From: Anthony Rush [rush_aj@

Sent:22 April 2010 20:23To:Fitchie, AndrewSubject:RE: Simpleton's view

Thanks Andrew,

We have another example of the dangers of asking you and Brandon as well as me to comment on what is a management letter. It deeply depresses me. The letter as drafted gives notice for immediate action to be taken.

Tony

From: Fitchie, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Fitchie@dlapiper.com]

Sent: 22 April 2010 19:10

To: Anthony Rush

Subject: Simpleton's view

Private

Tony

I had a lengthy discussion with Richard after you and I spoke. There is <u>no</u> sense/desire to have me reporting in on what Rush is doing. Excuse terseness, in haste and unrehearsed.

I have suggested that there is a 'rules of engagement' discussion and quickly. How those are restated and calibrated is for Richard, David and you - but having spoken to both you and to Richard, I see a growing risk that intellectual energy and vital time that could be productively deployed may be dissipated in miscomms., missing info, missing instructions, misgivings and misfires and then inconclusive bi-laterals about these mishaps - prime examples being the prelims, the Depot instructions and apparently Monday's meeting (and the "cracked" email (curiously I simply thought "Tony at play 'on that one), and the splinter grenade effect of multiple interpretations of comments/debriefs.

If this magnifies, it will be singularly unhelpful (you mentioned the words ' I'd rather go and play golf') for your objectives and methodology- identify and annihilate dross, set up planned and risk-assessed - not risk free - surgery and restoration on affected parts - and for **tie's** needs that is : rapid progress towards the solution, sacrificing some completeness on the initial absolute clarity about how the solution gets nailed ultimately). I have made it plain that the large meeting, related process focus and consensual approach on next steps cannot service what you are trying to do and that I believe that **tie** have to accommodate this. Monday is now in the diary at 8.30am. I had very much hoped that any discussions could come before that. I need to respect that Richard said let it all settle for 48 hours.

The "clean" team proposition requires some open discussion with Richard and David.

In three months you have achieved a massive and effective scenery change; now it is about getting all actors to play their parts. The degree to which this involves a new, hand-picked cast, understudies or better rehearsed original principals is at issue. There is bound to be bruising.

kind regards

Andrew S. Fitchie
Partner, Location Head Finance & Projects

DLA Piper Scotland LLP T: +44 (0)

M: +44 (0)

F: +44 (0)131 242 5562

Please consider the environment before printing my email
