
From: Alan Coyle
Sent: 15 January 2010 23:19
To: Alastair Maclean
Subject: RE: update following the board (Strictly private and commercially confidential and FOISA exempt)

Like your approach. We need a chat.

Sent from my HTC Touch Pro 2 on Vodafone

-----Original Message-----

From: Alastair Maclean <Alastair.Maclean@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 January 2010 20:51
To: Dave Anderson <Dave.Anderson@edinburgh.gov.uk>; Donald McGougan <Donald.McGougan@edinburgh.gov.uk>; Marshall Poulton <Marshall.Poulton@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Cc: Nick Smith <Nick.Smith@edinburgh.gov.uk>; Alan Coyle <Alan.Coyle@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: update following the board (Strictly private and commercially confidential and FOISA exempt)

Chaps

Your call but it probably makes sense for all of us to have a brief sit down, take stock and work out where we want this to go and how to get there.

Happy to get involved and start fighting if that is what we want to achieve.

A

From: Donna Rodger
Sent: Fri 1/15/2010 4:06 PM
To: Alan Coyle; Alastair Maclean
Subject: FW: update following the board (Strictly private and commercially confidential and FOISA exempt)

From: Richard Jeffrey [mailto:Richard.Jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk]
Sent: 14 January 2010 13:50
To: Donald McGougan; Dave Anderson; Marshall Poulton
Cc: david_mackay@[REDACTED]
Subject: update following the board (Strictly private and commercially confidential and FOISA exempt)

Donald, Dave, Marshall,

My understanding from yesterday's board meeting is that everyone, (stakeholders, politicians, board members and management) are increasingly concerned with the ongoing slow progress in both the physical works and the contractual situation. There is also the

ongoing and important issue of trust, even if we get the current issues resolved what confidence can we have that we will not be back here a year from now?

In December the board wholeheartedly endorsed strategy of becoming more commercially aggressive and I updated the board on what we have done in this regard since the last meeting. I also confirmed that we will be continuing with this strategy for the foreseeable future, but that so far it is too early to judge any real impact of this strategy, this will take one or two more board meeting cycles. In any event I do not believe that we should be expecting some transformation in the contractor's behaviour, we will need to 'slug this out' as Dave said, the more aggressive strategy is simply about slugging harder and defending better.

Building on the current strategy, and in light of the increasing concerns everyone has, the board agreed that we need to bring matters to a head and that we would do this at the board meeting after next in March, 8 weeks from now. I welcome this deadline, as I said at the board I don't think it is good for anyone for this to drag on. Even if we do decide the best strategy is to continue slugging it out, then we need everyone to be on board with this and understand the range of possible outcomes.

To ensure that we are ready for this critical board meeting in March I have instigated a 'campaign' approach to the next 8 weeks, making this TIE's top priority, including;

- Identifying 8 separate work streams, each lead by a member of TIE's executive team, each with a clear work plan, timetable and deliverables
 - o Design issues
 - o Programme issues
 - o Audit and possible legal challenges
 - o Supplemental agreement
 - o Defining and analysing the options
 - o Maintaining progress
 - o Financial analysis
 - o Communications
- Allocating Susan Clark as the project manager
- Daily briefings of TIE's senior team.
- A proposed governance structure to keep key players involved and informed

There are a number of things I would welcome you input on, namely

- Do you have any resource we could usefully use (bearing in mind that we must not drop any balls in relation to current progress issues)?
- How do you want to be kept informed? I suggest weekly meetings would be useful

- How do we keep the politicians informed and what do we say when?
- How do we keep Transport Scotland informed, should that be any different to now?
- I intend to present the board with (probably) three options, each analysed and with a recommendation. I would like your buy in to the fact that these are the right three options to be looking at and that there are not others you would like us to consider.
- What criteria and what weight would you place on the evaluation of each option? e.g. how important is each of overall cost, programme, timing (as opposed to programme) certainty, scope, impact on TEL business plan, etc
- Given that all the options, including carrying on as we are have serious implications, what council processes might need to be followed before or after the 10th March board

As you can see from all the above, I wonder if it might be useful to get together early next week to share our plans and exchange ideas on the way forward.

Regards

Richard

Richard Jeffrey

Chief Executive

tie Limited

Citypoint

65 Haymarket Terrace

Edinburgh EH12 5HD

Direct line: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Email: Richard.Jeffrey@tie.ltd.uk <<mailto:Richard.Jeffery@tie.ltd.uk>>

www.edinburghtrams.com <<http://www.edinburghtrams.com/>>

www.tie.ltd <<http://www.tie.ltd/>>

Find out more about Edinburgh Trams online (click below):

ETLogo.jpg <<http://www.edinburghtrams.com/>> 1806347785.png
<<http://www.twitter.com/edinburghtrams>>
http://b.static.ak.fbcdn.net/images/pages/find_us_on_facebook_badge.gif?8:81200
<<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Edinburgh-Trams/108054517028>>

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.
