Section	Rem	Page	Document Reference	Hard Copy in Folder	Summary of Content
RTN 10	1	1	INF CORR 6422	Y	On 12 October 2010 tie issued a Remediable Termination Notice (RTN) to the infraco in respect of an (talleged) infraco default relating to Clauses 7.1, 7.2, 11.3 and 11.4
	2	2	25.1.201/KDR/7500	Y	The Infraco responded to that RTN on 22 November 2010 disputing the validity of same and invited tie to
	3	4	ULE 90130-G5-REP-XXXX	N	withdraw the RTN CEC AIP documentation (yet to be received from tie PM)
	4	5	Contract Programme Updated for V31 & Mitigation dated 14/09/2008	Y	Original Contract Programme
	6	6	Period Report No 3-10 & 3-11, to 29 January 2011 Programme Revision 1 tracked for V66 Design Programme and Progress to 21 January 2011" and the	N N	Period Report Programmes from Infraco Period Report 3-10 & 3-11 dated 29 January 2011
			Infraco's "Update Programme updated for V66 Design Programme and Progress to 21 January 2011		
	8	6	INF COSR - ?? 25.1.201/xDr/6791	N N	tie letter rejecting the infraco Rev 03 Programme. Do not have letter. The infraco letter states that this is the programme on which they are recording progress.
	9 10	8	Robin Blois Brooke Draft Report on Gogarburn Retaining Walls. Section 6 Draft 8. INF CORR 5414/AS	N Y	Analysis of Gogarburn Retaining Walls W14C and W14D Germangement letter which states that retaining wall W14B is to be constructed in Phase B of the programme and retaining walls W14C and W14D are to be constructed in Phase C. T
	11	11	SDS Design Delivery Programme V31	N	The "Issue Construction Promings" dates included within the Rev.1 programme are derived from the SDS Design Delivery Programme V31.
	12		Appendices 2 & 5 to the BSC IDC / DAS procedure Appendix A to the BSC Design Management Plan	N	BSC IDC / DAS procedure BSC Design Management Plan
	13	13	SOS Document Transmittal: Numbers UL890130-SW-DTF-D4638 revisions 1 and 2	N Y	The Rev 1 programme the planned date for the issue of the IFC against Gogarburn Retaining Wall (W14C) as being 09/10/2008; the actual issue date was 26/02/2009. Issued in said SDS Doc Transmittal.
	15		tie Drawing Register (as at 25 January 2011) ULE90130-07-RTW-00034 rev4	N N	fie Drawing Register IFC drawing was issued against the Gogarburn Retaining Walls, namely ULE90130-07-RTW-00034 rev4 (on the 31/03/10).
	17		ULE90130-07-RTW-00036 rev4	N	IFC drawing was issued against the Gogarburn Retaining Walls, namely ULE90130-07-RTW-00036 rev4 on the 01/04/09
	18 19	14	ULE90130-07-RTW-00034, 35, 36, 37, 38, 44, 45, 50, and S1 Planning Application No: 11/00061/FUL	N Y	IFC Drawings submitted by the Infraco in Feb 2011. The Planning Application was finally approved by CEC on the 10 March 2011
	20	15	ULE90130-07-LET-00344 25.1.201/IHI/261	Y	SDS letter to the infraco dated 19/06/2008 stated that, as a result of same "there is an alteration to the retaining wall design at Jubilee fload along the Gogar Surn at the rear of the airport block". The infraco in is letter dated 9/07/2008 (which attached the aforementioned SDS letter), reiterated the
>					SDS position that, alterations to the retaining wall at the Jubilee Road along the Gogar Burn would be required in light of the recent workshop meeting.
	22	15	Schedule 44, Appendix 3 of the Contract	Y	The proximity of these works to Edinburgh Airport creates an obligation on the Infraco, pursuant to Schedule 44, Appendix 3 of the Contract
	23 24	15 15	EAL letter ref: KI/DL 1. EAL letter ref: KI/DL 2.	Y	EAL finally lifted its "Flood Objections" in its letter dated 09/03/2011 EAL in its letter dated 09/03/2011 have raised further concerns, that the current design of retaining wall
	25	15	INF CORR 2470/AS	Y	WHAC 'may' present a potential flood risk to the Burnside Road Bridge. tile letter dated 01/10/2009 which stated (amongst other things) that "the completion dates for services diversion works is 30th October 2009 and Burnside Road Relocation is 8th January 2010."
	26	15	INF CORR 3165/AS	Y	MUDFA Utility As-Builts drawings were submitted to the Infraco under cover of tie's letter dated 15/D1/2010
	27	17	EAL/TRAM/KI	Y	Institutions to same in its letter dated 09/03/2011 The drawings, also formed part of the EAL Reviewable Tram Works Data, and EAL confirmed that there were no objections to same in its letter dated 09/03/2011
	28	18 25	License Agreement between EAL and CEC (December 2007) PD.CORR.05758/JS	Y	License Agreement between EAL and CEC (December 2007) With the exception of tie's instruction to the Infraco dated the 23/04/2008) subsequent tie changes to the
			Maria Balaya Magazia		Edinburgh Tramstop do not affect the physical construction of Gogarburn Retaining Wall (W14C)
	30	26	25.1.201/JHI/444 ULE90130-07-LET-00363.	Y	INTC 78 was issued by the Infraco on the 02/09/2008 INTC 078 attached the SOS letter dated 20/08/2008, explaining the changes
	32	27	INF CORR 184	N	tie acknowledged receipt of this Estimate on the 25/09/2008
	33		25.1.201/90c/1785 INF CORR 656	, Y	The Infraco revised its 'Design Only' Estimate for INTC 078 on the 08/01/2009 In response to the altermentated of the interest redated 29/01/2009 authorised the Infraco to proceed with the design works detailed in the validated SDS Design Change Estimate titled "Gogarburn Retaining Wall – Design Only".
