

---

**From:** Tony Glazebrook  
**Sent:** 12 March 2007 10:17  
**To:** Daniel Persson  
**Cc:** Trudi Craggs; Gavin Murray; David Crawley  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Daniel,

What I was trying to convey was that our objective should be to get to SDS as early as possible the clearest possible view of requirements on design, be they from CEC or any other source.

The very last thing we should allow to happen is that legitimate (i.e. as opposed to "Preferential Engineering") requirements only become apparent to the designer when he offers a completed design for acceptance.

To that end there is a clear need for discussions to take place as directly as possible between needers and providers. That is something we (tie) should facilitate.

Our new focus is not to do 100% design review, rather to look at carefully selected critical deliverables in packages, each being accompanied by a design assurance pack. At the point when we decide what to review then that would be the point where we'd decide who needed to be involved in that review.

Currently I am unclear what CEC's expectations are for review. If CEC is still thinking of 100% review then there is a great risk of every design being delayed or unreasonably reopened. We (that is David and I with Trudi) need to get clarity on their reasonable expectations asap.

All the best,

Tony

---

**From:** Gavin Murray  
**Sent:** 12 March 2007 09:09  
**To:** Daniel Persson  
**Cc:** Tony Glazebrook; Trudi Craggs  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Daniel

I agree that we need to get documentation over to Council. I think that we should send them a CD of what has already been received and meanwhile organise for the m to access the extranet. I had a discussion with Andy on Friday afternoon which was the first I had realised that they have not been receiving any roads design submissions.

Regards

Gavin Murray  
tie Limited  
Verity House  
19 Haymarket Yards  
Edinburgh EH12 5BH

Tel: [REDACTED]  
Fax: +44 (0) 131 622 8301

Email: [gavin.murray@tie.ltd.uk](mailto:gavin.murray@tie.ltd.uk)  
[www.tie.ltd.uk](http://www.tie.ltd.uk)

for more information on Transport Edinburgh go to: [www.transport-edinburgh.org.uk](http://www.transport-edinburgh.org.uk)

## delivering transport projects

**Did you know ....** Princes Street and other shopping streets will be reborn as trams help to transform our city by creating a more pleasant shopping environment and new opportunities for shopping...on an average Saturday in

Strasbourg, the number of shoppers in the city centre rose from 88,000 to 163,000 after the opening of the two tram lines.

---

**From:** Daniel Persson  
**Sent:** 09 March 2007 16:30  
**To:** Gavin Murray  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

I have had a word with Tony about this. and explained that there was always different solutions to the review process:

- TSS take the full responsibility to incorporate transdev, TEL, CEC into the review
- TSS do a subset of organisations in their review, tie handles the rest
- TSS only do their part and tie pull the other organisations in and amalgamate the responses.

Tonys recommendation is that we let TSS take 100% of the job at this point.  
i guess that CEC don't have access to the extranet? (not according to lindas list)  
so we will have to do a cd with the information or get extranet access to them.

/Daniel

---

**From:** Alex Joannides [mailto:Alex.Joannides@scottwilson.com]  
**Sent:** 09 March 2007 15:05  
**To:** Ailsa McGregor; Gavin Murray; Trudi Craggs; Daniel Persson  
**Cc:** Ray Millar - TSS; David Crawley  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Alisa, Gavin, Trudi and Daniel,

I hate to be the bearer of bad news and a pain; however having discussed this in depth yesterday with the CEC (Andy Conway, Alan Bowen, David Cooper and Alistair Malcolm) and having had it confirmed to me that to date **no detailed design submissions** whatsoever have been issued to CEC for comment I wish to reiterate my point.

We have now received Roads Design Packages for Sections 2A (reviewed and RoR have been issued), 5A and 5C (both currently under review). These should have been issued to CEC in some form for comments. Many more design submissions for other sections for the other disciplines have been submitted by SDS.

