	10 000	rove tie to sign the contract with BBS					· I	1
				approval	approval	approval		
Issue		Description	tie Final Deal Countdown List Item Dated 14 Jan 2008	Finance	Legal	CDD	tie action	Notes
								
Contract	11	Novation agreement ready to be signed off - CAF	1.4			DF		
		SDS	1.3			DF		
	12	CEC Guarantee agreed with BBS and ready to sign off	2.1		CM			
		Due Diligence on approvals for Infraco & Tramco	8.4		OW	DF		
		Operating Agreements - tie	4.1		NS	Di		
	1.4	TEL	4.2		NS			
	1.5	Mudfa - risks related to Infraco?	5.5		INO	DF		
		DLA supportive letter with risk matrices	5.2		GL	DF		explanation of risk profile
	1.0		-		GL	DF	CEC request this item is moved from	explanation of risk profile
		OCIP exclusions	9.4				9.4 into Section 4 Governance and	
						5-	Corporate and request detail on caps	
	1.7	tie to provide a list of what is not included within the BBS contract (DF	or non insured aspects.	
			5.3					
		i.e. the items which BBS have specifically excluded) with a financial						
		value against each item.				DF		OCIP caps, scope of works etc
Programme		Confirm dates for 1a and 1b	5.7			DF		
	2.2	Agreement of On-street Construction Methodology	1.11			DF		closure periods v cost implications
Employers Requirements			1.5/5.6					
		Summary to CEC of Employers' Requirements, including detailed						
		scope of the Tram Works with endorsement from DLA. The ER is a						
		key part of the overall contract which sets compliance standards of						
		the tram works. BBS have been given version 2.4 to price. BBS have						
		responded to this with variations, this may be a lowering of standards						
		to keep the price level below the net £498m e.g CCTV specification.						
		tie to produce a list of variations that they are minded to accept from						
		version 2.4, with a justification for the variation with TELs comments,						
		and also to give assurances that what is proposed to accept as a						
		variation is in all cases "fit for purpose" both in term of price and						
	31	quality.				DF		to assure CEC liabilities are explicit
Due Diligence	0	Statement from the Preferred Bidder that they accept the	8.2					to assure GEO habilities are explicit
		performance run-time model and "law of physics" results and	0.2					
	41	confirmation of acceptance of the emerging quality of design.				DF		potential for additional design costs
	7.1	Full transparency of QRA	5.3			Di	All issues on CEC Item 5 require to be in	potential for additional design costs
Risk	5.1		5.5		RA		corporated in 5.3 of tie's list.	
risk	3.1		5.3		NA		corporated in 5.5 of the s list.	
		(a) Black flag risks: what is the likelihood of any of these risks	5.5					
		occurring? What is tie's strategy to avoid said risks materialising?						
		What is the cost of exiting from a Black Flag item?			RA			ha and interest if a state had not a said and a state
	5.2		F 0		KA			be explicit even if outwith budget avoidance stra
		0, ,	5.3		RA			highlighted by OCC report
		delivery.	F 0		KA			highlighted by OGC report
		(a) Detailed evaluate of accommodate Outliness (in the continue of the continu	5.3					
		(c) Detailed analysis of programme risk. Confirmation of the risk						
		allowance for programme delay. Detail of items on critical path and			-			1 1 11 11 11 050
		what is being done to ensure they do not cause (further) delay.			RA			emphasis on liability to CEC
		Tie written statement to CEC on risks as at 25 October 2007	5.3					
	5.3	compared to immediately post contract award.			RA			
		VE summary included in the final deal and highlighting other potential	5.8				Item 5.8 on tie list to include probabilities	
Value Engineering	6.1	savings with a probability value		<u></u>		DF	of VE items	
Pricing & Funding		The Council requires a detailed analysis of prices, costs and risks	1.9/5.8					
		allowance. tie required to explain how prices for maintenance,etc.					Detail of CEC Item 7 requires expansion	including for both construction and operation e.g.
							of tie list items 1.9/5.8	

	7.2 Cross refer to item 1 above re exclusions from contract by BBS.	1.9/5.8	RA			
	Statement on % of costs fixed and % outstanding as provisional	1.9/5.8				
	7.3 sums with programme for moving these to fixed costs		RA			
NR Insurance	Full statement from tie on current status of every proposed	Section 6				
	agreement between CEC and NR, including Depot and Station					
	Change Procedures. Full risk analysis in respect of each agreement				All items relating to NR in section 6 of tie	
	explaining consequences for CEC in terms of time and cost relative				list should be moved to Section 3 (Third	
	to any delays in concluding agreements. This analysis to cross refer				Party Agreements) these are not seen as	
	8.1 to BBS programme			SS	subsidiary items from CEC perspective.	
	OTT to 250 programme				Substitution of the substi	
	NR is contracting with third parties re other works at the Depot. Risk	Section 6				
	analysis to be provided regarding impact on BBS contract (time and					
	8.2 cost) arising from late completion of NR works.			SS		
	Use cooky arising from rate completion of the front					
	Plan B to take account of any delays in achieving agreement with NR	Section 6				
	on all matters, including Caley Ale House, Lift and Shift and					
	8.3 Immunisation. This to be included in QRA report.			SS		
	Old Infinitellication. This to be included in Gravitaport.			- 00		
	8.4 Minimum requirements of the APA agreement	Section 6		SS		
	Written confirmation from First Scotrail (and from other TOCs in	Section 6				
	respect of Station Change) that they are not objecting to Depot and					
	8.5 Station Change.			SS		
SDS Assurances	Full written explanation of SDS Novation to be provided by tie,	1.4				including risks of failing to deliver in terms of quality
	9.1 including risks of failing to deliver design			DF/ACon		and in time to meet BBS programme
	Full details are required from tie on status and degree of completion	1.4				
	9.2 of SDS design work as at 14 January 2008, including prior and			DF/ACon		
						also show how this will be managed by tie with CEC
	Confirmation that the public sector (tie & CEC) are not liable for	1.4				
	9.3 delays for Planning or Road Approvals			DF/ACon		assumes CEC act reasonably
	tie to provide written report on previous claim settlement with SDS	1.4				
	identifying details, cause of claim and costs of settlement. Are any					
	further claims expected from SDS ? Are any further claims from SDS					
	9.4 competent			DF/ACon		showing justification and causes and lessons learned
Funding Letter	Terms to be agreed with CEC and TS	2.2				letter from CEC required to say the "best endevours
						reference in the tie operating agreement will not exp
	10.1		RA			TS or Scottish Ministers
Third Party Agreements	Status report on third party agreements	5.9			Items in Section 11of CEC list require to	
	11.1			DF	be added to item 5.9 of tie list	
	11.2 Disclosure list and acceptance of these by BBS	5.9		DF		check for exclusions
	11.3 Status of Telewest and SP agreement and when req.	5.9		DF		
	11.4 Forth Ports agreement and risk of not having this in place	5.9		DF		
Lease between CEC and tie	12.1 To be concluded before financial close	3.3		SS		
Land Acquisition	Statement of land acquisitions	5.10			Items in Section 13 of CEC list require to	
	13.1			SS	be added to 5.10 of tie list.	
	13.2 Confirmation that GVD completed	5.10		SS		any outstanding matters
	Confirmation of match between what land has been acquired by CEC					
	13.3 matching BBS requirements			SS		check programme/cost or time implications