Appendix A Roley Contractual Risk Matrix

ROLEY
INFRACO CONTRACT
EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK
ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

NOTE: Impacts Are Post Mitigation

[05] October 2007
(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)		Allegation		llumant			Mitimations	Tie comments	
RISK:	Public	Allocation Private	Shared	Impact	OCIP	Other	Mitigations Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector	Silareu		Josir	Insurances	Other willigations	NISK Allocation - 2	
General Obligations Clauses									
[2.2] Extension of Term - Failure to serve notice to propose extension no later than 180	✓			Not a risk, tie can extend term of					This is as drafted, although bidder has increase time period from 90 to 180
days prior to expiry date				maintenance.					days.
[3.5] Termination due to failure to satisfy a CP within 3 months of Effective Date which tie			✓	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact -			Costs recovered from Infraco up to the	N/A	Costs recovered from Infraco up to the cap. Possible need to re-procure. In
does not waive NOTE: Roley has now accepted the indemnity provision remains live				Potentially Black Flag			cap under Clause 77. tie contract		practice we would revert to reserve bidder. There would be a residual risk of
on termination under this Clause [e-mail dated 25/09/2007 from lan Laing refers]							management will obtain CP document at contract award.		delay to programme and damage to reputation. This is of a very low probability given the financial penalty to Infraco. Risk Id 1001
[4.4][1] Discrepancies, errors or omissions in, or between the Infraco Proposals and,		✓							
the Employers Requirements		1							
[4.5][2] Failure to bring discrepancies or requirements for further information in relation to		/							·
documents to the attention of tie's Representative NOTE: Time limit to raise issue									
remains - to be agreed		 				 			Con alouan 22
[5] Failure to adequately inspect the Site and to take due and proper account of the risks listed below in carrying out the Infraco Works NOTE: This is qualified by reference to		*							See clause 22
inspection being only superficial and not involving any intrusive surveys.									
[5.1.1][3] the ground conditions on the Site NOTE: tie accepts risk of unforeseeable		+ /	/	Prob: Possible - 50% Impact:	_				See comments on clause 22. Note:- Where ground conditions causes physical
ground conditions not apparent from ITN.		1		Catastrophic - £2m	Ť				damage to the works this would be covered by OCIP and any damage to
ground conditions not apparent non-trivi.				Catastrophic - 22m					contractors plant and equipment covers damage to their plant and resulting idol
									time rates is covered by contractor's insurances
[5.1.2] all relevant safety requirements and environmental matters		√	1		✓	✓			OCIP overs legal liability to third parties for physical injury or damage. Covers
[]									accidental pollution. Excludes clean-up costs and gradual poluttion. Contractors
									Employers Liability covers injury to employees.
[5.1.3] the form and nature of the Site		√	1						
[5.1.4] the nature of the materials to be excavated		✓							
[5.1.5] the extent and nature and difficulty of the work and materials necessary for the		✓							
completion of the Infraco Works.									
[5.1.6][4] the quality of any existing structures which will form part of, be adjacent to or be		✓			✓				OCIP covers physical damage to existing structures except if caused by faults
associated with the ETN ^[5]									or defects known by an insured or ought to have been known, that existed at the
									time of policy commencement
[5.1.7] injury or damage to property adjacent to the Site and to occupiers of such property		1			✓				OCIP covers legal liability for physical damage or injury to third parties, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions
[5.1.8] interference from parties other than tie.		✓							
[5.1.9] the precautions, times and methods of working necessary to comply with the Code		✓			✓				OCIP covers legal liability for physical damage or injury to third parties and
of Construction Practice and Code of Maintenance Practice and, in accordance with Good									liability for accidental nuisance, subject to no breaches of policy terms and
Industry Practice to minimise and nuisance or interference		<u> </u>				ļ			conditions
[5.1.10] use by third parties of land being part of or next to ETN. NOTE: not accepted at		✓			✓				OCIP covers legal liability for physical damage or injury to third parties, subject
present by Roley.									to no breaches of policy terms and conditions, for incidents occurring on the
									contract site.
[5.1.11] means of communication with and restrictions of access to the Site		√				 			
[5.1.12] accommodation required by Infraco		√				→			Site accommodation is insured for physical damage by the main contractors
[5.1.13] generally to obtain all necessary information as to risks, contingencies and other		1							
circumstances influencing or affecting the Infraco Works [6.3] Failure to cooperate in order to facilitate carrying out the Infraco Works[6]			 	One tile comments			One tie comments		Deleter to recipie to reduce the reduced to the language of th
[6.3] Failure to cooperate in order to facilitate carrying out the infraco works[6]			*	See tie comments			See tie comments		Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided tie acts reasonably
[6.3.1] Failure to approach all Permitted Variations on a collaborative and Open Book			✓	See tie comments			See tie comments		Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
Basis									tie acts reasonably
[6.3.2 Failure to use reasonable endeavours to avoid unnecessary complaints, disputes			✓	See tie comments			See tie comments		Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
and claims against the other Party					<u> </u>	ļ		ļ	tie acts reasonably
[6.3.3] Failure to comply with Dispute Resolution Procedure in relation to any such			 	See tie comments			See tie comments		Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
complaints, disputes and claims with or against the other Party				0 1		ļ			tie acts reasonably
[6.3.4] Interference with the rights of the other Party in performing its obligations under the			1	See tie comments			See tie comments		Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
Infraco Contract, or in any other way hindering or preventing the other Party from						1			tie acts reasonably
performing those obligations or from enjoying the benefits of its rights [6.3.5] Failure to take reasonable steps to mitigate any costs, unnecessary acts,	 	+	-	See tie comments	+	+	See tie comments	 	Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
foreseeable losses and liabilities of the other Party which are likely to arise out of any	I		'	Gee tie comments			Gee tie comments		tie acts reasonably
failure by the non complying party to take the steps listed in Cl. 6.3.1 to 6.3.4 above									iic acio reasuriadiy
[6.3.6] Failure to take reasonable steps to manage, minimise and mitigate all costs	 	+	-	See tie comments	+	1	See tie comments	 	Relates to project partnering and mutual co-operation. No material risk provided
[0.5.5] I aliale to take reasonable steps to manage, millimise and miligate all COSIS			'	Oce he comments			Gee de comments		tie acts reasonably
[6.8] Failure to procure the attendance of any of the Infraco Parties as required by tie at	 	✓	1	†			 		and and roughly
quarterly meetings				1			1		
[7.1] Failure to perform the Infraco Works fully and faithfully in accordance with the	i	✓	1	1		İ	1		İ
Infraco Contract	<u>L</u>	<u></u>	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			_						

