
From: Geoff Gilbert
Sent: 12 February 2007 22:02
To: Matthew Crosse
Subject: RE: Requested action from VE Workshop

Matthew

I think we need a strategy for boxing our stakeholders into focussing on not 'guiding the lily' and enable us to control costs. first thoughts are:-

1. TS need to unequivocally (at least for now) say to CEC that there is 500m and no more. If out of the detail design process and charettes costs go up then CEC must find the extra.
2. I am meeting CEC's finance people on Friday to talk them through the the estimate and could make tis point to them.
3. The point needs to be made at DPD/TPB that we need to make savings to create the necessary headroom and that we look for CEC to support us in this.

Perhaps we can discuss when I get back to Edinburgh

Regards

Geoff

From: Trudi Craggs
Sent: Mon 12/02/2007 13:30
To: Andie Harper; Matthew Crosse
Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Geoff Gilbert
Subject: RE: Requested action from VE Workshop

Andie/Matthew

There was a further workshop with CEC transport and planning last Wednesday to try to close out the charette issues which still haven't gone away/been resolved.

While it is not an requirement of the Edinburgh Park Agreement to not have side poles, it is likely that unless we have side poles we will not get prior approval for the structure. Various options were discussed with CEC who have now agreed that we use the PD as the starting point for the structure rather than re-designing the structure to comply with the original charette outputs. SDS are currently progressing the design and it is likely that we will have to report to the DPD/TPB to get sign off. I don't know what the cost implications are - SDS are considering this as part of their design work. I think that the move to centre poles increases the cost by £500k.

Hope this helps. We're between a rock and a hard place re the issue of obtaining consents so I'm not sure we have much of a bargaining position here other than at the TPB.

Trudi

From: Andie Harper
Sent: Sun 2/11/2007 22:10
To: Matthew Crosse
Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert
Subject: FW: Requested action from VE Workshop

Matthew

Any response yet? Is it a requirement of the Edinburgh Park agreement to not have side poles?

Regards
Andie

From: Andie Harper
Sent: 05 February 2007 15:21
To: Matthew Crosse; Ailsa McGregor
Cc: Geoff Gilbert; Trudi Craggs
Subject: FW: Requested action from VE Workshop

Dear All

Can you confirm that the position expressed in the attached letter from SDS remains the current status. Have tie accepted the centre pole debate and it's associated £ notes impact?
This issue has been raised at VE workshop.
Your earliest confirmation would be appreciated.

Andie

From: Ennion, Bruce [<mailto:EnnionB@pbworld.com>]
Sent: Thu 01/02/2007 07:10
To: Andie Harper
Cc: Dolan, Alan
Subject: Requested action from VE Workshop

Andie

Confirmation was sought by you at the VE workshop yesterday as to the situation re ongoing SDS design of the Edinburgh Park Station Viaduct.

I attach a copy of the SDS letter I made reference to in my response to your request.

SDS letter reference 00058 dated 19.01.07 refers and I ask that you note the reference to the increase in project cost as the result of increasing the bridge deck to accommodate centre poles, this being a desire of CEC and NEL identified by them during the design development process.

<<UKPB1-#52730-v1-Letter_to_tie_re_OLE_Configuration_on_Edinburgh_Park_Station_Viaduct_-_19_Jan_07.PDF>>

Regards

Bruce

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.