From: Dave Anderson 09 August 2010 19:21 Sent: Richard Jeffrey To:

Cc: Donald McGougan; Alastair Maclean; Jane Turner

RE: Edinburgh trams - STRICTLY PRIVATE AND FOISA EXEMPT. PREPARED IN Subject:

ANTICIPATION OF LITIGATION

Thank you Richard I note that you have decided formally to issue the first of the remediable termination notices, as well as an underperformance warning notice. I am content that you have taken the necessary legal advice prior to the issue of these notices and, whilst the timing of their issue is clearly a judgment call for tie to make - given your closer knowledge of the state of engagement of the consortium in negotiations concerning Project Carlisle - please be assured that tie has my full support for this course of action in view of the demonstrable instances where BSC are in breach of their obligations under the contract. Best regards. Dave

Dave Anderson | Director of City Development | The City of Edinburgh Council | G1 Waverley Court | 4 East Market Street | Edinburgh EH8 8BG | dave.anderson@edinburgh.gov.uk

Find out all you need to know about living, investing, visiting and studying in the Edinburgh City Region at www.edinburgh-inspiringcapital.com

From: Richard Jeffrey [mailto:Richard.Jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk]

Sent: 09 August 2010 17:03

To: Dave Anderson

Cc: Donald McGougan; Alastair Maclean

Subject: RE: Edinburgh trams - STRICTLY PRIVATE AND FOISA EXEMPT, PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF

LITIGATION

Dave, further to our discussions of last week and my note (below), following a final check by Richard Keen QC, we have today issued the first (of several planned) Remediable Termination Notices under 90.1.2 and an Underperformance Warning Notice under 56.7.

Regards

Richard

From: Richard Jeffrey Sent: 04 August 2010 11:48

To: 'Dave Anderson' Cc: 'Donald McGougan'

Subject: Edinburgh trams - STRICTLY PRIVATE AND FOISA EXEMPT, PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF LITIGATION

STRICTLY PRIVATE AND AND FOISA EXEMPT, PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF LITIGATION

Dear Dave,

Project update and strategy going forwards

I write to you in your capacity as Senior Responsible Officer in the council. Given the extreme sensitivity of the subject matter I have not circulated this note to the board. I have discussed its contents with David Middleton at Transport Scotland this morning. The contents reflect our discussion yesterday.

We have now had chance to analyse the proposal received from BSC last Thursday night. I do believe that, like us, BSC feel that project Carlisle represents the best way forward, but I am unconvinced that they are prepared to approach this on anything other than their terms. The proposal ignores many of the criteria which were agreed with Ed Kitzman as the basis for their proposal and is more of a 'claim' than a serious proposal to reach a sensible settlement against a reduced scope. They have however indicated a strong willingness to negotiate.

Most importantly, I do not believe the proposal represents a fundamental change in approach by BSC. We can speculate that this may be driven by their inability to reconcile their corporate position of not wanting to accept any risk and yet at the same time address the real issues on this project.

On the ground, we have seen some pockets of good and improving progress, but overall progress remains unacceptable. We also get no hint that BSC have changed their approach on the important contractual matters.

I have also reflected on the negotiations and discussions that have taken place over the course of this project, the number of 'false dawns' the passage of time and the stakeholder/shareholder need for clarity.

Having further considered all the technical and financial issues and the legitimate extra costs for which we are liable we are still of the opinion that, subject to certain assumptions that I do not consider to be heroic (such as a reasonable contractor acting reasonably), it should be possible to complete Airport to Newhaven for £600m or thereabouts. However the current proposal and approach from BSC is not consistent with this by some considerable margin.

Having also considered BSC's continued failure to respond in any meaningful way to our allegations of breach of contract and our numerous requests for information, and the results of our consultation with Richard Keen QC, we have decided on a course of action as follows;

- We will immediately (this week) start to issue remediable termination notices (90.1.2) on a range of areas
 where we believe BSC are in breach of contract, where we have previously informed BSC of these breaches
 and where we have received no adequate response. This sets in motion a chain of events which may
 ultimately (but not unavoidably) lead to termination of the contract in the event that BSC to not remedy
 their breaches.
- We will also issue a first Underperformance Warning Notice under the contract (56.7) which offers a
 possible alternative route to termination should BSC not address the behaviour underlying their breaches of
 contract.
- In parallel the project Carlisle team will continue discussions with BSC to 'pressure test' their proposal.
- In parallel we will prepare a counter proposal based on our assessment of fair value and which we believe will be consistent with airport to Newhaven for circa £600m
- In parallel we will instigate a further investigation into the evolution of the design and the performance of SDS.

This 5 pronged approach is not risk free, but has been arrived at by answering several simple questions.

- Given all the factors, do we now wish to put in place the first steps which, in the event that BSC do not rectify their breaches may ultimately lead to termination?
 - o If yes, which contractual route(s) offers the best prospect of success
 - o If there is more than one option, are they mutually exclusive
- Have negotiations on Project Carlisle irrevocably broken down
 - o If no, what is the best route(s) for continuing these negotiations
- In any event will we be better informed if we understand better how the design has evolved and what role SDS have played in all of this.

The risks with this approach are that BSC withdraw from any negotiations on Carlisle and/or reduce the rate of onsite progress, but on balance I believe this to be the best way forward. This has been discussed in detail and agreed with the Chairman, my team and my team of specialist advisors, and I believe it is consistent with direction I have been given by the board over a number of meetings. Regards

Richard

Richard Jeffrey

Richard Jeffrey Chief Executive

Edinburgh Trams
Citypoint
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh
EH12 5HD

Tel:

Email: richard.jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk

Find us online (click below):







Fredusion Facebook

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.