From: Nick Smith

Sent: 18 December 2007 16:45

To: Colin MacKenzie

Subject: RE: TRAMS: LAC PAPER ON CHIEF EXECUTIVE APPROVALS

excellent Colin

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Senior Solicitor
Legal Services Division
City of Edinburgh Council
City Chambers
High Street
Edinburgh EH1 1YJ

(t) 0131 (f) 0131

From: Colin MacKenzie

Sent: 18 December 2007 16:44

To: Gill Lindsay

Cc: Nick Smith; Alan Squair

Subject: TRAMS: LAC PAPER ON CHIEF EXECUTIVE APPROVALS

Importance: High

Gill,

I refer to the paper which was circulated at yesterday's LAC by tie.

Once again I have concerns about the mechanism which **tie** appears to be intent on setting up through the LAC forum. I accept that the content of the paper is very helpful, and represents a good stab at what is required by the Chief Executive. I discussed the paper with the internal Property and Legal Issues Group this morning, with the result that there are a number of additional points to go into the report.

My view is that after Thursday's Council meeting a letter should go to **tie** from Tom Aitchison formalising the outcome of that meeting and setting out the product which **tie** will be required to deliver before they receive authority to enter the BBS contract. To date I wonder if they appreciate the gravity of the situation. There may still be a remote chance that they will not deliver sufficient comfort for Tom Aitchison to authorise financial close, simply because a number of matters are in the control of third parties.

I would be happy to draft such a letter in the name of the Chief Executive if you agree that is an appropriate course of action.

On the related matter mentioned above, I again do not believe the LAC is the appropriate "sign-off" medium. I report through you and Jim to the Chief Executive, not to LAC. It is the Chief Executive who will require to be satisfied by his officers, and not by a Committee of a Council-owned company. What are your thoughts?

Regards,

Colin MacKenzie