

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt

Draft/this spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.
The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to tie/IEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve ranking at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Separation

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
	Infraco	tie	Difference			Total
Milestones						
Construction Milestones	22205	20559	1646			
CAF - Train Supply Milestones	46507	46597	0			
Maintenance Milestones	267	267	0			
SOS	6240	6032	218			
MOV4 - Certificate 1	29600	27000	2600			
MOV4 - Certificate 2	12095	9000	3095			
Certificate No. 43	7727	6160	1567			
MOV4 - Certificates 3A, B and C (yet to be certified)	13000	13000	0			
Prioritised Works Milestones (excluding preliminaries)	22817	22817	0			
Partially completed Construction Milestones	5980	5980	0			
	165098	167612	8584			
McGrigors LLP:						
This figure is from Infraco; tie's figure has therefore been used						
	£000's	£000's	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie				McGrigors LLP:
INTCs agreed in full - work already carried out	5295	5295	0		5295	Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
INTCs agreed, other than progress - work already carried out	5070	4127	952		4903	
	10374	9422	952		9986	
McGrigors LLP:						
Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report						
	£000's	£000's	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie				McGrigors LLP:
INTCs determined through adjudication - work already carried out	3087	2839	248		2963	Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
McGrigors LLP:						
Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report						
	£000's	£000's	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie				McGrigors LLP:
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work already carried out	3120	1589	1531		2355	Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
SOS Uplift	600	445	52		474	
	6707	4876	1831		2829	
McGrigors LLP:						
Higher point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report						
	£000's	£000's	tie alternative	Difference	Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie - principle	figure relating to quantum	(expressed as range)		McGrigors LLP:
Pricing Assumption No.1	2422	61	1259	1,189 - 2,301	1841	See tie's report for the relevant Infraco figure, and tie's alternative quantum figure
Miniflament	648	265	410	458 - 693	620	
Clause 22.6	640	228	433	205 - 411	535	
Miscellaneous	3471	490	2300	1,165 - 1,807	2650	
	7381	1044	4410	3607 - 6172	5897	
McGrigors LLP:						
See tie's report for the relevant Infraco figure, and tie's alternative quantum figure						
	Time based approach		Entitlement based approach			
Preliminaries	47276		10186			
Incentivisation milestone	1200		Progress of works	11980		
Preliminaries in relation to Prioritised Works	6920		Additional cost caused by delay	60000		
	54405			82176		
McGrigors LLP:						
See tie's report for the approach based on the calculation of this figure						
	£000's	£000's	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie				
Mobilisation	48200	31832	16368		45200	45200
McGrigors LLP:						
This figure is reported as tie's assessment in relation to the mobilisation payment, however, it does not, in fact, address that issue						
	£000's	£000's	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
	Infraco	tie				McGrigors LLP:
Additional consequences						These figures have been converted from euros using a rate of £1 = €1.199
CAF + MUDFA claim (INTC 428)	690	690	0		690	
CAF - delay to depot to December 2011	4477	4477	0		4477	
CAF - further claims	409	406	0		409	
Completion of CAF TSA Milestones include in cost to complete to York. Please below	5576	5576	0		5576	
					6676	

CEC02086429_0001

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation: FOISA exempt
Draft/This spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.

The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to the CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infracos claims, that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Separation

Claims by tie	Infraco	tie	Difference	Value to be used for analysis
Liquidated damages	0	0	0	
Defects - Princes Street	Not known	500 - 8000	Not known	0
Consequential loss - Princes Street	0	0	0	-4000
Any other centres	Not known	<1000	0	0
	0	-8000		-4000

McGrigors LLC:
This is a claim by tie,
and is therefore shown
as a negative value

proceeding to York Place	tie	Value to be used for analysis
Cost of another contractor to complete to York Place	184928	
Cost of completing design	10000	
Cost of putting on hold York Place to Newhaven	4543	
199471	199471	199471

OR

putting project on hold	tie	Value to be used for analysis
Cost of putting on hold all outstanding work	12313	
Cost of completing design	10000	
22313	22313	305121 332892

Total - proceeding to York Place	Infraco	tie	Difference	Range of values for analysis
Infraco entitlement	320597	259500	67091	
Plus costs of proceeding to York Place	199471	199471	0	
	520068	468977	67091	282808 310579 199471 199471 482279 510059

Alternative total - whole project put on hold	Infraco	tie	Difference	Range of values for analysis
Infraco entitlement	320597	259503	67091	
Costs of putting project on hold	22313	22313	0	
	348910	281819	67091	282808 310579 22313 22313 305121 332892

Legal costs	3000

CEC02086429_0002

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt

Draft of this spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.