I don't believe it's too late involve CEC in the Roads Designs submitted to date and send any additional comments of CEC to SDS (which could even be via the RDWG). As you are well aware, there have been instances where our comments conflict with those of CEC. There are even cases where CEC planning conflicts with CEC transport. Also, I am unaware of whether TEL are being involved in this process.

I am however aware that there are certain changes in the tie management structure being currently implemented. These should not really interfere with the aforementioned documents being issued to CEC or TEL. The fact is that many, if not most of these packages are going to have to be resubmitted by SDS as they have not been approved. What I do not wish to happen and would like to avoid, is for this to be the case again with the CEC approval process. If we can save time and money now, then lets attempt to do so.

That's my rant over.  
Kind Regards,  
Alex

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ailsa McGregor [mailto:Ailsa.McGregor@tie.ltd.uk]  
**Sent:** 07 March 2007 10:23  
**To:** Alex Joannides; Gavin Murray; Trudi Craggs; Daniel Persson  
**Cc:** Ray Millar - TSS; David Crawley  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Alex,

Thanks for your email, Trudi is on holiday this week.

As far as I was aware this was happening and CEC were being issued with the information. Gavin/ Daniel and Trudi were responsible for liaison with CEC and the issuing of the design review information to CEC and ensuring that they respond in the appropriate timescales. It may have been overlooked when Gavin was off.

Daniel / Gavin - please can you issue the appropriate information to CEC and get their comments incorporated into the Roads Record of Review.

Thanks,

Ailsa

---

**From:** Alex Joannides [mailto:Alex.Joannides@scottwilson.com]  
**Sent:** Tue 06/03/2007 16:17  
**To:** Gavin Murray; Ailsa McGregor; Trudi Craggs  
**Cc:** Ray Millar - TSS  
**Subject:** RE: SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Gavin, Ailsa and Trudi,

Quite simply put, w.r.t. the 33 submissions I am currently reviewing (see below, Section 5C); should tie/TSS not be including CEC in some form or other in this process to prevent any objection being raised in the future that could be address now? It would not only smooth the way forward but also have the potential of saving valuable programme time; particularly where the submissions are being returned Level 3 "resubmit". This would give SDS to address both TSS/tie/interdisciplinary comments and those of the CEC.

I would recommend that we issue CEC with, at the very least the road scheme layout drawings (Transport) and the Kerbs, Footways and Paved Areas (Planning) as I do (see below) to the interdisciplinary Lead Reviews. If you have no objections, I shall propose this "advanced" review process to CEC.

Kind Regards,  
Alex

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Alex Joannides  
**Sent:** 06 March 2007 15:37  
**To:** Jim Hunter; Allan Hill; Brian McCall; Stuart Parsons; 'integ@btinternet.com'; Walter McQueen; 'jim.harries@transdevplc.co.uk'; 'roger.jones@transdevplc.co.uk'; 'Knapp.t@interfleet.co.uk'; Andrew Walters; Wendy Owen; 'geoff.duke@tie.ltd.uk'  
**Subject:** SDS Section 5C: Roads Detailed Design Documents and Drawings

Dear All,

Please can you carry out interdisciplinary checks for the following Roads Reviews for Section 5C (see details below my email):  
**(1135) Edinburgh Park to Gogarburn Roads Technical Design Statement:**  
The Technical Design statement is of similar format to the one reviewed (2A) a couple of weeks ago and will prove a useful read in preparation for the drawings.  
Any and all comments will be appreciated.

For interdisciplinary checks, I believe the following drawings would be of most use for your comments:

**(1106) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**  
**(1107) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**  
**(1108) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**

**(1109) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**

**(1110) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**

**(1111) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans**

**(1112) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 204**

**(1113) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 205**

Roger,

You may wish to also look at:

**(1123) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signal Ducting Section 5C Junction 204 and**

**(1124) Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 205** which is incorrectly titled I believe and south side of S.Gyle Broadway will be an uncontrolled crossing (not as shown), as agree last Thursday.

If you can get any comments you may have to me by next Wednesday (14 March), that would be much appreciated.

