

DLA PAPER**FILE NOTE**

CLIENT : TIE Limited (310299)
MATTER : Infraco (15)
DATE : [23 February 2011]
REF & FILE NO : AF/CDV/310299/15/UKM/34724427.1

Discussing also with GG:

- Pinsents redraft is the complete clause. This had been accepted in matrix. Procurement problem in even looking at this - nothing to do with 'refinement' - bidder recalibrating.

What is problem? New? To do with the way evaluation of estimate might happen. BB say unfair - GG to review and is meeting Walker to reach conclusion.

GG: important that Infraco cannot just go ahead without tie permission. Control of variation if it has been a mandatory one.

- AF: what happens if [♦] not agreed? tie would need to DRP on basis of GG draft. Okay with this? GG will have session with RW and circle back on it. Drafting to go in and reissue as tie proposal to PM/ BB/ SF to come not JG/ AF.

45 minutes + 15 call

List:

- DM position on Schedule Part 5.
- Schedule 13 part A - B check with Jo on Schedule Part 30.
- Call S Muir re meeting on DRP language.
- GL on authority - get rid of this - already explained [♦] ago to Pinsents and cleared.