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared	1	OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances	3		
Failure to carry out the works in accordance with:					i				
• [7.2] a reasonable level of professional skill, care and diligence to be expected of a		✓			†				
properly qualified and competent professional contractor experienced in carrying out works									
and services of a similar nature to the Infraco Works									
• [7.3.1] the Infraco Contract		√		<u> </u>					
• [7.3.2] enabling the ETN to be designed, constructed, installed, tested and		· /							
commissioned, and thereafter operated and maintained		'							
[7.3.3] the Infraco's quality management system and plans.		√							
[7.3.4] the Employer's Requirements		· /	-						
		7							
• [7.3.5] the Infraco's Proposals		→							
• [7.3.6] tie and CEC policies		→							
[7.3.7] the Code of Construction Practice									
• [7.3.8] the Code of Maintenance Practice		√							
[7.3.9] the Tram Legislation		✓							
[7.3.10] applicable Laws, Land Consents and Consents		✓							
• [7.3.11] using reasonably practicable means to ensure impacts are no worse than		✓							
residual impacts as identified in the Environmental Statements		ļ			Į				
[7.3.12] environmental regulations and requirements		✓			<u> </u>				
• [7.3.13] Good Industry Practice		✓							
 [7.3.14] the requirement to ensure that the design of the ETN is buildable 		✓							
• [7.3.15] the requirement to provide assistance to tie in ensuring best value		✓							
• [7.3.16] the requirement not to wilfully detract from image of tie, TEL, CEC, the		✓							
Scottish Ministers, Transport Scotland or the ETN									
 [7.3.17] OGC's "Excellence in Construction" initiative 		✓							
• [7.3.18] the requirement to ensure sustainability of the ETN in relation to energy		✓							
consumption and the supply of materials from sustainable resources									
• [7.3.19] requirement not to carry out works in a manner likely to be injurious to		✓							
persons or property and where liability results from Infraco negligence or breach of the									
Infraco Works NOTE: This does not encompass injurious affection caused by non-									
compliance with Law.									
[7.3.20] requirement to use Key Personnel		✓							
[7.5.1] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to maximise construction productivity by		✓			İ				
reference to international best practice									
[7.5.2] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to minimise disruption to the city of		✓			i				
Edinburgh									
[7.5.3] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to maintain safety and minimise the potential		✓			✓	✓			OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical
for accidents and safeguards the Infraco Works									damage or injury, subject to no braeches of the policy terms and conditions.
The second secon									Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees.
									Insurances does not cover fines due to breaches of legislation.
[7.5.4] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to safeguard the efficiency in the obtaining of		✓	1	i		İ			
Consents						I			
[7.5.5] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to minimise costs		✓							
[7.6] Failure to keep itself fully informed about current professional and technical		✓			i	✓			Contractor's Professional Indemnity covers compensation for their legal liability
standards and about all matters relating to, or which might have a bearing on, the Infraco									due to acts errors or omissions following breaches of professional activities or
Works.									duties.
[7.8] Failure to fully understand the scope and extent of requirements and sufficiency of		√			1	1			
information to complete the Infraco Works									
[7.9-7.10][7] Content of Background Information supplied by tie or any of its stakeholders			✓	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:			Provision of design becomes an Infraco		NOTE: Background information to be reviewed by Infraco during preferred
[7.5 7.70][7] Contone of Education and Information cappilled by the or any or he stational dole				Moderate - £500k			risk post contract under the design and		bidder stage - not included in QRA at this stage
				INIOUEI ALE - 2300K			build arrangement. Onus will be on		bidder stage - not included in with at this stage
							Infraco to liaise with third parties.		
							Contractor to provide an interface		
							management tracker to minimise risk of		
							lack of third party consultation		
							impinging on works. tie project		
							management to monitor Infraco's		
							management of interface with other		
							parties.		
							r == 3.		

