

- Commencement of the viaduct structure commenced one month later than planned. The IFC date was met therefore there was no delay arising
  from this. Late completion of the Ale House demolition accounts for two weeks delay and it may be reasonable to suggested that a further two
  weeks mobilisation would be required (?).
- 3. After three months of progress the Contractor withdrew from the site, apparently because of contractual difficulties he was having with his subcontractor. The Works were abandoned partially complete. There was clearly further work that could have been undertaken. It is understood that the Contractor is seeking to hold tile liable for this delay on the basis of contractual issues associated with "BDDI to IFC". The period of time associated with the processing of this issue is from 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2008 to 11<sup>th</sup> September 2009. Of this period, over 9(?) months is solely attributable to the time the Contractor took to prepare and present his estimate for the claimed tie Change. This time would appear unjustified and give credence to tie's assertion that there was no need to delay these Works, particularly in light of tie's specific direction for the Contractor to progress with them. These facts bring into question whether the Contractor has any entitlement to assessment of delay are a result of the "BDDI to IFC" issue.
- 4. The requirement to construct the Verity House Access Road is an addition to (?) the Infraco Contract. Until it was constructed the most westerly parts of the new viaduct could not be completed. The access road was complete in May 2009. Any effect it might have had on the completion of the viaduct has been subsumed by the delays associated with the preceding three issues.
- 5. Unforeseen ground conditions and additional retaining walls are being pursued by the Contractor as further tie Change. The period of time associated with the processing of these issues runs from 14th October 2008 to 20th October 2009. The delay associated with these issues appears to purely contractual and predominantly as a result of delayed notification by the Contractor and excessively long periods of time to prepare and present justification and estimates. For these reasons there would appear to be little delay, attributable to tie, that created delay to the viaduct construction over and above that resulting from the issues above.

## 3. Impact on current Sectional Completion Dates

- The Haymarket viaduct forms part of the Works required to achieve the Sectional Completion Date C. It is currently projected for completion 15 months (approximately 320 working days) later than planned.
- 2. It is apparent that tie carries culpability for the delays to the Ale House and a small proportion of those associated with the claimed tie Changes, the remainder, apparently, being to the Contractor's account.
- Noting that the actual forecast delay is well within the available float of 532 working days, there is no impact on the Sectional Completion C
  Date and therefore no consideration need to be given to requirements for extension of time.