From: Andy Park S&I:TEAR



To: Bill Reeve
Copy: Frances Duffy
Damian Sharp

Damian Sharp John Ramsey Steven McMahon

Note on Edinburgh Tram TEE and PSC results, impacting on NPV, BCR figures

Purpose

To draw attention to a serious issue in the calculation of headline economic values in the Edinburgh Trams business Case (and STAG appraisal).

Priority – High – Action is required by TIE

Background

1. This note refers to the TEE analysis and Public Sector Cost (PSC) tables reported in full in the STAG appraisal (p.154/5 and 202/3) which generate the Net present Value (NPV) and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) results reported in DFBC in section 4.44. The discussion below applies to both the Phase 1A and Phase 1A+1B analysis and, it is assumed, all other BCR and NPV calculations.

Discussion

- 2. As a minor point the impact on TEL revenue and expenditure shown in the PSC calculations should appear under Local rather than Central government impacts.
- 3. The major issue is that in the TEE tables have a zero entry for User charges for both Consumers and Business. This is at odds with the TEL revenue gains and the separate impacts on private sector providers. The Increase in overall expenditure on PT must be reflected in User Charges.
- 4. This correction is complicated in that the User charge figure is an economic welfare measure and will generally not directly match the net (private and TEL) revenue changes. Simplistically, the "rule of a half" applies to new PT trips whilst the actual change in expenditure applies to existing PT users. Some indicative results from the available information are given in Annex A.
- 5. Additionally, it is difficult to determine where the additional revenue comes from. It was understood that the Tram pricing was identical to existing bus services. As such, it would appear that the additional revenue must come from non-PT users but this is difficult to reconcile with information provided within the DFBC and elsewhere.

Implications

6. It is clear that the correction of the error will have a negative impact on the economic case for the Edinburgh Trams. It is difficult to be precise with the information available but best estimates suggest that the BCR for Phase 1a will fall from 1.10 to

the region of 0.63 and the BCR for Phase 1a+1b will fall from 1.63 to the region of 1.16.

Actions required

- 7. The discrepancy in the treatment of User Charges must be explained and corrected.
- 8. An explanation of the TEL revenue figures would be useful.

Annex A

Best - All revenue from	HEAA C	•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ei iule oi	riali '					
TEE		Phase 1A		Likely	Best	Phase 1 A+1 B TIE	Worst	Likely	Best
		TIE	Worst						
User Benefits									
Travel Time		403,135	403,135	403,135	403,135	695,266	695,266	695,266	695,266
User charges		0	-175,703	-158,133	-87,852	0	-226,912	-204,221	-113,456
VOC		26,435	26,435	26,435	26,435	33,691	33,691	33,691	33,691
Sub Total		429,570	253,867	271,437	341,719	728,957	502,045	524,736	615,501
Private Sector Impacts									
Investment costs		-389,880	-389,880	-389,880	-389,880	-460,335	-460,335	-460,335	-460,336
Operating costs	Tram								
	Bus				÷				
	Rail		÷	<u> </u>				÷	 :
Revenues	Tram								
	Bus	9,943	9,943	9,943	9,943	-2,229	-2,229	-2,229	-2,229
	Rail	-54,057	-54,057	-54,057	-54,057	-12,506	-12,506	-12,506	-12,506
	OSP	0				0			
Grant/Subsidy		389,880	389,880	389,880	389,880	460,335	460 335	460,335	460,336
Developer Contribution		0				0			
Sub Total	:	-44,114	-44,114	-44,114	-44,114	-14,735	-14,735	-14,735	-14,735
PVB		385,456	209,753	227,323	297,605	714,222		510,001	600,766
PSC	:	0 70,107			,	,===	171,72.7	,	,
Local Government			:	:			:	:	:
Investment Costs	:	0	0		:	0	0		
Operating and Maintenance	 	0	-120,008	-120,008	-120,008	0	-154.291	-154,291	-154.29
Grant Subsidy payments		0	0			0	0		
Revenues		0	219,817	219,817	219,817	0		241,647	241 647
Indirect tax		0	0			0	0		. =
Central Government									:
Investment Costs		0	0			0	0		
Operating and Maintenance		-120,008	Ŏ	<u> </u>		-154,291	Ŏ	\$!	÷
Grant Subsidy payments			-389,880	-389,880	-389,880	-460,335		-460,335	-460 33:
Revenues		219,817	0			241,647	0	,	
Indirect Tax		-49,486	-49,486	-49,486	-49,486	-63,097	-63,097	-63,097	-63,097
Total	:	-339,557	-339,557	-339,557	-339,557	-436,076		-436,076	
Accidents	:	-11,897	-11,897	-11,897	-11,897	-5,225	-5,225	-5,225	-5,225
TOTAL PVB			197,856	215,426	285,708	708,997		504,776	
NPV	:	34002		-124130.7				68700.2	15946
BCR to government	:	1.10	4					1.16	<u> </u>