ROLEY INFRACO CONTRACT

EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT

[05] October 2007

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

NOTE: Impacts Are Post Mitigation

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie) Allocation Tie comments Impact Mitigations OCIP Public Private Shared Other Other Mitigations Risk Allocation - £ Sector Insurances Provision of design becomes an Infraco Risk Id 1003 [7.11] Failure to liaise with any party, as reasonably required, to produce informatio rob: Remote - 10% Impact: 65.36 required so that the Infraco Works can be progressed properly, according to Programme loderate - £500k risk post contract under the design and and in accordance with the Infraco Contract build arrangement. Onus will be on Infraco to liaise with third parties. Contractor to provide an interface management tracker to minimise risk of lack of third party consultation impinging on works. tie project management to monitor Infraco's management of interface with other parties. [7.12] Failure to liaise with regard to material types, methods and programmes, cos effectiveness and temporary works in respect of any Permitted Variation [7.13] Failure to provide all labour, goods, materials, Infraco's Equipment, Temporary Works, transport to and from the Site and everything else of a temporary or permanen nature required in respect of the Infraco Works which is either required in the infract Contract or could have been reasonably foreseen by an experienced contractor [7.14] Failure to ensure the adequacy, stability and safety of all site operations and OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury, subject to no braeches of policy terms and conditions. methods of construction Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees. [7.15] Use or specification of any materials which are known to be deleterious or contravene any relevant standard or code of practice (including Over Arup guidance o [7.16] Use of or installation on the Edinburgh Tram Network of materials which are not in accordance with the Employer's Requirements on the date of such use or installation [7.17] Failure to notify tie of any ground, geophysical or other surveys which the Infrace intends to carry out [7.18] Failure to notify tie of any Abortive Work [26.17] Failure to comply with all regulatory requirements and tie's Drug and Alcoho In the event that an individual causes damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury as a result of their use of drugs and alcohol Policy OCIP covers such damage and liabilities, subject to no breaches of the policy terms and conditions. Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees. Insurances does not cover fines due to breaches of legislation. System Integration[8] Failure to implement: [8.1.1] work to define sub-system performance and demonstrate that the System Availability Target can be met [8.1.2] management of technical interfaces including system wide issues such as electro-magnetic compatibility and stray current protection, noise, vibration and wheel/rai interface [8.1.3] test management, including the preparation of method statements, test scripts the setting of pass/fail criteria, and analysis [8.1.4] alignment of operations and maintenance procedures ✓ [8.1.5] system activation [8.1.6] safety assurances and the Case for Safety [8.1.7] a requirements traceability matrix [8.2] Failure to ensure that Trams and engineers works vehicles are fully integrated wit [8.3] Failure to carry out all of the system integration activities described in the Employer's Requirements and Infraco's Proposals [8.4] Failure to liaise with the Operator and tie in respect of system operation and related design, Systems Acceptance Tests and operational defects [8.5] Failure to ensure that design is compatible with system integration throughout the [8.6] Failure to manage configuration control of the ETN

ROLEY INFRACO CONTRACT

EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK

ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT [05] October 2007

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

NOTE: Impacts Are Post Mitigation

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie) Tie comments Allocation Impact Mitigations OCIP Public Private Shared Other Other Mitigations Risk Allocation - £ Sector Sector Insurances Infrastructure and Equipment 19.11 Failure to pay the Infraco resulting in the title in all materials, goods and equipmen Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact: Project financial reporting will provide Risk Id 1004 not transferring to CEC CEC with advance notice of payment otential Black Flag drawdown requirement. CEC to effect treasury management to support the payment requirements. [9.2/9.3/9.4] Failure to clearly identify project assets as the property of CEC, whether on [9.6.1] Failure of tie to issue the Certificate of Tram Commissioning (due to tie default of Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact: See tie comments. Tie project N/A Risk is that title does not pass after the project has paid for all or part of the due to tests not having been passed) resulting in title in the Trams not transferring to CEC otential Black Flag management will ensure that tie's tram vehicle because Infraco becomes insolvent and the tram supplier is actions are discharged timeously so as prevented from fulfilling delivery obligations by liquidation/administration. This may not be an issue as may be resolved in TSA. Risk Id 1005 not to disrupt tram testing and commissioning. [9.7] Compatibility of all infrastructure, equipment and systems and fitness for purpose (i.e. compliance with the Employer's Requirements), excluding items free issued to the Infraco by tie [9.8/9.9] Euro Compliance of equipment, excluding items free issued to the Infraco by tie [9.10] Failure to pay the Infraco resulting in the title in all materials, goods and equipmen A technical risk only as Infraco control availability of trams to deliver works N/A N/A not transferring to CEC Bonds, Guarantees and Collateral Warranties [74.1] Failure to provide an adjudication performance bond for the required amount [74.3] Failure to provide a retention and handback bond for the required amount 74.5][9] Downgrading of bond surety to A- rating or below by Standard & Poor's, sul o availability of sureties with such ratings [74.6][10] Failure to provide an Infraco parent company guarantee [74.7][11] Failure to provide an Infraco collateral warranty in favour of CEC and Netwo Deliverables 10.1][12] Failure to prepare Deliverables in accordance with the Infraco Contract are [10.2] Failure to submit any Deliverables associated with any Permitted Variations to tie Representative for review pursuant to the Review Procedure [10.3] Failure to allow tie's Representative reasonable opportunity to review any Deliverable at any stage of development [10.4/10.6] Failure to provide Deliverables in format required for tie extranet and in the numbers required by **tie**[10.5] Failure to prepare a Submittal Programme which meets the Programme [10.6/10.7] Failure to comply with the Submittal Programme timescales Prob: Possible - 50% Impact: Minor Mitigation is to agree a response plan 32.68 Risk is that tie do not review submissions within prescribed timescales and lose between Infraco, tie and external parties the ability to mitigate failures and any deficiencies in the contractor's £50k that can be used to manage away this submissions. Another risk is that tie could lose the ability to manage the risk. Clause 10 requires Infraco to interfaces with third parties under tie responsibility. Risk ld 1006 issue a reminder to tie. Furthermore failure to respond is deemed to be a "no objection" thereby not impacting upon the programme. [10.7] Introduction of alternative Submittal Programme where tie cannot comply with the tie would have early warning of inability 65.36 Risk 1007 Prob: Remote - 10% Impact: original programme (not arising from Infraco default) loderate - £500k to comply and could resource accordingly. Alternative Submittal Programme is not compulsory therefore tie do not have to respond. [10.8] Failure to give due consideration to tie or tie's Representative at a meeting called by tie or tie's Representative to discuss the development of a Deliverable [10.9][13] Amendment to a Deliverable where such Deliverable does not meet the equirements of the Infraco Contract [10.9][14] Amendment to a Deliverable where such Deliverable does not meet the Prob: Remote 10% Impact: tie/CEC to ensure that approval bodies Note: this only extends to additional costs resulting from betterment quirements of any "Approval Bodies" do not gain 'betterment' without loderate £500k appropriate funding contributions [10.12/10.13] Risks from conflicts, ambiguities, discrepancies, errors or omissions in or between Deliverables [54.4] Failure to provide Technical Records in a format reasonably specified by tie _ [54.5] Maintenance, security, bugs etc in relation to the Infraco's computer systems and equipment

