From: Nick Smith

Sent: 01 June 2010 15:06

To: Dave Anderson; Donald McGougan; Marshall Poulton

Subject: RJ meeting

Here is a quick summary of our discussions with Richard this afternoon:

- Richard has received a copy of the full 35 page Project Notice 90.1.2 letter from the lawyers. He does not propose to circulate this to CEC at present. I agreed that we were happy to wait until the outcome of Carlisle as we would not serve it until then.
- Richard confirmed that the 90.1.2 letter would definitely not be sent until after 16 June which is the next meeting between Messrs Mackay, Wakeford and Darcy.
- tie are confident in their case re 90.1.2, but are of the view that serving the notice is potentially a commercial point of no return.
- Richard confirmed that positive is too strong a word to describe recent progress. Finely balanced, robust and constructive are better. Richard thinks it's 50:50 at present.
- BB appear not to be engaged fully and are conditioning tie to receive bad news re costs. tie will not receive cost details until 1 July.
- TS have some concerns with the latest update report. They appear to want to distance themselves from decisions and query whether tie have indeed regularly briefed them. Richard thinks this is nonsense.
- Richard has very strong views on the CEC report and its potential to damage things commercially. Marshall and I advised him of the reasons for this. He understands CEC officers responsibilities but wanted to highlight the issue.
- An interim decision on Mudfa Rev 8 is due today. First question to be answered is whether
 it is a valid legal claim.
- Richard would like guidance re a go/no go re works in the North of the city eg Baltic St, Lindsay Road etc.

Hopefully I haven't missed anything but Marshall will no doubt fill any gaps.

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Principal Solicitor
Legal Services Division
City of Edinburgh Council
Level 3, Waverley Court
East Market Street
Edinburgh EH8 8BG

(t) 0131

Please note that I am not in the office on a Monday