The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to tie/CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Continue with Infraco Contract to York Place

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Milestones						
Construction Milestones	22203	20559	1646			
CAF - Tram Supply Milestones	46597	40997	0			
Maintenance Milestones	267	267	0			
SIDS	6248	6032	216			
MOV4 - Certificate 1	29050	27000	2050			
MOV4 - Certificate 2	12093	0000	3095			
Certificate No. 43	7727	6160	1567			
MOV4 - Certificates 3A, B and C (yet to be certified)	13000	13000	0			
Prioritised Works Milestones (excluding preliminaries)	22817	22817	0			
Partially completed Construction Milestones	5000	0000	0			
	160098	157612	6584		160040	160040

McGrigors LLP:
This figure is taken from Infraco's tie's figure has therefore been used

McGrigors LLP:
In this case, it is assumed that Infraco will comprise the agreed INTCs, and the tie's value will be paid

	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis
INTCs agreed in full - work already carried out	10376	10375	0		10376

	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis
INTCs determined through adjudication - work already carried out	3087	2939	248		
INTCs determined through adjudication + work yet to be carried out	817	1105	-288		
	4004	4004	0		4004

	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work already carried out	3120	1689	1531		2355
SIDS uplift	600	448	52		474
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work yet to be carried out	12212	10274	1938		11243
	15832	12311	3521		14072

INTCs disputed in principle - work already carried out	Infraco	tie	tie alternative figure in quantum	Difference (expressed as range)	Value to be used for analysis
Pricing Assumption No.1	2422	81	1259	1,198 - 2,351	1841
Misalignment	848	255	410	438 - 593	620
Clause 22.6	640	229	435	208 - 411	538
Miscellaneous	3471	499	2306	1,165 - 1,807	2380
	7381	1044	4410	3007 - 6172	5897
INTCs disputed in principle - work yet to be carried out					
Pricing Assumption No.1	18355	3037	9275	8080 - 18355	13815
Misalignment	5914	308	4190	1724 - 5608	5002
Clause 22.5	93	792	-142	(109) - (40)	292
Miscellaneous	6633	24	3734	4899 - 6609	6183
	32805	3631	17057	15504 - 32521	25342

Time based approach			Entitlement based approach		
Preliminaries	47276		Fixed premiums	10186	
Incentivisation milestones	1200		Progress of works	11990	
Preliminaries in relation to Prioritised Works	5929		Additional cost caused by delay	60000	
	54405			82178	
Mobilisation	45200	31832			45200

McGrigors LLP:
This figure is described as tie's assessment in relation to the mobilisation payment, however, it does not, in fact, address that issue

McGrigors LLP:
See report in relation to the approach taken to the calculation of this figure

McGrigors LLP:
In each case, the midway point between Infraco's figure and tie's alternative quantum figure

McGrigors LLP:
In each case, the midway point between Infraco's figure and tie's alternative quantum figure

CEC02086429_0003

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt

Draft/This spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.

The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to before CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim, that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Continue with Infraco Contract to York Place

	Infraco	Tie		Value to be used for analysis
Loss of profit York Place to Newhaven	1938	1938		1938

McGrigors LLP:
Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purposes of this exercise

McGrigors LLP:
These figures have been converted from euros using a rate of £1=€1.159

	Infraco	Tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis
Additional consequences					
CAF - MUDFA claim (INTO 420)	690	690	0		690
CAF - delay to depot to December 2011	4477	4477	0		4477
CAF - further claims	409	409	0		409
Completion of CAF TSA Milestones include in cost to complete to York Place below	5576	5576	0		5576

	Infraco	Tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis
Claims by tie					
Unadjusted damages	0	0	0		0
Defects - Princes Street	Not known	£600-8000	Not known		-4000
Consequential loss - Princes Street	0	0	0		0
Any other contras	Not known	<1000	0		0
	0	-8000	-8000		-4000

McGrigors LLP:
Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purposes of this exercise

McGrigors LLP:
This is a claim by tie, and is therefore shown as a negative value

	Infraco	Tie		Value to be used for analysis
Proceeding to York Place	182706	182706		182706

McGrigors LLP:
Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purposes of this exercise

	Infraco	Tie		Value to be used for analysis
Putting project on hold from York Place to Newhaven	4543	4543		4543

	Infraco	Tie	Difference		Range of values for analysis
Totals - proceeding to York Place					
Infraco entitlement	554279	457334	98945		605688 533328
Plus costs of putting project on hold	4543	4543	0		4543 4543
	668822	461677	96945		510098 537869

Legal costs	4000
-------------	------

CEC02086429_0004

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FO/SA exempt
 Draft/This spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.
 The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to the CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Successful termination