Kind Regards,

Alex

1,140	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-SW-REP-00073.PDF</a>	Stray Current Management Position Report	2/19/2007 04:36 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 04:40 PM	DEV	6.0	REP	Information
1,139	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-SW-REP-00035.PDF</a>	Proposal for Stray Current Corrosion Control Agreement	2/19/2007 04:36 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 04:42 PM	DEV	1.0	REP	Information
1,138	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-SW-REP-00006.PDF</a>	Code of Practice for Stray Current Corrosion Control	2/19/2007 04:36 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 04:43 PM	DEV	2.0	REP	Information
1,137	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-SW-CND-00003.PDF</a>	Construction Details Signal Head Types	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:59 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,136	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-SW-CND-00002.PDF</a>	Construction Details Kerbing Details	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:58 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,135	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-REP-00201.PDF</a>	Edinburgh Park to Gogarburn Roads Technical Design Statement - Detailed Design	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:06 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,134	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00026.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 26 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:04 AM	DEV	2.0	ADM	Approval
1,133	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00025.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 25 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:03 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,132	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00024.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 24 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:02 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,131	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00023.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 23 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:02 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,130	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00022.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 22 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:01 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,129	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-LTG-00021.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Lighting Layout Plans Section 5C Sheet 21 of 26	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 09:00 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,128	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00966.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Kerbs, Footways and Paved Areas Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:56 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,127	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00963.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Kerbs, Footways and Paved Areas Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:55 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,126	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00962.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Kerbs, Footways and Paved Areas Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:54 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval

1,125	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00961.pdf</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Kerbs, Footways and Paved Areas Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:53 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,124	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00861.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 205	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:52 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,123	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00860.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signal Ducting Section 5C Junction 204	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:49 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,122	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00566.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signs and Road Markings Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:48 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,121	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00563.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signs and Road Markings Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:47 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,120	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00562.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signs and Road Markings Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:46 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,119	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00561.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Traffic Signs and Road Markings Section 5C	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:45 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,118	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00264.pdf</a>	Typical Cross Section Section 5C Gogar Farm Access Road	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/20/2007 08:44 AM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,117	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00263.pdf</a>	Typical Cross Section Section 5C Castle Gogar Access Road	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:52 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,116	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00262.pdf</a>	Typical Cross Section Section 5C South Gyle Broadway	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:51 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,115	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00261.pdf</a>	Typical Cross Section Section 5C Lochside Avenue	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:50 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,114	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00260.pdf</a>	Typical Cross Section Section 5C New Footpath in Edinburgh Park	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:49 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,113	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00161.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 205	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:47 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,112	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00160.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Junction & Crossing Layout Section 5C Junction 204	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:45 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,111	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00026.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:45 PM	DEV	3.0	REP	Approval
1,110	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00025.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:41 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,109	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00024.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:39 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,108	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00023.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:39 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,107	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00022.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:38 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval
1,106	pdf	<a href="#">ULE90130-05-HRL-00021.PDF</a>	Roseburn Junction to Gogarburn Road Scheme Layout Plans	2/19/2007 03:35 PM	Linda Melville	2/19/2007 03:37 PM	DEV	2.0	DWG	Approval

Visit our web site at [www.scottwilson.com](http://www.scottwilson.com)

Privilege and Confidentiality Notice.

This e-mail and any attachments to it are intended only for the party to whom they are addressed. They may contain privileged and/or confidential information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete any digital copies and destroy any paper copies.

Thank you.

Scott Wilson Scotland Ltd

Registered in Scotland: No. 48951

Registered office: 6 Park Circus, Glasgow, G3 6AX. United Kingdom.

---

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs. For more information on a proactive anti-virus service working around the clock, around the globe, visit: <http://www.star.net.uk>

---

`<hr size=2 width="100%" align=center>`

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.

---

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs. For more information on a proactive anti-virus service working around the clock, around the globe, visit: <http://www.star.net.uk>

---