From: Anthony Rush [rush_aj@

Sent: 06 May 2010 09:37

To: Richard Jeffrey; Steven Bell

Subject: RE: Project Carlisle

Maybe its best I am seen to be on nobody's side.

From: Richard Jeffrey [mailto:Richard.Jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk]

Sent: 06 May 2010 08:32 **To:** Anthony Rush; Steven Bell **Subject:** RE: Project Carlisle

Guys, (and I really do mean both of you) we are all on the same side and all working towards the same goals. Sometimes it is better to reflect on things rather than react immediately, and sometimes a phone call is better than an e-mail.

We will only achieve a positive outcome if we 'assume good intent' all round.

R

From: Anthony Rush [mailto:rush_aj@

Sent: 06 May 2010 08:28 **To:** Steven Bell; Susan Clark

Cc: 'J Blair Anderson'; Richard Jeffrey; 'Jim Molyneux'; Alastair Richards

Subject: RE: Project Carlisle

I met Flynn and Walker.

Steven - I am making no judgement – I am not being partisan – if Carlisle is to succeed both sides have to accept that there will be no winner and no loser. It is not an inquest – it's an exercise in compromise. Actually I am pleased that what was said bears little resemblance to their correspondence – it shows they are engaging.

Under "discussion" Walker identified the landfill and the Roseburn Viaduct as the only off-street work items to be finalised.

I didn't go into "contaminated land" as I wanted to know what the extent of it is.

Maybe my reaction to Alastair's email was because I was knackered after a long (14 hour) day. But I still stress the <u>importance</u> of getting the terminal point as near to Princes Street as possible.

Tony

From: Steven Bell [mailto:Steven.Bell@tie.ltd.uk]

Sent: 05 May 2010 22:37 **To:** Anthony Rush; Susan Clark

Cc: J Blair Anderson; Richard Jeffrey; Jim Molyneux; Alastair Richards

Subject: RE: Project Carlisle

Tony

I will speak to you on this tomorrow morning.

It is an interesting view.

The way you write this note suggests these issues are all our problem and we have done nothing. The infraco should be proposing options on gogar landfill and we have insisted on an integrated solution which incorporates their trackwork change proposal. They have failed to do so despite several requests. I will be discussing it with M Foerder tomorrow am.

Who did you meet today? Little of what you say is consistent with their correspondence.

Is your question re quantification of contaminated land? Did BSC not tell you about the information they and their designer has?

With regard to Roseburn, I am not aware of the choice you believe CEC have?

Please advise on the geographic area you are interested in. If it is off street - no, if it is on street - yes. On street there will be risks at Haymarket, St Andrews Square to Ocean Drive.

On Terminal point you must have a view that something has gone wrong with Alastair's words. He is crystal clear on section C3- you need infrastructure to york place as i have previously explained at length. Section C2 would need to be constructed to Shandwick Place as noted by Alastair. We could not use Princes St as already built in this phase. My view has not changed on this and it would be appreciated if you would consider the factual arguments.

Happy to pick up with Steve Reynolds once we have the CEC meeting.

Speak tomorrow.

Steven Bell

Edinburgh Tram Project Director

Sent from my Windows Mobile® phone.

From: Anthony Rush <rush_aj

Sent: 05 May 2010 20:34

To: Steven Bell <Steven.Bell@tie.ltd.uk>; Susan Clark <Susan.Clark@tie.ltd.uk>

Cc: J Blair Anderson < blair.anderson1@ Richard Jeffrey < Richard.Jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk>; Jim Molyneux

<jim.molyneux@

Subject: Project Carlisle

Steven/Susan

The following issues arose at my meeting today with BSC:

- We need to resolve crossing the Landfill Site please brief me on this can we use dynamic compaction.
- CEC need to make a decision on Roseburn Viaduct.
- What contaminated land risks are there?
- Are there an substantive risks of unforeseen utilities?
- Terminal Point Siemens will be positive (pleased to see Alastair can accept a St Andrew's Sq option)
- We need another meeting with Steve Reynolds after we have met CEC (soon after) maybe ask MF along.

I am at my desk in the morning.

Tony

This message is confidential and may contain privileged information. If you are not the addressee (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee) any disclosure, reproduction, copying, distribution or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and then

delete it. No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this message or attachments. It is your responsibility to scan for viruses.

Mobile Ø email rush aj@

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.