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)											
Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations	1	Tie comments		
	Public Sector	Private Sector	Shared		OCIP	Other Insurances	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £			
Novation and Other Key Interfaces[15]	Sector	Sector				insurances					
[11.1] Failure to execute the novation agreement by the SDS Provider or the Infraco		 	Т		l I	Γ	I	Ī			
[11.1] Failure of tie to create the novation agreement – risk is pre-award in that Infract refuses to accept the novation of SDS.	√			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact - Black Flag			tie have developed the Facilitated Negotiations activity prior to contract award to deal with impediments to resolution. Under the Preferred Bidder Agreement award is conditional on Infraco accepting both SDS and Tramco novation.	N/A	Risk Id 1008		
[11.2.1] Failure of the Infraco to procure a collateral warranty from the SDS provider		✓									
[11.4] Management of the performance of the SDS Services and liability for them		✓									
[11.5] Amendment of the SDS Agreement without the consent of tie		✓									
[11.6] Failure to procure the attendance of the SDS Provider at any meeting in relation to the Infraco Works		1									
[11.7] Failure to procure services required from the SDS Provider following a request from tie	1	✓									
[11.8][17] Termination of the SDS Agreement without the consent of tie		✓				<u></u>					
[11.9] ^[18] Failure, if required by tie , on termination or expiry of the Infraco Contract to novate, assign or otherwise transfer the Tram Supply Agreement to tie , the Operator, the Scottish Ministers, TEL, CEC, Transport Scotland or their successors with no worse financial standing than tie or to any other person whose obligations are unconditionally quaranteed under the Tram Supply Agreement by such a person ^[19]		V									
[12.1] Failure to enter into a novation agreement with tie and the Tram Supplier. NOTE Subject to due diligence by Roley on final TSA.		1									
[12.2] Failure to procure that the Tram Supplier enters into a collateral warranty in favour of tie	r	√									
[12.3] Failure to procure that the Tram Supplier carries out and completes the Tram Supply Obligations in accordance with the Tram Supply Agreement	1	✓									
[12.4] Management of the performance of the Tram Supply Obligations and liability for them	r	1									
[12.5] Making amendment to the Tram Supply Agreement (including the Tram Supply Obligations) without the prior approval of tie	/	1									
[12.6] Failure to procure the attendance of the Tram Supplier at any meeting in relation to the Infraco Works		1									
[12.7] Failure to procure supply of additional Trams, spare parts and services following a request from tie	1	1									
[12.8] ^[20] Determination of the appointment of the Tram Supplier without the prior writter approval of tie ^[21]	1	1									
[12.9][22] Failure, if required by tie, on termination or expiry of the Infraco Contract to novate, assign or otherwise transfer the Tram Supply Agreement to tie, the Operator, the Scottish Ministers, TEL, CEC, Transport Scotland or their successors with no worse financial standing than tie or to any other person whose obligations are unconditionally guaranteed under the Tram Supply Agreement by such a person	2	-									
[13.1] Failure to enter into a novation agreement with tie and the Tram Maintainer		✓									
[13.2] Failure to procure that the Tram Maintainer enters into a collateral warranty in favour of tie		✓									
[13.3] Failure to procure that the Tram Maintainer carries out and completes the Tram Maintenance Services in accordance with the Tram Maintenance Agreement		√									
[13.4] Management of the performance of the Tram Maintenance Services and liability for them		✓									
[13.5] Amendment of the Tram Maintenance Agreement without the prior written approva of tie		~									
[13.6] Failure to procure the attendance of the Tram Maintainer at any meeting in relation to the Infraco Works		√									
[13.7] Failure to procure that the Tram Maintainer shall supply any additional spare parts and/or perform any additional services which are required by tie in respect of the ETN		*									
[13.8][23] Determination of the appointment of the Tram Maintainer without the prior written approval of tie	<u></u>	1									
[13.9][24] Failure, if required by tie, on termination or expiry of the Infraco Contract to novate, assign or otherwise transfer the Tram Supply Agreement to tie, the Operator, the Scottish Ministers, TEL, CEC, Transport Scotland or their successors with no worse financial standing than tie or to any other person whose obligations are unconditionally guaranteed under the Tram Supply Agreement by such a person	2 2 2	7									