	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	£000's
Milestones						Totals
Construction Milestones	22205	20559	1646			
CAF - Train Supply Milestones	46997	45997	0			
Maintenance Milestones	257	267	0			
SDS	6248	6032	216			
MOV4 - Certificate 1	29080	27000	2080			
MOV4 - Certificate 2	12095	9000	3095			
Certificate No. 43	7727	6160	1567			
MOV4 - Certificates 3A, B and C (yet to be certified)	13000	13000	0			
Prioritised Works Milestones (excluding preliminary)	22817	22817	0			22817
Partially completed Construction Milestones	5680	5600	0			5680
	168096	157612	8584			160040
McGrigors LLP: There is no figure from Infraco; tie's figure has therefore been used						
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	McGrigors LLP: Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
INTCs agreed in full - work already carried out	6295	6295	0		6295	
INTCs agreed, other than progress - work already carried out	6079	4127	952		4603	
	10374	9422	952		9898	9898
McGrigors LLP: Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report						
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	McGrigors LLP: Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
INTCs determined through adjudication - work already carried out	3087	2839	248		2963	2963
McGrigors LLP: Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report						
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	McGrigors LLP: Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work already carried out	3120	1589	1531		2355	
SDS uplift	500	448	52		474	
	6707	4876	1831		2829	2829
McGrigors LLP: In each case, the midway point between Infraco's figure, and tie's alternative quantum figure						
	Infraco	tie - principle	tie alternative figure re quantum	Difference (expressed as range)	Value to be used for analysis	McGrigors LLP: In each case, the midway point between Infraco's figure, and tie's alternative quantum figure
Priming Assumption No.1	2422	61	1259	1,198 - 2,381	1841	
Misalignment	848	255	410	438 - 593	529	
Clause 22.5	640	229	435	206 - 411	538	
Miscellaneous	3471	459	2305	1,165 - 1,007	2889	
	7381	1044		3007 - 5172	5397	5397
McGrigors LLP: tie's report in relation to the approach taken to the calculation of this figure						
McGrigors LLP: Infraco's position is not known; the higher figure has been valued as the basis of their likely approach						
Time based approach				Entitlement based approach		
Preliminaries	47276			Fixed preline	10186	
Incentivisation milestone	1200			Progress of works	11990	
Preliminaries in relation to Prioritised Works	6929			Additional cost caused by delay	60000	
	54405				82176	54405 - 82176
McGrigors LLP: This figure is described as tie's assessment in relation to the mobilisation payment, however, it does not, in fact, address that issue						
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Mobilisation	45200	31832	13368		45200	45200
McGrigors LLP: This figure is described as tie's assessment in relation to the mobilisation payment, however, it does not, in fact, address that issue						
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Additional consequences						
CAF - MUDFA claim (INTC 429)	690	690	0		690	
CAF - delay to depot to December 2011	4477	4477	0		4477	
CAF - further claims	409	409	0		409	
Compilation of CAF TSA Milestones include in cost to complete to York Place below	5576	5576	0		5576	5576

CEC02086429_0005

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt
 Draft This spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.
 The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to the CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Successful termination

	Infraco	tie	Difference	Value to be used for analysis
Claims by tie				
Unliquidated damages	0	0	0	0
Defects - Princes Street	Not Known	500-8000	Not Known	-4000
Consequential loss - Princes Street	0	0	0	0
Any other costs/losses	Not Known	<1000	0	0
	0	-8000	-8000	-4000
				-4000

McGrigors LLP:
 This is a claim by tie, and is therefore shown as a negative value

				Value to be used for analysis
Proceeding to York Place		tie		
Cost of employing another contractor under a new risk profile		184928		
Completing design		10000		
Putting project on hold from York Place to Newhaven		4543		
	199471	199471		199471
				199471

OR

			McGrigors LLP:
Putting project on hold		12313	This value would be paid to another contractor; tie's figure has been used in the absence of actual figures from that contractor
Cost of putting on hold all outstanding work		10000	
Cost of completing design		22313	

	Infraco	tie	Difference	Range of values for analysis
Totals - proceeding to York Place	326597	269506	67091	
Infraco entitlement				
Plus costs of proceeding to York Place	199471	199471	0	282808 310579 169471 199471 482278 510050

	Infraco	tie	Difference	Range of values for analysis
Alternative total - whole project put on hold	326597	269506	67091	
Infraco entitlement				
Costs of putting project on hold	22313	22313	0	262608 310579 22313 22313 305121 332892

			4000
Legal costs			4000

CEC02086429_0006

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt

Draft of this spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it
 The approach taken to the assessment of the options in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to the CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Unsuccessful termination

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Milestones						
Construction Milestones	22205	20559	1646			
CAF - Tram Supply Milestones	48997	46907	0			
Maintenances Milestones	287	287	0			
SOS/M	6248	6032	216			
MOV4 - Certificate 1	29060	27000	2060			27000
MOV4 - Certificate 2	12065	5000	3065			9000
Certificate No. 43	7727	8160	1537			7727
MOV4 - Certificates 3A, B and C (yet to be certified)	13000	13000	0			13000
Promised Works Milestones (excluding preliminaries)	22817	22817	0			22817
Partially completed Construction Milestones	5080	5080	0			5080
	166986	167612	6534			166040

