

---

**From:** Fitchie, Andrew  
**Sent:** 06 March 2009 14:36  
**To:** 'Gill Lindsay'  
**Subject:** BSC -- DRP  
**Attachments:** PD CORR 158.pdf; PD CORR 157.pdf; PD CORR 159.pdf; 25.1.201.KDR.1772.pdf

Legally privileged and FOISA Exempt

Gill

Apologies -this has been trapped since early this week. I thought I had sent it.

Attached are the Position Papers exchanged yesterday afternoon. I have also provided a copy of tie's letter of February 19th 2009 which was the trigger.

The papers are intended to be focused and complete to flush out and remove any sub issues. BSC's paper speaks for itself. We will be providing an analysis to tie in the next two days or so and obviously happy to share this with you. The overriding impression is the BSC want to avoid (a) an QS/estimation approach argument they may well lose which threatens the way they are attempting to extract additional money (inflated preliminaries) everywhere from Changes (b) conceding that their assertion about being unable to and not obliged to enter Princes Street was clumsy, contractually wrong and transparently timed to cause most chance of extracting more money.


My meeting yesterday (with Colin Mackenzie , Alan Coyle) did not run its course because of fire alarm at Market Court but I was given a list of questions at the meeting -most of which I answered verbally and on which we will respond.

kind regards

█

kind regards

**Andrew Fitchie**  
**Partner, Finance & Projects**  
DLA Piper Scotland LLP  
T: +44 (0) [REDACTED]  
M: +44 (0) [REDACTED]  
F: +44 (0)131 [REDACTED]

 Please consider the environment before printing my email

█