scottish Power own and maintain a cable tunnel in the vicinity of Leith Walk that may or may not interfere with Tram construction and operation; exact location and depth of tunnel is unknown; condition of tunnel is unknown.	Presence of Scottish Power tunnel in Leith Walk requires radical solution	Tunnel may have to be decommissioned and re-laid in a more suitable location; tram alignment may require to be adjusted; special foundation solution e.g. cantilever may be required; increased capex; potential for tunnel collapse during operation and	80.00%	400	500	600	02-Apr-07	31-Oct-08	400.00	554.53	554.53	MUDFA
1.3.1 NR Immunisation	932	Information handed over in draft	SDS gives wrong or insufficient information		5.00%	100	300	500	02-Apr-07	30-Oct-09	15.53	21.53	21.53	Network Rai
Project		format as part of continual design development; Downstream Tram design change that impacts on requirements; Zone of interference not defined adequately.	to Network Rail	inappropriately for final Tram requirements; Network Rail are unable to complete their design in time to meet programme; Cost to change design; Delay during redesign; Final works are not suitable and consequently Tram canno										
7.3 Infraco	134	Network Rail possessions over and above that estimate are required	Compensation paid to Train Operating Companies	Increased compensation paid to Train Operating Companies	5.00%	500	2000	4000	01-Oct-07	31-Jan-09	108.67	150.66	150.66	Network Rai
7.3 Infraco	115	Network Rail cancels planned	Planned work at interface with Network Rail	Time delay and resulting cost	10.00%	350	750	2000	01-Oct-07	31-Jan-09	105.23	145.88	145.88	Network Rai
11.1 Construction	993	possessions  Due to a terrorism event relating to  Edinburgh Airport or due to the  mitigation of the risk of such an event occurring traffic restrictions introduced in the vicinity of the airport cause unacceptable delays for vehicles accessing and exiting from the sit		increase Delays to construction vehicles could have impact on completion date and cost of construction, delays for car park users or buses could detract from usefulness and viability of facility	2.50%		12.5		01-Oct-07	31-Mar-09	0.31	0.43	0.43	Othe
2 PROCUREMENT CONSULTANT	337	Unsuccessful tenderer challenges procurement process (Tramco or Infraco)	OJEU procurement process is challenged	Possible retender; Delays; Legals costs to deal with challenge	5.00%	0		100	12-Jan-07	30-Oct-08	2.47	3.43	3.43	Othe
2 PROCUREMENT CONSULTANT	76	Introduction of TEL as client	Change of client during works	Delay and cost during re- negotiation of DPOF contract and additional approvals process	5.00%	12.5		12.5	03-Jul-06	30-Oct-08	0.63	0.87	0.69	Othe
2.1 tie Resources	58		Infraco fails to deliver construction quality; latent defects occur during or after Infraco maintenance period	Rework, stakeholder criticism, negative PR, programme delay if quality issue occurs during construction, operations affected by rework, project management costs to deal with issues	10.00%	25	62.5	100	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-16	6.16	8.54	6.83	Othe
2.2 Transdev	888	does not meet Transdev requirements	Transdev refuse to operate system on safety ground or apply overly restrictive procedures that are not directly the responsibility of Infraco (ROGS Competent Person agrees with this)		2.00%	3000	4500	6000	30-Jun-09	31-Dec-10	91.28	126.54	101.23	Othe
2.9 TEL	889	Unsuccessful negotiation. TEL believes costs inflated too much.	Target operating costs for Phase D are not agreed.	undeliverable. Potential to undertake Dispute Resolution to	1.00%		300		04-Jan-10	06-Jan-19	3.00	4.16	3.33	Othe
3 DESIGN	104	Delay in design information release from specialist tram manufacturer	Delay in detailing of stops, trackway, OLE etc for Phase 1B	gain agreement. Time delay and consequent costs	15.00%	0	25	83	01-Jan-07	30-Sep-08	5.45	7.56	0.00	Othe

## EDINBURGH TRAM PROJECT P80 Risk Allocation Report Current Period End 01-Mar-08

Sim Run P80 1A+1B 30965.44 £k

Risk Mean Sum 22336.45 £k

Total Allocation
Phase 1A
26637.90

WBS Item	Allocated	Risks			Impact	Assessr	nent 08 F	eb 2008			Sim Run Risk Mean		P80 Risk Allocation	
	Risk ID	Cause	Risk Event	Effect	Prob	Current	Impact A	ssessment					1A	
					%	Min	Most Likely	Max			£k	£k		
3 DESIGN	162	Land is not acquired yet	Gaining access to land prior to purchase for advanced works	Increased management costs and delays to design	10.00%	0		30	02-Apr-07	28-Sep-08	1.46	2.03	1.62	Oth
7.1.1 Invasive Species	869	Surveying team unable to obtain access to Network Rail, BAA and other privately owned land because they were not cleared to access this land (including PTS).		, ,	17.50%		20		17-Apr-07	01-Apr-09	3.50	4.85	4.85	Othe
7.1.1 Invasive Species	879	Contractor is unable to get access to worksite due to access route being outside LOD and owned by others		Programme Delay; contractor refuses to take ownership of risk 869 or includes high contingency in tender to allow for.	10.00%	0	10	20	12-Mar-07	01-Apr-09	0.96	1.34	0.04	Othe
7.1.2 Badger Relocation	894	Ineffective/Inappropriate Proposals; new setts must be built before old ones can be closed and licenses will not be issued until nearer time of closure; animals must have settled in new home before closure of old one can take place	Roseburn Badger Proposals for closure of old setts not approved by SNH	Delay in accessing land to construct Tram works and hence in Programme	17.50%	0	12.5	25	01-Oct-08	28-Nov-08	2.19	3.03	0.00	Otho
7.1.2 Badger Relocation	883	Ineffective/Inappropriate Proposals; new setts must be built before old ones can be closed and licenses will not be issued until nearer time of closure; animals must have settled in new home before closure of old one can take place	Gogarburn Badger/Otter Proposals for closure of old setts not approved by SNH/SEERAD	Delay in accessing land to construct Tram works and hence in Programme	10.00%	0	12.5	25	01-Oct-07	30-Oct-08	1.27	1.76	1.76	Othe
5 PARLIAMENTARY PROCESS/ APPROVALS	977		large number of public objections and/or a legal challenge to using a TTRO to construct	Requirement to start construction using TTROs	90.00%		750		18-Jun-07	31-Dec-09	675.00	935.76	935.76	TRO
											-			

	27,938	22336.45			
		Mean Sum = p50			
Infraco Delivery	6872.31	5419.33			
Design & Consents	3301.99	2620.24			
MUDFA	8644.28	6489.88			
General Programme Delay	6653.66	5999.40			
Land compensation	1087.56	784.50			
TROs	935.76	675.00			
Network Rail	318.06	229.43			
Other	124.22	118.68			
Unspecified Risks (Contingency)	-	-			
	27,938	22,336			