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:		Allocation	1	Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
[14.1] Failure to enter into a sub-contract with the Infrastructure Maintainer		✓							
[14.2] Failure to procure that the Infrastructure Maintainer enters into a collateral warranty		1							
in favour of tie [14.3] Failure to procure that the Infrastructure Maintainer carries out and completes the		-	<u> </u>						
Infrastructure Maintenance Services		*							
[14.4] Management of the performance of the Infrastructure Maintenance Services and		√				1			
liability for them									
[14.5] Amendment of the Infrastructure Maintenance Agreement (including the		✓				İ			
Infrastructure Maintenance Services) without the prior written approval of tie									
[14.6] Failure to procure the attendance of the Infrastructure Maintainer at any meeting in		✓							
relation to the Infraco Works									
[14.7] Failure to procure that the Infrastructure Maintainer shall perform any additional		✓							
services which are required by tie in respect of the ETN									
[14.8][25] Determination of the appointment of the Infrastructure Maintainer without the		1							
prior written approval of tie		/	<u> </u>						
[14.9][26] Failure, if required by tie, on termination or expiry of the Infraco Contract to novate, assign or otherwise transfer the Tram Supply Agreement to tie, the Operator, the		*							
Scottish Ministers, TEL, CEC, Transport Scotland or their successors with no worse									
financial standing than tie or to any other person whose obligations are unconditionally									
guaranteed under the Tram Supply Agreement by such a person									
[15.1] Risks arising through the Roads Demarcation Agreement - The RDA is an			✓	N/A		İ	Mitigated by inclusion of RDA		To be finally assessed on completion of RDA. This is a maintenance issue
agreement which covers all roads access and maintenance requirements during the							responsibilities matrix in Employer's		therefore risk not included in Project Risk Register. Note: RDA to be finalised
Infraco Works							Requirements so that Infraco are clear		in Preferred Bidder period
							on and accept their responsibilities.		
							RDA to be finalised and included in		
							contract (significant CEC input required). Agree on street work		
							methodology and ensure handback		
							responsibilities are clear.		
[16.2] Risks arising through the Asset Protection Agreement - The APA is a Network Rail			✓	N/A	 	1	Finalise APA and include in contract		To be finally assessed on conclusion of APA negotiations with Network Rail.
required agreement to ensure that any network rail assets are properly protected from							prior to award. Apply effective project		NOTE: APA to be finalised in Preferred Bidder period
damage during the Infraco Works							management to ensure Network		
							Rail/Infraco comply.		
Operator Interface			-						
[17.2/17.6][29] Occurrence and costs to the Infraco of an Operator Event which the Infraco	*			Prob: Possible - 50% Impact -	1		In the circumstances where the		OCIP DSU covers an accummulation of loss of revenue to tie and TEL up to
has not materially contributed to, and has suffered a material adverse impact				Maintenance and Operations			operator causes a delay costs recovered from operator under the		£24m over 2 years following "insured" events only, subject to a 60 day excess.
							DPOFA up to £10m. Mitigation:		
							Manage the operator's interface with		
							Infraco to avoid culpable delay.		
[17.3/17.4/17.7] Failure to mitigate (at reasonable inconvenience and cost), notify tie of or		✓				İ	' '		
maintain reports of an Operator Event or matters which may precede an Operator Event									
[17.8][30] Failure to, from the date of the Agreement, take into account comments of the		✓							
Operator when refining Design and failure to deliver Infraco comments on functional and									
maintainability issues to tie and the operator		,			ļ	ļ			
[17.9][31] Obstruction of the Operator in respect the Operator Maintenance Plan and Maintenance Services		/							
[17.9][32] Obstruction by the Operator of the Infraco in respect of the Maintenance	✓			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact -					Not in QRA - maintenance phase risk
Services Services	•			Maintenance and Operations					Not in QKA - maintenance phase risk
[17.10] Failure to act upon Operator instructions		1		Maintenance and Operations		1			
[17.11-13] Failure to notify tie and the operator of requirements for Operator Maintenance		1			1	1			
and failure to co-operate in the planning and execution of any Operator Maintenance,									
Maintenance Services and any planned or unplanned works or activities									
[17.16] DPOFA Changes	✓			No risk			tie to effectively project manage		
							interface between DPOFA and Infraco		
[17.17] Failure to provide DPOFA Change Response when required by tie		✓							
[17.18.1.1/51.2] Failure to liaise with HMRI and the emergency services		✓							
[17.18.1.2] Failure to develop and implement the Infraco Safety Management System		✓							
[17.20/17.21] Failure to complete safety and service readiness verification each morning		✓						l	
to the satisfaction of the Operator						 			
[17.22][33] Failure to liaise effectively with the Operator in the co-ordination of health and		/							
safety issues at the Depot	<u> </u>	I		l	I		l		