	35	27	25.1.201/80c/1491	Y	Design Gray. The infraco in its letter dated 05/02/2009, stated that it would proceed with the design works detailed in the corresponding validated SDS Design Change Estimate, in order to secure progress of the works.
	36	27	INF CORR 723	Y	In its letter dated 09/02/2009 tie responded to the above and stated that it has yet to receive the "construction element" of the Estimate. tie instruct the infrace to implement its dedign for the Airport Klosk.
	38		25.1.201/MRH/1700	Ý	the Infraco responded to the foregoing on the 23/02/2009 and stated that it would be unable to establish the construction scope (and therefore, the subsequent Estimate) until the SOS completed the design for thi
	39	28	INF CORR 2164/GMcG	Y	work. On the 28/08/2009 fie stated that it had still not received the "construction element" of the Estimate for these works and that "it is unacceptable that a period of 1 year has passed without submission of an Estimate."
	40		25.201/80c/3785	Y	The infraco responded to the aforementioned in its letter dated 16/10/2009 summarising its position
	41		25.1.201/i0/2908 INF CORR 2867/GMcG	Y	The Estimate for INTC 155 was provided on 23/06/2009 tie responded in its letter dated 24/11/2009 and stated that the incorporation of INTC 078 was not noted in
	8 5	5 8	25.1.201/jHi/467	Y	the Infraco submission of INTC 155. INTC 80 was issued by the Infraco on the 09/09/2008
	44		25.1.2017/19/407 ULE90130-07-{ET-00369	Y	This INTC was based on an SOS letter to the Infraco dated 03/09/2008, which stated that, with regard to the finish of the retaining wall, "CEC considers Fair Face concrete more in keeping with character of area than Yorkstone Block305 amended the design to suit and the technical approval has since been submitted on this basis".
	45	29	25.1.201/JHi/1198	Y	The Infraco notified tie in its letter dated 18/12/2008 its revised Estimate for the 'Design Only' element, for the changes to the Gogarburn Retaining Wall Finishes in the sum of £4,998.89.
	46	29	INF CORR S83.	Y	tie in its letter dated 13/01/2009 duly authorised the Infraco to proceed with the design works in the validated SDS Design Change Estimate detailed above
	47	30	25.1.201/80c/1304	Y	The infraco in its letter dated 20/01/2009 stated that although it does not agree that the SDS Estimate Preparation costs are not allowed, it will nonetheless proceed with the design works in order to secure groupers of the works.
	48	31	INF CORR 1903/SI	Y	be responded to infrace Estimate in its letter dated 03/08/2009 and stated that notwithstanding that it did not (at this time) accept that the INTC represented a change under the Contract, it nonetheless attached a review of the "measurement and rates" within the Estimate, tie's review valued the 8001 to IFC drawing changes at (885.058.70.
	49	100.00	25.1.201/80c/3785.	Y	the Infraco confirmed in its letter dated 16/10/2009 that the "construction element" of INTC 078 had been subsumed within INTC 155
	50 51		INF CORR 2868/GmcG 25.1.201/MRH/3541	Y	Refer to item 40 above The infraco letter states the reasons why a Notified Departure has occured.
	52		INF CORR 4074 (dated 22/12/2009)	Ý	We subsequently issued a tile Change Order (Nr. 127) for the 'construction element' of the following Gogarbum Retaining Walls namely; W14A, W15A, W15A and W15C in its letter dated 22/12/2009, Footnote (62) shows the date only.
	53	32	INF CORR 5370/GMcG	Y	tie in its letter dated 18/06/2010 issued a further tie Change Order (Nr 166) for changes to the following Gogarburn Retaining Walls namely W148 and W15D for the sum of £12,464.28 (INTC 155b refers).
5	54	33	Revised CEC AIP approvals Further revisions to the CEC AIP procedure	N N	Revised design was approved by the CEC on 12/07/2010 AS to provide AIP information. Information taken from RBB Draft Report. Further revision to CEC AIP.
	56	34	25.1.201/SN/6782	Y	INTC 682 was issued by the Infraco on the 22/09/2010
	57 58	35	25.1.201/SN/7674 25.1.201/MRH/7696	Y	Infraco update on 'Flood Issues' dated 15/12/2010 Infraco Notification of a Compensation Event
	59	35	25.1.201/SN/7806	Y	Infraco letter stating that following discussions with CEC, it confirmed that it cannot sign of f the AIP for DRTW until tie decide on an option (With Accompanying Email)
	60		INF CORR 7179/AS 25.1.201/SN/7984	Y	tie update the Infraco on EAL Flood Position, and confirm CEC AIP approvals. Infraco response to tie position with regard to the Flood Objections at Gogarbum
	62		INF CORR 7277/AS	Ý	tie position on the Flood Objection at Gogarburn as at 04/02/2011. (including accompanying email)