From: Fitchie, Andrew [Andrew.Fitchie@dlapiper.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2006 16:47

To: Geoff Gilbert Subject: RE: SDS Novation

Geoff

Yes, will walk you through this.

kind regards

**From:** Geoff Gilbert [mailto:Geoff.Gilbert@tie.ltd.uk]

**Sent:** 11 December 2006 16:16

To: Fitchie, Andrew

Subject: FW: SDS Novation

Importance: High

Andrew

Appreciate that I asked you the question on 30<sup>th</sup> Nov after this had been sent, but before I had read this, but Sharon's advice seems to be different to your position. Could you explain - I am confused.

### Regards

Geoff Gilbert - Project Commercial Director TRAM Project

tie limited Verity House 19 Haymarket Yards Edinburgh EH12 5BH

tel mo

**From:** Fitzgerald, Sharon [mailto:Sharon.Fitzgerald@dlapiper.com]

**Sent:** 22 November 2006 17:37

To: Geoff Gilbert

Cc: Fitchie, Andrew; Ailsa McGregor; Bob Dawson

Subject: RE: SDS Novation

Importance: High

## Geoff

Post novation the current contractual linkage is the collateral warranty between SDS and tie. The intention when this was conceived was that all utilities design would be complete but that if there were any problems with that design, then tie would be able to sue SDS under the terms of the collateral warranty. You will note that in the Infraco Contract, the definition of SDS Services does not include the utilities design - therefore, risk for that design was not anticipated to be transferred to the Infraco.

In considering your scenarios set out below, the concept of a partial novation is one which would not represent a true novation and what is/is not transferred to the Infraco would become potentially complex. Most of the obligations in the SDS Agreement would be needed in the contractual relationship with tie and with Infraco so there is not a clear split other than in terms of scope. A novation is different to an assignation/assignment in that a new contract is created on the same terms albeit with a switch of one

contracting party for another - the benefit of novation being the risk transfer in work carried out prior to the novation taking place.

Scenario 2 is feasible provided that the required scope is within that which SDS is already doing and is carried out on the same terms and conditions - we would not want to inadvertently trigger a new procurement under the Procurement Regulations. Another solution would be to amend up the collateral warranty to incorporate the provision of the required scope on the same terms as were originally entered into by tie and SDS Provider. This would, in effect, create a combined warranty for the novated services and a contractual arrangement to continue to provide the required scope on the same commercial terms. Amendments would also be required to the scope in the SDS Agreement and possibly the novation agreement prior to novation. This solution is a half way position between the current scenario and your proposed scenario 2, and has the advantage of all contractual provisions being in the one contract with a contractual obligation on the SDS Provider to execute a collateral warranty in favour of tie. In any event, what is proposed will require to be agreed with the SDS Provider.

Please give me a call if you would like to discuss this further.

# Regards Sharon

Dr Sharon Fitzgerald Associate DLA Piper Scotland LLP T: +4 M: +4 F: +4

From: Geoff Gilbert [mailto:Geoff.Gilbert@tie.ltd.uk]

**Sent:** 22 November 2006 12:56

To: Fitchie, Andrew

Cc: Bob Dawson; Ailsa McGregor

**Subject:** SDS Novation

### Andrew

We are now considering the extent of work that we require SDS to undertake post novation to Infraco. The issues for us is principally access to PB post novation to complete the Utility Diversions designs and possible to support the TRO process.

Before we develop our thoughts further could you advise:-

- 1. Whether the contract can be split by a partial novation of certain scope to Infraco and the retention of the remainder by the Tram Project.
- 2. The implications of creating a separate contract with PB for the retained scope.

If feasible 1 would be preferable as it seems simpler. I am aware that we could have access to PB via the novated total contract. However, this a) gives Infraco too much scope to potentially disrupt progress of our design works b) creates a longer communication chain and c) adds Infraco margin to our SDS cost for no appreciable value added.

### Regards

Geoff Gilbert - Project Commercial Director TRAM Project

tie limited Verity House 19 Haymarket Yards Edinburgh EH12 5BH



#### <HRsize=2 width="100%" align=center>

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.

This email is from DLA Piper Scotland LLP.

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this e mail is received in error, please contact DLA Piper Scotland LLP on +44 (0) 8700 111111 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it.

Please note that neither DLA Piper Scotland LLP nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (registered number S0300365), which provides services from offices in Scotland. A list of members is open for inspection at its registered office and principal place of business Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA. Partner denotes member of a limited liability partnership.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is regulated by the Law Society of Scotland and is a member of DLA Piper, a global legal services organisation, the members of which are separate and distinct legal entities. For further information, please refer to www.dlapiper.com.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Season's Greetings from DLA Piper supporting - Save the Children - Unicef www.savethechildren.com www.unicef.com

\_\_\_\_\_\_

This email is from DLA Piper Scotland LLP.

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this e mail is received in error, please contact DLA Piper Scotland LLP on +44 (0) 8700 111111 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it.

Please note that neither DLA Piper Scotland LLP nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (registered number S0300365), which provides services from offices in Scotland. A list of members is open for inspection at its registered office and principal place of business Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA. Partner denotes member of a limited liability partnership.

DLA Piper Scotland LLP is regulated by the Law Society of Scotland and is a member of DLA Piper, a global legal services organisation, the members of which are separate and distinct legal entities. For further information, please refer to www.dlapiper.com.

\_\_\_\_\_\_