From: Colin MacKenzie

Sent: 13 December 2007 13:23

To: Nick Smith Cc: Alan Squair

Subject: FW: CEC Resolution

Nick,

For information.

Regards,

Colin

----Original Message----

From: Gill Lindsay

Sent: 13 December 2007 13:10

To: Colin MacKenzie

Subject: FW: CEC Resolution

Colin

Can you please ensure that you have constant interface with Tie and their teams and officers here to provide all support between now and Monday mid morning to de risk as agreed and secure completion of all actions as agreed yesterday. Please let me have updates each half day. We should also meet daily for interface and I will set this up for us. Please consider this specific issue. What do you think is the way to resolve.

Gill

----Original Message----

From: Gill Lindsay

Sent: 13 December 2007 13:04

To: 'Fitchie, Andrew'; Graeme Bissett

Cc: Willie Gallagher; Andrew Holmes; david mackay@theraid; Colin MacKenzie; Moffat,

Hazel

Subject: RE: CEC Resolution

Thanks for the note Andrew. I appreciate this is the way which is considered best for certainty for BBS . This could be adopted if we were at a different stage when we were going to Council but we are not at that stage. In terms of audit and governance here the Council must retain a way of satisfying itself before acting. Ideally we would be at Council were everything closed and using Tie governance may have suited in that case. The only way the Council can take this decision is by Council decision or Council delegation. We will not be at the stage of decision in December, particularly as we try to close the risks as agreed. I still consider specific delegation is competent and is in fact the way the Council can properly deal with audit issues.

Do you have advice that this is precluded and if so on what basis.

Another thought is to consider the resolution in December Report as being a decision but a suspensive one which needs the officers to purify.

Suggest we continue to push to resolve this and all other de risking of issues and take a view later in the week on whether we need to consider another plan. Another thought is that the whole matter would likely be reported back to Council or Tram sub Committee in February and we could use this to sweep up any concerns.

Gill

----Original Message----

From: Fitchie, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Fitchie@dlapiper.com]

Sent: 13 December 2007 11:14
To: Gill Lindsay; Graeme Bissett

Cc: Willie Gallagher; Andrew Holmes; david_mackay@theraid; Colin MacKenzie; Moffat,

Hazel

Subject: RE: CEC Resolution

Gill

Thank you for this. Apologies that my thoughts were delivered very late last night. Long day.

I have spoken at some length now with my partner, Hazel Moffat, who is our public law specialist. In summary:

- 1. Appreciate that CEC may have a preference as to whom authority to conclude the contract suite is delegated because of the status of the project.
- 2. DLA Piper's advice to CEC is in essence that the CEC delegation here is one which would be taking place under specific private legislation Section 69(2) of the Tram Acts to be precise. We are not therefore within the normal statutory delegation arena in which the Council operates in order to function using its local government powers. CEC wants tie to enter into contracts to deliver the tram scheme and the powers deployed to authorise this are the Tram Act powers, in CEC's capacity as statutory Authorised Undertaker.

Our concern is that a sub-delegation from Council officers to tie Limited to enter into the contracts might be challenged and there is jurisprudence on this, even within the normal delegation of local government power.

3. Our recommendation is therefore in line with my e-mail last night, that the issue of tie reporting back before contract close is dealt with through the project governance mechanics: under a specific tie Board resolution and the TPB. The resolutions themselves are a delegation under Section 69 (2) of the Tram Acts. to tie Limited, as preciously drafted in outline. We believe that this is the right approach for CEC and it use of powers, leaving aside the issue of what BBS legal team may advise (which we consider the above will satisfy, provided it links to the operating agreement.

If you would wish this, I can arrange for Hazel to call. Please let me know if this will assist.

