
From: Jim McEwan
Sent: 18 November 2009 16:25
To: Jim McEwan; Graeme Barclay
Cc: Kevin Gray; Graeme Younger; Michael Blake; Michael O'Connor; Russell Maxwell; Fiona Dunn; Frank McFadden
Subject: RE: Delay & Disruption letters
Attachments: Delay and disruption claim letters Jan 2009 to Nov 2009.xlsx

From: Jim McEwan
Sent: 18 November 2009 16:24
To: Graeme Barclay
Cc: Kevin Gray; Graeme Younger; Michael Blake; Michael O'Connor; Russell Maxwell; Fiona Dunn; Frank McFadden
Subject: Delay & Disruption letters

Guys

Please find attached an extract of the docbase which shows all letters relating to Delay & Disruption dated back to Jan 2009. The colour coding shows white where a response has been made, and yellow where it has not. As can be seen there remain a sizable number to be responded to (please note that the list is up to date as of last week and any responded to since will not be marked as such).

Could you individually review those which have your initials. In the 1st instance I'm looking to understand whether the letter is representing a just case or whether we think the claim is to be refused. In the event that the claim is to be refused I need a brief explanation as to why.

We'll discuss these at 1-1 sessions over the next week.

Many thanks

Jim