

### Supplementary Questions for Susan Clark

1. By email dated 5 May 2008 (**CEC01294478**) Mr Hickman circulated a schedule (**CEC01294479**) of potential Notified Departures arising from the mismatch at Infraco contract close between the BBS construction programme and the SDS design programme. What consideration was given within Tie, and by whom, before contract close of the potential Notified Departures identified in that schedule? Was there any discussion within Tie (and, if so, between whom) of these potential Notified Departures? Who within Tie was responsible for quantifying these potential Notified Departures?
2. Of the approximately 78 potential Notified Departures identified in the schedule, eight are stated to have an impact on the programme, seven are stated to have a potential impact on the programme and the rest are stated not to have an impact on the programme. In relation to the potential Notified Departures that are stated not to have an impact on the programme, was that largely as a result of BBS reprogramming their works in order to avoid such an impact?
3. Prior to contract close, was it anticipated that the mismatch between the BBS construction programme and the SDS programme would result in one Notified Departure (e.g. as referred to in the Report on the Infraco Contract Suite, **CEC01338851**, page 4) or multiple Notified Departures (e.g. as listed in Mr Hickman's schedule noted above)?
4. In the event, did the mismatch between the BBS construction programme and the SDS programme result in one INTC (Infraco Notice of Tie Change) or multiple INTCs? We understand, for example, that one INTC was intimated as a result of the mismatch at contract close between the construction and design programmes (see INTC1 – **CEC01288310**) and that further INTCs were issued following each revision of the design programme i.e. revisions 32 to 56 (see e.g. the Infraco Change Register, **BFB00003297**, pages 73, 79, 80, 83, 84, 87 and 89). Is our understanding of matters in that regard correct?

**Supplementary Questions for Susan Clark**

1. As far as I recall we expected there to be one notified departure to deal with the variation between the V26 and V31 programme. The spreadsheet sent by Tom is the quantification of that in terms of individual programme impact. I cannot recall who would have been involved post this email being sent in discussing the impact, however, I recall that before this the team had made an assessment of the likely impact of the move from V26 to V31. Again, it is difficult to recall exactly who was involved in that discussion almost 10 years later. My notes, which I do not have access to, may shed light on that. The impact assessment would have involved looking at the total programme impact and not the individual elements as standalone items.
2. No. In some cases, even though the design programme had slipped that would have no impact on the overall programme as there was sufficient float in the programme items to allow for this.
3. One.
4. It did result in one INTC for the variation between V26 and V31 of the programme. However, post contract additional INTC's as stated were submitted for the slippage of the design programme.