
From: Andie Harper
Sent: 12 February 2007 13:59
To: Geoff Gilbert
Cc: Matthew Crosse
Subject: FW: Requested action from VE Workshop

Geoff

This is another example of the problem we face, would the promoter if he knew we couldn't afford this really insist on centre poles?

Maybe he would use his influence to avoid centre poles if it was the difference of having 1B and not? I realise this is highly sensitive and to a degree a matter of opinion but I feel we have to articulate this challenge to all parties or we may be wasting time and money on the VE process.

Andie

From: Trudi Craggs
Sent: Mon 12/02/2007 13:30
To: Andie Harper; Matthew Crosse
Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Geoff Gilbert
Subject: RE: Requested action from VE Workshop

Andie/Matthew

There was a further workshop with CEC transport and planning last Wednesday to try to close out the charette issues which still haven't gone away/been resolved.

While it is not an requirement of the Edinburgh Park Agreement to not have side poles, it is likely that unless we have side poles we will not get prior approval for the structure. Various options were discussed with CEC who have now agreed that we use the PD as the starting point for the structure rather than re-designing the structure to comply with the original charette outputs. SDS are currently progressing the design and it is likely that we will have to report to the DPD/TPB to get sign off. I don't know what the cost implications are - SDS are considering this as part of their design work. I think that the move to centre poles increases the cost by £500k.

Hope this helps. We're between a rock and a hard place re the issue of obtaining consents so I'm not sure we have much of a bargaining position here other than at the TPB.

Trudi

From: Andie Harper
Sent: Sun 2/11/2007 22:10
To: Matthew Crosse
Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert
Subject: FW: Requested action from VE Workshop

Matthew

Any response yet? Is it a requirement of the Edinburgh Park agreement to not have side poles?

Regards
Andie

From: Andie Harper
Sent: 05 February 2007 15:21
To: Matthew Crosse; Ailsa McGregor

CEC01790901_0001

Cc: Geoff Gilbert; Trudi Craggs

Subject: FW: Requested action from VE Workshop

Dear All

Can you confirm that the position expressed in the attached letter from SDS remains the current status. Have tie accepted the centre pole debate and it's associated £ notes impact?

This issue has been raised at VE workshop.

Your earliest confirmation would be appreciated.

Andie

From: Ennion, Bruce [mailto:EnnionB@pbworld.com]

Sent: Thu 01/02/2007 07:10

To: Andie Harper

Cc: Dolan, Alan

Subject: Requested action from VE Workshop

Andie

Confirmation was sought by you at the VE workshop yesterday as to the situation re ongoing SDS design of the Edinburgh Park Station Viaduct.

I attach a copy of the SDS letter I made reference to in my response to your request.

SDS letter reference 00058 dated 19.01.07 refers and I ask that you note the reference to the increase in project cost as the result of increasing the bridge deck to accommodate centre poles, this being a desire of CEC and NEL identified by them during the design development process.

<<UKPB1-#52730-v1-Letter_to_tie_re_OLE_Configuration_on_Edinburgh_Park_Station_Viaduct_-_19_Jan_07.PDF>>

Regards

Bruce

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

CEC01790901_0002