From: Colin MacKenzie [Colin.MacKenzie@edinburgh.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 January 2008 15:34
To: Susan Clark; Alasdair Sim

Cc: Alan Coyle; Rebecca Andrew; Duncan Fraser - CEC; Andy Conway - CEC; Stephen

Sladdin - CEC; Gill Lindsay; Alan Squair; Nick Smith

Subject: FW: EDINBURGH TRAM: CONTRACT DELIVERABLES

Importance: High

Susan and Alasdair,

I have met with colleagues from Finance and City Development to discuss the Deliverables Paper which you drafted. Following that meeting I am now in a position to forward the Council's requirements.

1. Contract

All contract terms finalised and ready to be signed. This will require to be supported by a letter from DLA to the Council Solicitor, together with updated Risk Allocation Matrices. An explanation of the risk profile will be required, so as to give comfort to the Chief Executive before the Council executes the Guarantee in respect of **tie's** financial obligations.

tie to provide a list of what is not included within the BBS contract (i.e. the items which BBS have specifically excluded) with a financial value against each item.

Novation agreements completed and ready to be signed off.

CEC Guarantee agreed with BBS and ready for sign off.

Due Diligence on approvals signatures for Infraco and Tramco.

Operating Agreements for tie and TEL signed off.

tie to advise on current status of MUDFA contract and whether it has potential to hold up BBS contract and result in increase in costs.

2. Programme

Confirmed dates for 1a and 1b and understanding of programme risk.

Agreement of On-Street Construction Methodology as agreed amongst **tie**, BBS, CEC and TEL. The Council has serious concerns about closure periods. **tie** to produce cost implications for best and worst case scenarios relating to on-street construction and methodologies.

3. Employers' Requirements

tie to provide written summary to CEC of Employers' Requirements, including detailed scope of the Tram Works.

4. Due Diligence

Statement from the Preferred Bidder that they accept the performance run-time model and "law of physics" results and confirmation of acceptance of the emerging quality of design.

5. Risk

There is a need for full transparency within the QRA, therefore

tie to produce a summary statement on QRA, with details as follows:-

- (a) Black flag risks: what is the likelihood of any of these risks occurring? What is **tie's** strategy to avoid said risks materialising? What is the cost of exiting from a Black Flag item?
- (b) Details of the risk management strategy for the key risks. It is not sufficient to say that there is cash in the risk allocation, as this is not considered enough if all risks were to materialise.
- (c) Detailed analysis of programme risk. Confirmation of the risk allowance for programme delay. Detail of items on critical path and what is being done to ensure they do not cause (further) delay.

tie to produce a written statement to CEC in respect of each risk item how that stood at 25 October 2007 compared with January 2008, and an explanation of how these items have changed in cash value.

6. Value Engineering

Statement on VE included in the final deal and other opportunities still available to the Project. This statement should explain the likelihood for realising opportunities: each item to have a measure of probability applied to it.

7. Pricing

The Council requires a detailed analysis of prices, costs and risks allowance. **tie** required to explain how prices for maintenance, etc. impact on operating cost assumptions.

Cross refer to paragraph 1 above re exclusions from contract by BBS.

Otherwise statement on % of costs fixed and % outstanding as provisional sums with programme for moving these to fixed costs.

8. Funding

See requirements in paragraph 7 above.

9. NR Assurances

Full statement from **tie** on current status of every proposed agreement between CEC and NR, including Depot and Station Change Procedures. Full risk analysis in respect of each agreement explaining consequences for CEC in terms of time and cost relative to any delays in concluding agreements. This analysis to cross refer to BBS programme.

NR is believed to be contracting with third parties re other works at the Depot. Risk analysis to be provided regarding impact on BBS contract (time and cost) arising from late completion of NR works.

tie to produce Plan B to take account of any delays in achieving agreement with NR on all matters, including Caley Ale House, Lift and Shift and Immunisation. This to be included in QRA report.

Minimum requirement that APA is signed by NR before authority is given to tie to conclude contract with BBS.

Minimum requirement that **tie** obtain written confirmation from First Scotrail (and from other TOCs in respect of Station Change) that they are not objecting to Depot and Station Change.

10. SDS Assurances

Full written explanation of SDS Novation to be provided by **tie**, including risks of failing to deliver design: will this lead to an extension of time claim and additional costs payable to BBS?

Full details are required from **tie** on status and degree of completion of SDS design work as at 14 January 2008, including prior and technical approvals. If approvals risk is not being transferred to BBS the Council needs to know the impact and likelihood of the risks and a strategy for managing the risks.

tie to confirm that public sector (**tie** and CEC) do not pick up cost of any delays in Planning Authority or Roads Authority processing prior and technical approvals.

tie to provide written report on previous claim settlement with SDS identifying details, cause of claim and costs of settlement. Are any further claims expected from SDS? Are any further claims from SDS competent?

11. Funding Letter

Terms to be agreed between CEC and Transport Scotland by Financial Close, with appropriate support from tie.

12. Third Party Agreements

tie to provide status report on all Third Party Agreements.

tie to confirm in writing that all Third Party Agreements were disclosed to BBS, and that BBS final price reflects them complying fully with all said agreements.

tie to report on status of agreements with Telewest and Scottish Power: are agreements needed? If not, why not and any risks to CEC to be identified and quantified.

13. Lease between CEC and tie

To be concluded before Financial Close.

14. Land Acquisition

tie to provide a full statement on land acquisition on behalf of CEC.

Has all GVD procedure been completed; if not, what remains to be processed?

Is there a perfect match between land acquired by CEC and land required by BBS ? If there is a mis-match, **tie** to explain timetable for delivery of land to BBS, with reference to BBS programme requirements and cost/time implications of any delay in providing land to BBS.

Regards,

Colin MacKenzie for Council Solicitor City Chambers Edinburgh

This email and files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended for the sole use of the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed

If you have received this eMail in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it without using, copying, storing, forwarding or disclosing its contents to any other person.

The Council has endeavoured to scan this eMail message and attachments for computer viruses and will not be liable for any losses incurred by the recipient.
