From: Chandler, Jason

**Sent:** 21 November 2007 13:46

To: Ney, Scott; Dolan, Alan; Reynolds, Steve Subject: RE: Critical Issues - "flat line on deliverables"

I have looked at this and need some explanation as to what you are trying to do.

As I said on the e mail yesterday, I would concentrate on getting teh design that is overdue across the line instead of analysing the numbers in the past and trying to argue with David. My stance at the meeting last week and this week idf Steve is not there is that you and Alan have enough to do to get what is due/overdue across the line without doing Dave's job for him. He gets a copy of the plan every 4 weeks and has 4 planners to do his waste of time exercises so do not get drawn in to doing their job for them.

When they say things like this normally I refer them to the constant deliberation adn general lack of decision making that has left us where we are. Just rattle through 4th Ports SRU, Pic Place, Ed Park Viaduct, St A Square etc and tell them that we are working out how many days these few alone have cost us and they will soon back off.

My strong advice..... DO NOT GET DRAWN IN TO PANDERING TO THEIR WIMMS - YOU WILL GO MAD AND SPEND THE NEXT 6 MONTHS LOOKING BACK!!!!!!

Get the design across the line and hit the dates and Dave will have nothing to moan about. This and the Approvals and Consents + Assurance statements must be your focus. Steve will fend off Dave, as he has done masterfully to date for the next week and I will help from a far.

regards

Jason

From: Ney, Scott

**Sent:** Tue 20/11/2007 19:19

To: Chandler, Jason

Cc: Jones, Carla; Dolan, Alan

Subject: Critical Issues - "flat line on deliverables"

Jason -

Last week, David Crawley came out with "1000 days" of cumulative delay on the last period on deliverables (one of a couple of liberties tie took with folks not there). Alan and I have begun to try and reconstruct this, but need your assistance to prove / disprove this statement. I have tried to do the summary on the V21 tab, which is the point of reference that David used.

Can you please take a look so we are armed for the meeting this week? Let us know.

Thanks.

<<V21 Variance from V17 Sections 1A 5C 7A.xls>>