

From: Gregor Roberts
Sent: 11 February 2011 10:59
To: Dennis Murray
Subject: Not for FOISA Strictly P&C - Cyril Sweett comparison

Dennis,

I have a presentation to Vic on Monday RE the Deckchair options spreadsheet. Yesterday you mentioned that we have received some figures from CS which would verify that our figures are 'prudent'.

How do these compare with the QS view below (I would like to be able to expand on the final bullet on the slide if possible):

Incremental Delivery Options Non-Infra Cost Category	Further Costs Forecast - Low £m	Further Costs Forecast - QS View £m	Further Costs Forecast - High £m
Infrastructure – to Haymarket	140.8	144.8	169.2
Infrastructure – to St. Andrews Square	17.4	19.2	20.2
Sub-total to St. Andrews Square	158.2	164.0	189.4
Infrastructure – to Foot of the Walk	30.7	35.1	36.6
Infrastructure – to Newhaven	40.3	45.9	56.0

- 'To Go': Calculated Total Construction Cost *less* BSC evaluation works PTD
- QS view are the figures referred to in documents, although ranges available
- Allowance for 9 months delay cost in Haymarket figures
- Off Street assumes CWP + Changes and contamination allowance (range £4m-8m)
- Prelims allowances (L 22.5%; M 27.5%; H 35%) of Direct Costs
- On Street allowances based on BOQ's for latest IFC's (includes 15% risk allowance)
- Latest Cyril Sweett pricing assessment verifies that QS view prices are prudent

Regards,
Gregor

Gregor Roberts
Finance Director

Edinburgh Trams
Citypoint
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh
EH12 5HD

Tel: (+44) [REDACTED]
Mobile: (+44) [REDACTED]
Email: gregor.roberts@tie.ltd.uk

Find us online (click below):



Moving the capital to a greener future