From: Ennion, Bruce

Sent: 19 December 2007 10:52 To: Callander, Gordon

Cc: Dolan, Alan; Chandler, Jason; Reynolds, Steve

Subject: EMC & Related Issues

Gordon

Being a recipient of my Email to Simon you will have seen our serious disappointment with the quality and content of the urgently awaited, and now long overdue, Network Rail Asset Report.

We are increasingly very concerned at the performance, or lack of performance, in respect of this and other related issues and seek information from you in respect of a number of aspects in an attempt to protect PB (SDS) from ever increasing criticism from our client.

You are aware that **tie** have resorted to requesting SDS modelling work be carried out by David Bradley - see Colin Kerr Email 21.11.07 to Simon, Les Brunton and yourself.

See also attached copy of **tie** letter dated 22nd November 2007 the receipt of which I consider to be an embarrassment to a company of the standing of PB.

It should also be noted that tie are of the opinion such costs are down to the SDS account



See also the last sentence in this tie letter

I seek your opinion as to the situation PB/SDS are currently faced with including your opinion of the following:-

- Have we been able to answer David's Bradley's questions or are there still outstanding issues see his Email to Simon, Les Brunton and yourself dated 02.12.07 timed at 18.47Hrs.? See also Simons Email to Jon Yarker 14.12.97 timed at 15.14Hrs item 2 refers.
- What is the standing of the set of NR Drawings issued to **tie** and in turn David Bradley as a response to some of his questions?
- Bearing in mind Simons recent insistence that he is allocated a job number and has claimed he was unable to
 progress without one are you able to advise under which job number he attended the NR Meeting in Glasgow,
 visited NR following that meeting as was agreed by him at the meeting and has produced the miscellanea of
 EMC Documents to date?
- Have PB formally requested the RAR (now MIMS or ELIPSE0 within 3Km of the ETN as requested by Simon to Jon Yarker in his Email 14.12.07 timed at 15.14Hrs.If so when and by who to who?
- Have any arrangements been made for the further site visit mentioned in Simons Email Item 5 refers?

As I am sure you will appreciate PB/SDS are now in a very difficult and potentially expensive position and I request you seek a progress report from Simon by return advising us where his two outstanding updated reports stand.

These were declared essential weeks ago and the updates should not take more than a few minutes to accommodate for their immediate issue to **tie**.

Please also be advised that I have been instructed to seek estimates from others to contribute to the production of these urgently required and long outstanding matters. These will be the subject of discussion with you once we receive a response.

Regards

Bruce