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
Not.	Public		Shared	Impact	OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	The Continients
	Sector	Sector	Onarca		100	Insurances	Other mingations	Trisk Allocation 2	
[17.23] Failure to give the Operator and tie a minimum of one month's notice of any	CCOLO	√				mourances			
planned lifecycle maintenance forming part of the Maintenance Services to be carried out									
on any part of the ETN									
[17.24] Failure to provide tie and the Operator with a combined maintenance plan not less			✓	Prob: Remote - 20% Impact -	1	ĺ	Length of delay would depend on		
than 6 months prior to the Planned Service Commencement Date, subject to supply to the				Operations and Maintenance			circumstances. Furthermore, there is		
Infraco of the Operator Maintenance Plan [9] months prior to the Planned Service							some float in the 6 month period.		
Commencement Date									
[17.25/17.26][34] Failure to provide technical advice, information and access to the		✓							
Operator.									
[17.28] Damage to the Infraco Works caused by the Operator or tie				Prob: Remote - 20% Impact - Operations and Maintenance	_				Loss and damage to the works covered by OCIP CAR policy, subject to no breaches of the policy. Where operator culpable for damage, OCIP excess recovered from operator.
[17.29] Failure to work closely and effectively with the Operator to complete the System		√			✓	✓			This could give rise to a claim for failure to ensure a safe place and system of
Acceptance Tests and related obligations on testing and commissioning									work. Claims for bodily injury may be covered under OCIP or Employe's Liability Insurance
[17.31] Failure of the Infraco to work collaboratively with the Operator and failure of the							Operators required to collaborate.		NOTE: mutual obligation for tie to ensure Operator co-operation. Not in
Operator to work collaboratively with the Infraco to:			-/				Costs recovered from Operator under Operator Agreement		QRA as is maintenance phase risk
 [17.31.1] maximise productivity during the Infraco Works and minimise disruption for the public and third parties 			•				Operator Agreement		
[17.31.2] ensure the delivery of complete system integration			✓		1	ĺ	1		
• [17.31.4] minimise and give the best advance notice of interruption to Transport			✓		1	1	1		
Services]		
 [17.31.5] not hinder proper performance of the Project Development Services, Project Operations and obligations under the Infraco Contract 			✓						
[17.31.6] support adherence to timetables and the Programme			✓				1		
[17.31.7] report promptly any proposed change permitted under the DPOFA or the Infraco Contract and related mitigation			✓				1		
[17.31.8] use reasonable endeavours to minimise interface disputes			1				1		
Land Issues and Consents[35]			,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
[18.1/18.20] ^[36] Failure to provide licence to enter land and the necessary Land		1	1	Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:	<u> </u>	T	Obtain confirmation from Infracos that	Risk Id 279 - Risk	Consequences: Delay to progress of works with consequential additional
Consents ^[37]				Moderate - £500k			land secured is adequate to deliver the works. Release land to Infracos in accordance with agreed schedule. Ensure lease for NR land is in place prior to commencement of Infraco works	Allocation £1345k. Allocated to clauses 18, 19 and 20	project and Infraco costs.
[18.2] Encroachment on land outside of the Permanent Land and Temporary Sites		✓							
[18.3/18.6/18.17] Breach of a Land Consent or use of Temporary Sites outside that		✓							
specified in the Acts									
[18.4] Failure to give tie not less than 40 days' notice where access is required to any Temporary Site for the purposes of carrying out the Infraco Works		*							
[18.5/18.13] Failure to provide access to the Temporary Sites following 40 days' notice	√			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact:		1	Infraco to confirm requirement for	As above	Consequences: Delay to progress of works with consequential additional
having been given by the Infraco				Minor - £100k			temporary sites.		project and Infraco costs. Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
[18.7/18.8][38] Failure to minimise period of possession of Temporary Site, or remain in		✓				İ			,,
possession of such Temporary Site after 28 days (3 months where a Site Office has been established) following the completion of the Infraco Works to such Temporary Site									
[18.9] Failure to give notice of the vacation of a Temporary Site	i e	✓	 		 	İ	i		
[18.10] Failure to remove all temporary works from a Temporary Site and restore the land to the reasonable satisfaction of the land owner		✓							
[18.10.1] Demolition of a building or any part thereof without the consent of tie		1	 	<u> </u>	 		<u> </u>		
[18.10.2] Failure to provide tie with sufficient evidence (including a detailed record of the		1			1	i			
condition of the land both before and after the occupation of the Temporary Site) to show	,								
that restoration obligations have been complied with [18.12] Failure to provide notice of temporary possession for maintenance purposes,		→			 				
excluding where any Building Fixing Agreement contains a right for the Infraco to enter		'							
onto any land [18.13] Temporary possession for maintenance purposes in breach of the Acts	✓			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact - Moderate £100k (Maintenance Stage)				As above	Not in QRA as is maintenance risk
[18.14.1] requirement to be less than 20m away from Infraco Works	 	√	 	inioderate 2 100k (maintenance Stage)	+	+	 	1	
[18.14.1] requirement to be less than 20th away from infraco works [18.14.2] reasonable requirements in connection with maintenance	 	∀	 	 	+	1	 		
[18.14.3] requirement to avoid possession of houses or gardens		7			+	 	 		
[18.14.3] requirement to avoid possession of nodes of gardens	 	7		<u> </u>	+	 	 	1	
[10.17.0] requirement to avoid possession of occupied buildings		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public		Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
[18.15/18.21] Provision of land and/or Land Consents which are required by the Infract and are outside the Permanent and Temporary Land		✓							Not a material difference between bidders
[18.16] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to provide assistance to tie in the provisior and amendment of Land Consents		*							
[18.19] Breach of Schedule 13 (Third Party Agreements)		✓							
[19.1][39] Failure to obtain, maintain and implement all Consents which may be required to			✓	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:					
carry out and complete the Infraco Works				Moderate - £200k				As above	
[19.2] Failure to provide copies of Consents to either Party's Representative			✓	See 19.1 Above				As above	
[19.4] Cost of obtaining and maintaining in effect the Traffic Regulation Orders	*			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact: Black Flag			This is a tie budget cost. There is 789k in the budget allocated for legal support (D&W) for the TRO process. The strategy for this process is outlined in K Rimmer's TPB papers which outline the plan for delivering TROs.		Consequences: Inability to deliver Tram network in to operation.
[19.5] Failure to provide reasonable assistance to tie in obtaining and maintaining in effect the Traffic Regulation Orders		√							
[19.6] Failure to update the Consents Programme by each Reporting Period End Date and			1	See 19.1 Above		1	i		<u> </u>
to obtain any new Consents								As above	
[19.9] Failure to give all notices and pay all fees required to be given or paid by Law in relation to the Infraco Works			1	See 19.1 Above				As above	
[19.10-19.12] ^[40] Failure to comply with Special Requirements of any Approval Bodies			✓	See 19.1 Above		1			
affected by the Infraco Works, provided tie has notified the Infraco of these ^[41]								As above	
[20.1] ^[42] Failure to submit the Proposals ^[43] to tie at least 6 months prior to the date or		✓							
which the Infraco proposes to install, maintain, modify or replace any relevant supporting									
infrastructure and to obtain tie 's consent to the Proposals									
[20.1.2] Failure to submit the necessary applications and obtain necessary Consents		✓			1	1			
[20.2/20.4] Failure to submit revised proposals		✓							
[20.3]tie to obtain Consents and Building Fixing Agreements	✓								No material risk as alternative to building fixings by substitution of poles is in
								See tie comments	place. Decision will be made well before work required on site.
[20.5] Where a building fixing agreement is to be used, securing the consent of the Heritable Proprietor and any other relevant party to allow the Infraco to carry out a survey and securing the agreement of the Heritable Proprietor to allow the setting of such building fixings (both at Infraco cost)		√							This is currently the responsibility of SDS and will become Infraco's responsibility through novation
[20.6] Failure to inform the Infraco of failure to obtain the consent of the relevant Heritable Proprietor	✓			Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact: Moderate - 4 week delay			Most of these will be confirmed prior to award, remainder will be prior to the physical works to the OLE	As above	
[20.7] Where necessary due to the Heritable Proprietor withholding its consent to building fixings, the cost of the procedure of application to the sheriff pursuant to the Acts of submittal of alternative plans		✓							
[20.8] Failure by tie to respond within 10 days stating its preferred method for supporting the OLE	√			Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact: Moderate - 4 week delay			Most of these will be confirmed prior to award, remainder will be prior to the physical works to the OLE	As above	
[20.9] Failure to procure that CEC uses all reasonable endeavours to assist the Infraco in the procedure for application to the sheriff pursuant to the Acts[46]	√			See Other Mitigation			Although CEC to action, this will be done at Infraco's cost.	As above	
[20.9.1] Rejection of application by sheriff, revised proposals are an Infraco change		✓			1				
[20.9.2] If the Section 16(4) route not used, then tie change	✓			See 20.8 Above				As above	Consequences: Delay to completion of works Mitigation: Obtain agreement to the alternative of poles to building fixes. SDS obligated to obtain all consents required for building fixings.
[20.11] Failure to obtain any necessary Consent required in relation to the sitting of a		✓				1	İ		
building fixing and to enter into a Building Fixing Agreement with the relevant heritable proprietor									
[20.12] Cost of removal of a building fixing	√			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact: Insignificant - £10k			Use pole in place of building fixing.	As above	Insignificant Risk therefore not included in QRA
[20.13] Selection of method for supporting OLE where building cannot support the loadings of a building fixing		√							
[21.4] Failure to obtain any street works licence, road opening permit and any other consent, licence or permission (other than any Land Consents) that may be required for the Infraco Works		√							
[21.5] Failure to give notice to a relevant authority of its proposal to commence any work		√							

