From: Geoff Gilbert

Sent: 24 October 2006 13:51

To: Andie Harper

Cc: Trudi Craggs; Susan Clark; Ailsa McGregor

Subject: FW: Detailed Design Priorities **Attachments:** Detailed Design Priorities.doc

Andie

Enclosed is a copy of the detailed design priority put to Infraco as an 'Aunt Sally' to get their views. This represents my view based on what the bidders have told us in terms of the areas of risk they perceive within the design information provided and is therefore a procurement view to get best value out of the Infraco tender process. As Trudi points out this takes no account of the need to prioritise MUDFA or what CEC's preferences might be.

In the case of MUDFA are we still looking at getting SDS to separately resource the MUDFA detailed design work? Have there been any discussions to this effect?

Also you mentioned rejecting their programme - where are we with this?

Perhaps we could discuss this at our meeting later this afternoon.

Regards

Geoff Gilbert - Project Commercial Director TRAM Project

tie limited Verity House 19 Haymarket Yards Edinburgh EH12 5BH



From: Geoff Gilbert

Sent: 23 October 2006 12:08

To: Richard Walker (richard.walker@bilfinger.co.uk); Gary Dalton (gary.dalton@bilfinger.co.uk); Tim Hunter

(tim.hunter@siemens.com)

Cc: Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs

Subject: Detailed Design Priorities

Richard/Gary/Tim

Further to our recent discussions I enclose our proposed priority for the preparation of detailed design. Could you review this to advise any adjustments to this prioritisation with a view to providing price risk critical design information first. Could you respond by Wednesday 25 Oct so that we can settle this with our designers, PB.

Please call me should you wish to discuss this.

Regards

Geoff Gilbert - Project Commercial Director TRAM Project

tie limited Verity House 19 Haymarket Yards Edinburgh EH12 5BH

tel (