McGrigors LLP:
 There is no figure from Infraco; tie's figure has therefore been used

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
INTCs agreed in full - work already carried out	10375	10375	0		10375	10375

McGrigors LLP:
 In this case, it is assumed that Infraco will complete the agreed INTcs, and the fair value will be paid

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
INTCs determined through adjudication - work already carried out	3087	2839	248			
INTCs determined through adjudication - work yet to be carried out	917	1165	-248			
	4004	4004	0		4004	4004

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work already carried out	3120	1589	1531			2358
SD9 uplift	603	448	52			474
INTCs disputed in quantum only - work yet to be carried out	12212	10274	1938			11243
	16532	12311	3521		14072	14072

McGrigors LLP:
 Midway point between tie and Infraco in relation to disputed items - see section 2 of report

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie - principle	tie alternative figure re Quantum	Difference (expressed as range)	Value to be used for analysis	
INTCs disputed in principle - work already carried out	3422	31	1269	1,108 - 2,381	1841	
Pricing Assumption No.1	848	255	410	433 - 603	629	
Misalignment	240	220	426	206 - 411	533	
Clause 22.5	3471	198	2306	1,105 - 1,807	2069	
Miscellaneous				3007 - 6172	6897	
	32888	3631	17341	16804 - 32821	25342	25342

McGrigors LLP:
 In each case, the midway point between Infraco's figure and tie's alternative quantum figure

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Time based approach						
Preliminaries	47278					
Incentivisation milestones	1200					
Preliminaries in relation to Prioritised Works	5920					
	64408				62176	64408 - 62176

McGrigors LLP:
 In each case, the midway point between Infraco and tie's approach

	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	
	Infraco	tie	Difference		Value to be used for analysis	
Mobilisation	45200	31832	13368		45200	45200

McGrigors LLP:
 This figure is described as tie's assessment in relation to the mobilisation payment, however, it does not, in fact, address that issue

CEC02086429_0007

Privileged and confidential - prepared in contemplation of litigation; FOISA exempt

Draft/This spreadsheet requires to be considered in conjunction with the report which incorporates it.

The approach taken to the assessment of the opinions in this report is to arrive at the prudent assessment that should made in relation to the CEC's exposure for the purposes of carrying out a comparison of the consequences of adopting the various options identified. This does not involve arriving at a definitive view of the value and merits of each head of Infraco claim; that could only be achieved following detailed factual, legal and expert analysis. Instead, the approach that has been taken is to build up the commercial components of the various options in order to arrive at a working comparison between them. The outcome of this exercise does not represent the starting point that would be adopted in the context of any negotiations with Infraco, nor does it necessarily reflect the approach that would be taken in the context of any formal dispute resolution proceedings. It provides a context in which to examine a number of potential options in order to provide a basis of comparison between them.

Unsuccessful termination

	Infraco	tie		Value to be used for analysis
Loss of profit York Place to Newhaven	1938	1938		1938
<small>[McGrigors LLP: Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purposes of this exercise]</small>				
Additional consequences	Infraco	tie	Difference	Value to be used for analysis
CAF - MUDFA claim (INTC 428)	690	690	0	690
CAF - delay to depot in December 2011	4477	4477	0	4477
CAF - further claims	409	409	0	409
Compilation of CAF TSA Milestones includes in cost to complete to York Place below	6676	6576	0	5576
				<small>[McGrigors LLP: These figures have been converted from £m to £k]</small>
Claims by tie	Infraco	tie	Difference	Value to be used for analysis
Liquidated damages	0	0	0	0
Defects - Princes Street	Not known	500,8000	Not known	-4000
Consequential loss - Princes Street	0	0	0	0
Any other claims	Not known	<1000	0	-4000
	0	-8000	-8000	-4000
<small>[McGrigors LLP: tie's claim by tie, and is therefore shown as a negative value]</small>				
Proceeding to York Place	Infraco	tie		Value to be used for analysis
	182706	182706	<small>[McGrigors LLP: Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purpose of this exercise]</small>	182706
				182706
Pulling project on hold from York Place to Newhaven	Infraco	tie		Value to be used for analysis
	4543	4543		4543
				4543
<small>[McGrigors LLP: Infraco's figure not known, tie's figure has been utilised for the purposes of this exercise]</small>				
Totals - pro	Infraco	tie	Difference	Range of values for analysis
Infraco entitlement	654270	457334	06645	606566 633326
Plus costs of pulling project on hold	4543	4543	0	4543 4543
	660822	461877	98945	510098 537869
<small>To this total require to be added the costs associated with the delay caused by any period of formal dispute resolution proceedings</small>				
Legal costs				8000

CEC02086429_0008