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)									
Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public Sector	Private Sector	Shared		OCIP	Other Insurances	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
[22.1] Adverse physical conditions and artificial obstructions[10]	Dector	√			1	msurances	Provide GI, soil survey and interpretive		Note: Infraco takes risk of general conditions reasonably foreseeable by a
22.1 Naverse physical conditions and artificial obstractions 10							reports to Infraco and undertake		competent and experienced Civil Engineering contractor based on ground
							additional ground investigation if		investigation data required.
							necessary.		1 3
[22.5][49] Reasonable extra costs, suspension and/or a variation in dealing with	✓			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			Unexploded Ordnance - Emergency		
unforeseeable unexploded ordnance, unidentified utility apparatus, unidentified				Insignificant - 1 day			services to remove. Programme		
contaminated land or unforeseeable ground conditions							Manager to arrange alternative work		
							area. Infraco will be provided with all		
							records of potential ordnance.		
				Prob: Possible - 50% Impact:			Unidentified Utility - surveys	There is £6.4m in	
				Catastrophic - £2m			undertaken and utility data from Mudfa	the Project Risk	
				Contaminated land – SDS has			works to be provided to Infraco	Allocation for	
				undertaken surveys. Surveys to be				unknown or	
				provided to Infraco				abandoned assets	
[23] Failure to provide tie and tie's Representative and any person authorised by tie or		✓							
tie's Representative with access upon reasonable prior notice to any site, workshop or	1			l			1		
facility etc during normal working hours					↓	!			
[24][50] Failure to execute the Depot Licence and comply with the terms thereof		✓		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Sub-Contracting and Personnel			1				·		
[28.2] Sub-contracting of part of the Infraco Works without tie's consent except in respect		✓							
of SDS Provider, Tram Supplier, Tram Maintainer, the Infrastructure Maintainer and any					1	1			
approved sub-contractor and/or trades			<u> </u>	 	+	1	ļ		
[28.4][51] Failure to incorporate required contract terms into subcontracts to be entered into by agreed "Key Sub-Contractors"		 		l			1		
		✓							
[28.5] Failure to provide such skilled technical assistants and labour as required for		*							
execution of the Infraco Works [28.6] Removal of any employee of the Infraco and/or a Sub-Contractor who misconducts		✓							
himself or is incompetent		*							
		✓							
[28.7][52] Failure to provide Key Sub-Contractor collateral warranties to tie in favour of tie and/or in favour of CEC and Network Rail		*							
[28.8] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to procure that any Sub-Contractor shall		1			+	1			
within 40 business days of any reasonable request by tie provide to tie a collateral									
warranty									
[28.9] Failure in performance by Sub-Contractors		√			 	 			
Performance of the Works					_				
[25.1] Failure to observe the reasonable instructions of tie's Representative		√	I	I	Т	T	I		
[25.7] Failure to inform Infraco of the identity of tie's Representative	√	1		Procedural issue only	1	1			
[26] Acts or omissions of the Infraco's Representative		 		l	1	1			
[26.1] Failure to provide sufficient superintendence to the Infraco Works		 			1	1			
[26.2/26.5/26.7] Failure to obtain/retain tie's approval of the Infraco's Representative or		-			1	1			
his deputy									
[26.10] Failure to provide sufficient staff and involve the Key Personnel	i e	✓	†	İ	1	İ			
[26.12] Failure to ensure that there are no changes to the Key Personnel without tie 's prior	1	✓		i e	1	İ	İ		
written consent and that any replacement persons shall be of at least equivalent status an					1	1			
ability to the person whom they replace					1	1			
[26.13] Failure to use all reasonable endeavours to ensure the continuity of the personnel		✓							
assigned to perform the Infraco Works and to carefully select Key Personnel having				l			1		
careful regard to their existing work load and other planned commitments					1	1			
[26.14] Failure to ensure that Key Personnel have the requisite level of skill, experience		✓		l					
and authority									
[26.16] Contracting of or retention of as an adviser or consultant any person currently or		✓							
previously employed or engaged in the previous 3 months by tie without the prior written				l			1		
approval by tie		 _			ļ				
[26.17] Failure of Key Personnel and other staff to comply with regulatory requirements,		✓		l			1		
tie's drug and alcohol policy		 _			ļ				
[26.18] Allowing the consumption of, or work of under, the influence of alcohol or drugs or	1	✓		l			1		
the giving, selling or bartering of the same		 				ļ			
[27.1] Failure to employ careful, skilled and experienced staff or site supervisors with		✓			1	1			
CSCS (or equivalent) certification					ļ	ļ			
[27.2] The removal of any person employed on the Infraco Works who, in the opinion of		 		l			1		
tie's Representative, misconducts himself or is incompetent or negligent	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ.	<u> </u>	!

