

**DLA Piper Scotland LLP** Collins House Rutland Scuare Edinburgh FH1 2AA DX ED271 Edinburgh 1 T+44 (0) 131 242 5514 F+44 (0)131 242 5562 W www.dlapiper.com

# STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY Your reference PRIVILEGED

NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

Our reference

AF/EDIDP/310299/15/

Gill Lindsay Council Solicitor City Chambers (Legal Services) Anchor Close 30 Cockburn Street **EDINBURGH** EHI IYJ

18 March 2008

Dear Ms Lindsay,

# EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK ("ETN") DRAFT CONTRACT SUITE AS AT 13 MARCH 2008

We write to update you on our report yesterday. In our letter, we commented on three areas where we identified the need for further evidence that tie has advanced its negotiation with the BBS Consortium to a stage consistent with level of stability and clarity we would expect the ETN contract documentation suite to have reached at notification of intent to award. As you are aware, there have been intensive discussions on all aspects of this matter during the last week.

### 1. CORE INFRACO AND TRAMCO CONTRACT TERMS

There has been measured progress in closing out the core provisions, despite extreme time pressure and interruption for detailed commercial discussion. tie has achieved a level of closure and agreement which will support the notification of intent to award letters being dispatched today.

#### 2. EMPLOYERS REQUIREMENTS

We reported yesterday that work was outstanding in relation to this key contract schedule. We are instructed by tie that both SDS Provider and BBS Consortium are content that the document is now in acceptable form and detail to be used as a contractual scope. Our reservations as to risk emanating from the Employers' Requirements because of deficiency in precision, clarity and link with the core contract provisions have moved now to a level where we do not consider this an obstacle any longer to tie committing to a contract award by end of March.

### 3. **FURTHER TASKS**

tie has also indicated to us that receipt of the final Infraco Proposals, an agreed project master programme and the execution of the Network Rail APA are all confirmed.

Regulated by the Law Society of Scotland.

A limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (number 300365) which is a law lirm and part of OLA Piper, a globel

A list of members is open far inspection at its registered office and principal place of business, Collins House, Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA and at the address at the top of this letter. Partner denotes member of a limited liability paranership.

UK switchboard +14 (018700 111 111





We are instructed that the agreed treatment of NR immunisation has been completed and base line scope, price and programme is agreed for Phase Ib and the mechanism for adjustment on exercise of this option will be included in the relevant Infraco Contract Schedule. We understand that tie will confirm settled pricing for all major fixed price elements of the Infraco Contract. If tie has achieved these objections and BBS has been able to confirm its commitment to abide by these positions, tie should have every-confidence in closing the contract suite efficiently, commencing with the issue of notification of intention to award today. We would stress that full cooperation of the BBS Consortium on this objective is essential.

4.

Four days of difficult negotiations surrounding the nature of the contractual indemnities provided by BBS Consortium and their interrelationship with the OCIP insurance tie has purchased took place after the BBS Consortium unexpectedly raised an entirely new issue regarding their corporate policy not to accept any liability with regard to uninsured third party economic consequential loss.

We have briefed you regarding the detailed contractual provisions to which BBS had already committed and why this was a totally unexpected position, seemingly adopted by Siemens (Germany), obliging Bilfinger Berger to follow suit.

The outcome as regards the commercial position, risk allocation and insurance cover has, we believe, now been adequately analysed and explained to us and to yourself by tie and their insurance broker. These matters are ones of fact and risk projection, as opposed to fundamental legal or contractual issues.

The net effect with the draft Infraco Contract provisions is that:

- subject to bullet 2 below, a clause will require adding to state that BBS
  will not be liable for uninsured consequential economic loss arising from
  third party claims. This is of course an adjustment to BBS previous
  preferred bidder position.
- a clause will require adding to set out the final commercial arrangement settled by tie (we expect today) regarding BBS's provision of a risk reserve available to tie should any third party liability for economic loss eventuate which is not insured under OCIP. This is beneficial commercially to tie/CEC.
- a clause will require adding to clarify that BBS will not be liable for any claims which arise as an unavoidable consequence of the Infraco Works (where BBS are in full compliance with their obligations). Here, insurance will protect tie/CEC interests, as will the statutory powers under the Tram Acts. This is not a change to the previous contractual position.



## 5. PROCUREMENT RISK

We explained our views on procurement risk in section 10 of our earlier letter. The document provided to us by tie (referred to in section 10 of our letter) has been updated by the tie Project Director. We have examined this and its explanation on how specifically the BBS Consortium price has moved since preferred bidder appointment. tie has a detailed and cogent story and this is an important piece of tie's armour against challenge. It will undoubtedly be tested by Tramlines in their requested debrief session. Presented carefully, tie's explanation should reduce the risk of the losing Infraco bidder sensing any weakness to exploit. We understand from tie the losing tram supplier bidder was by some distance more expensive, so that CAF's selection and confirmation as winning party can be robustly justified on pricing grounds alone.

In the round, we do not consider that the issues referred to in 4 above materially alter analysis of procurement risk, though the adjustment is to accommodate BBS's position. The losing preferred bidder approached third party liability issues with similar caution and both stances are conditioned by contractor experience (real and anecdotal) on other operational UK tram schemes.

orothaid.

Yours faithfully

DLA PIPER SCOTLAND LLP

cc Graeme Bissett, tie Limited Strategic Planning Director