

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	MUDFA Contract								
2									
3	Claims and major issues summary with CUS								
4									
5	Major valuation issues (discussed with CUS 5/06/09)								
6					Range of Outcomes following meeting				
7	Issue	Details	Application amount (to April 09)	Certified amount (to April 09)	Worst	Best	Likely	Comments	tie allowance in AFC
8									
9	Indexation	CUS claim for indexation on items not relating to contract rates	150,000	100,000	75,000	0	50,000	Indexation applied by CUS to works valued and measured at current prices	50,000
10		CUS claim that the formula for EOT works should be based upon the base index	919,587	700,000	900,000	350,000	700,000	Basis of indexation formulae applicable has been discussed with CUS and is being reviewed by CUS.	850,000
11	Uplift for Extended defects period	CUS claim for all value including prelims claims etc	525,905	313,932	685,000	300,000	495,000		755,940
12									
13	Mass Barrier Hire	CUS claim for all hires at original rate and reduced rate only from 1 year onwards - cannot agree deal to purchase from supplier	837,902	837,902	631,711	535,711	631,711	Agreed that hires should reduce to a lower rate and be retrospectively applied for full hire after 1 year. CUS to agree a discounted purchase price with supplier	837,902
14	Insurance contra charges	CUS do not accept that tie should recover Insurances and third party costs from CUS		-85,000	50,000	0	50,000	85000 deducted from the account to date - expected to rise to 150000. CUS to propose a settlement (expect a 100k proposal from CUS)	-160,000
15	Enabling Works	CUS has not provided full back up for all amounts claimed	6,995,544	6,078,578	6,000,000	4,500,000	5,000,000	CUS has consistently had difficulty in proving proper cost in doing this work	5,088,578
16	Miscellaneous	Measurement/valuation differences which have not been substantiated by CUS (Including foam concrete at £350k, Prelims at £220k, Traffic Management at £130k, Gain Share £107k, delay & disruption claims in valuation)	2,193,959	0	1,800,000	350,000	1,400,000	CUS has consistently failed to provide the substantiation and justification for all the items claimed	1,750,000
17									
18	Claims discussed with CUS on 5/06/09								
19									

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
20	Delay and Disruption claim	Value based D&D claim presented by CUS in Applications. Claim uses logic that CUS should get recovery for all manhours costed to the project at the productivity rate in the tender. This does not properly deal with the issues that tie has such as a) method of procuring labour is not as expected in the contract (in house vs Sub Contract)	5,553,687	1,050,000	5,000,000	1,050,000	2,000,000	Claims extrapolated to end date could be circa £7m	1,050,000
21									
22		Totals	17,176,584	8,995,412	15,141,711	7,085,711	10,326,711		10,222,420