From: Brown, Ian

25 April 2008 15:52 Sent: Reynolds, Steve To: Cc: Chandler, Jason Edinburgh Tram Subject:

In response to your E-mail I would advise the following:-

Depot.

Changes

Split of approvals with CEC into 4 deliveries Earthworks/Building/Site/Access Bridge Original intent was for a single application, tie requested split to meet BBS negotiated programme for construction

I am anticipating a further change of accommodation arrangements

A 'bag of worms' I

am delaying this, intending that the instruction be received after novation

Outstanding works at Novation

Resolution of drainage outfalls consultation with Scottish Water

Due to protracted

Builders work details of elements to be identified by BBS (on critical issues list) information needed to complete detailed design awaiting appointment of InfraCo

Suppliers

No issues at this moment

Stops

Changes

RBS (Gogar) stop design change - original design issued Awaiting detailed design from tie/RBS team

Shandwick Place Stop -Move stop and change west end access Change requested

by Planners-- New instruction after submission CEC Planning/Highways

Haymarket Stop- Change to finishes and structure New instructions

from CEC Planners having accepted first version

West Pilton Stop- Moveto permit road egress at stop location New instruction

from CEC contradicting original information given

Craigleith Stop- Change to add cycleway to footpath at stop CEC closure of

original cycle access, and need for new location at stop

Balgreen Road- Revision to path access and landscape to stop Change due to

altered bridge structure (Internal co-ordination issue - maybe!)

Roseburn Stop- Original work with Halcrow Internal scope split

change resulting in more work for PB structural Engs

Picardy Place Stop-revised roads/ stop interface CEC

change (anticipated Planning delay due to scope change)

Outstanding works at Novation

Ocean Terminal Stop Delay due to Forth

Ports consultations

Airport Stop Delayed due to

revised scope from tie, consultations with BAA

SRU alterations to club house Awaiting

instructions from tie

Boundary works

Generally these are picked up during the construction Phase, based upon an initial identification of issues made during the design process. This was not in my original scope, but it is agreed that we are best placed to undertake this work. No costs have been identified and an allowance should be made in the costs to complete. Extent? Difficult to define with any accuracy and to some extent would be based upon the ability of the Contractor to deal with these issues on his own

IDC's

There are still a number to undertake. We have streamlined the process with Tony Bishop and I shall keep input to a minimum.

Approvals

I would imagine there will be responses from review of our proposals from both Planners and tie, which will involve amendments. I would take a view that if well co-ordinated a day per stop/sub could be set aside to undertake the work.

The Depot I am not anticipating any major input unless associated with a change order.

Landscape

We are nearly completed, there only being part of section 7 to finish, and then the IDC process and tidy up on the documentation. Planners comments and any changes should be limited and this is a Halcrow input anyway!

Ongoing issues of concern are the levels of resource needed for the next stage, should novation take place, and the level of detail the Contractor wishes us to reach with Production information (stages Fand G, RIBA stage) this of course will be based upon his procurement strategy. I am aware of the changed specification and perhaps scope changes on the horizon, but do not have the detail. To provide a robust budget for this work, I need to understand scope and deliverables, together with the basis of both the management and team structure. Location would also be useful.

I await your instructions as to where you need our ongoing support, and in the meantime will push to deliver those elements for which we have the information to progress.

Best wishes, lan