Kind regards

----Original Message----

From: Gill Lindsay [mailto:Gill.Lindsay@edinburgh.gov.uk]

Sent: 13 December 2007 09:26

To: Fitchie, Andrew; Gill Lindsay; Graeme Bissett

Cc: Willie Gallagher; Andrew Holmes; david_mackay@

Subject: RE: CEC Resolution

Andrew I see the need to be able to fully satisfy BBS but the issue is that the Council are not yet at the stage of the preferred option. At present subject to having enough in place for Monday as we agreed yesterday there would be the specific delegations as we discussed here on Tuesday and at our meeting yesterday. Even though there is a statutory issue here that should not preclude the Council proceeding this way and I do not see it as causing a difficulty. Let me know when you get your opn.

----Original Message---From: "Fitchie, Andrew" <Andrew.Fitchie@dlapiper.com>
To: "Gill Lindsay" <Gill.Lindsay@edinburgh.gov.uk>; "Graeme Bissett"
<graeme.bissett@_______

Cc: "Willie Gallagher" <Willie.Gallagher@tie.ltd.uk>; "Andrew Holmes"
<Andrew.Holmes@edinburgh.gov.uk>; "david_mackay@_____"

<david_mackay@_______>
Sent: 12/12/07 23:51
Subject: CEC Resolution

Gill, Andrew
David
Graeme
Willie

Why I am focused on the notion of a Resolution which delegates the Council powers other than direct to tie Limited:

- * If delegation is , say to Gill, Andrew and Donald, to receive tie's best counsel and declare themselves satisfied, can they, as Council officers, in fact legally pass on the statutory delegation of CEC in its capacity as AU for the Tram Acts -which is what we need to happen. I am getting expert input on this tomorrow morning.
- * If delegation was direct to tie, but had to be perfected by tie satisfying GIll, Andrew and Donald in a transparent and pre-arranged way, does this operate without legal blemish? What would happen, for example, if one of the referees disagreed with tie's approach?

Both the above are likely to be open to probing by BBS in an unhelpful way.

I think the answer is a clean delegation to tie by Resolutions as we envisaged, but the tie Board determines now by extraordinary resolution that it must have the endorsement of the TEL Board and TPB, before authorising Willie to sign up. This gives CEC Officers definitive influence over how tie satisfies CEC and so far as:

The outside world is concerned tie is moving ahead, fully authorised

BBS is concerned they are told that Willie has hoops to go through that they must assist on and at their peril if they do not.

Thoughts welcome.
Andrew Fitchie
Partner, Finance & Projects
DLA Piper Scotland LLP
T:
M:
F: +44 (0)131 242 5562

P Please consider the environment before printing my email

This email is from DLA Piper Scotland LLP. DLA Piper is participating in the Lion's Den Challenge in aid of the Prince's Trust, Scotland. Please visit www.atreefortwocities.com and support our project. The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this e mail is received in error, please contact DLA Piper Scotland LLP on +44 (0) 8700 111111 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it. Please note that neither DLA Piper Scotland LLP nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments. DLA Piper Scotland LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (registered number SO300365), which provides services from offices in Scotland. A list of members is open for inspection at its registered office and principal place of business Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA. Partner denotes member of a limited liability partnership. DLA Piper Scotland LLP is regulated by the Law Society of Scotland and is a member of DLA Piper, a global legal services organisation, the members of which are separate and distinct legal entities. For further information, please refer to www.dlapiper.com.

This email and files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended for the sole use of the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this eMail in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it without using, copying, storing, forwarding or disclosing its contents to any other person.

The Council has endeavoured to scan this eMail message and attachments for computer viruses and will not be liable for any losses incurred by the recipient.

This email is from DLA Piper Scotland LLP.

DLA Piper is participating in the Lion's Den Challenge in aid of the Prince's Trust, Scotland. Please visit www.atreefortwocities.com and support our project.

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this e mail is received in error, please contact DLA Piper Scotland LLP on +44 (0) 8700 111111 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it.

Please note that neither DLA Piper Scotland LLP nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (registered number S0300365), which provides services from offices in Scotland. A list of members is open for inspection at its registered office and principal place of business Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA. Partner denotes member of a limited liability partnership.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is regulated by the Law Society of Scotland and is a member of DLA Piper, a global legal services organisation, the members of which are separate and distinct legal entities. For further information, please refer to www.dlapiper.com.
