

---

**From:** Damian Sharp  
**Sent:** 06 February 2008 14:28  
**To:** Chandler, Jason; Scott.McFadzen@bilfinger.co.uk  
**Cc:** Reynolds, Steve; Geoff Gilbert; Bob Dawson; David Crawley; Steven Bell; Valerie Clementson  
**Subject:** RE: Design scope split  
**Attachments:** Edinburgh Scope Schedule - potential SDS BBS split Feb 08 - comparison post 060208 mtg.xls

<b>Tracking:</b>	<b>Recipient</b>	<b>Read</b>
	Chandler, Jason	
	Scott.McFadzen@bilfinger.co.uk	
	Reynolds, Steve	
	Geoff Gilbert	Read: 08/02/2008 10:42
	Bob Dawson	Read: 06/02/2008 14:38
	David Crawley	
	Steven Bell	
	Valerie Clementson	Read: 06/02/2008 14:54

Jason  
Scott

Thanks for the constructive meeting this morning where we made some good progress with the design scope. We managed to conclude a number of the items.

I attach an updated spreadsheet following this morning's meeting.

Actions agreed

- We need to reconvene to go through the rest of the scope – can Val please arrange a session for me, Jason and Scott.
- Discussion between SDS and Siemens in relation to trackform – can Scott please let us know when Siemens representatives could do that in person or by phone
- Discussion on the depot scope split – meeting to involve Bilfinger Berger, Siemens, SDS, tie and possibly TEL and/or depot subcontractor – can Val please set up – can Scott and Jason please help Val by suggesting dates when their teams could be ready for the meeting

Damian

---

**From:** Damian Sharp  
**Sent:** 31 January 2008 15:15  
**To:** Chandler, Jason  
**Cc:** 'Reynolds, Steve'; Geoff Gilbert; Bob Dawson; David Crawley  
**Subject:** Design scope split

Jason

I have received and compared both SDS's and BBS's comments on the draft design scope split that I circulated on 21 January.

The attached spreadsheet sets out both sets of comments. Wherever these are exactly aligned I have filled in the lines grey leaving only those in white where there is some form of difference. That difference might be:

- differing view about which party is best placed to undertake the task
- comment by one party or the other
- one party has not completed the column for who is best placed to undertake the task

You will see that about two-thirds of lines are grey so there is significant agreement. Many of the rest are either activities where SDS has not included a response because the activity is complete or where the comment seems uncontroversial. These should be able to be greyed out very quickly.

That leaves a smaller core of issues that we need to debate and bring to a conclusion.

I would like to facilitate a further meeting between BBS and SDS in the first half of next week to bring this to a conclusion. Could you please confirm when SDS representatives would be available.

Damian

Damian Sharp  
Project Manager

**tie** Limited  
Citypoint  
65 Haymarket Terrace  
Edinburgh EH12 5HD

Tel: 01 [REDACTED]  
Fax: 0131 622 8301

[www.tramsforedinburgh.com](http://www.tramsforedinburgh.com)  
[www.tie.ltd.uk](http://www.tie.ltd.uk)