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:		Allocation	n	Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
Construction[53]									
[29.1] Errors in the position, levels, dimensions or alignment of any setting out of the Infraco Works during progress of Infraco Works		,							
[30.1] Failure to have full regard to safety of all persons entitled to be on Site and to keep the Site in an orderly state to avoid danger to such persons					√	V			OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions. Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees. Insurance does not cover fines due to breach of legislation.
[30.2] Failure to provide required lights, guards, fencing etc		✓			*	1			A claim for bodily injury may be made on the grounds of failure to protect employees, visitors or trespassers (particulary child trespassers) OCIP covers physical injury to third parties, Employers Liability to employees, both subject to no policy breaches
[30.3] Failure to comply with health and safety legislation and requirements					<i>,</i>				OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions. Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees. Insurance does not cover fines due to breach of legislation.
[30.4][54] Failure to undertake instruction and training and provide and issue passes for admission to the Site					,	_			OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions. Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees. Insurance does not cover fines due to breach of legislation.
[30.6] [55] Failure to provide a list of the names of all workers requiring passes together with two photographs		✓							
[30.9] Failure to ensure that the Infraco Parties are confined only to that portion of the Site necessary to enable them to carry out the Infraco Works					√	_			A claim for bodily injury may be made on the grounds of failure to protect employees, visitors or trespassers (particulary child trespassers) OCIP covers physical injury to third parties, Employers Liability to employees, both subject to no policy breaches
[30.10] Failure to keep the Site secure		✓							
[30.11] Failure to take reasonable steps to prevent unauthorised persons being admitted to the Site		√			*				OCIP covers damage to the works, for example, due to vandalism and liability to third parties for physical damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions.
[31.1][56] Failure to take full responsibility for the care of the Infraco Works from the Effective Date until, in relation to each Section, the date of issue of a Certificate of Sectional Completion in relation to that Section		,			~	,			OCIP covers damage to the works and contractors have insurance for loss or damage to contractor's plant and equipment. Both subject to no breaches of policy terms.
[32.1] Failure to comply with requirements in Schedule 3 (Code of Construction Practice and Code of Maintenance Practice) as to maintenance of access to properties, bus stops and bus services and the closure of roads	i	√							
[32.2] Failure to comply with requirements in Schedule 3 (Code of Construction Practice and Code of Maintenance Practice) and to minimise nuisance, inconvenience of interference to the business or operations of the owners, tenants or occupiers of the Site									OCIP covers legal liability for physical damage or injury to third parties and liability for nuisance, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions
[32.3][57] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to obtain written consent of adjoining or neighbouring landowners with regard to interference with their rights	✓			Prob: Remote - 20% Impact: Minor - £100k					Not agreed position and insignificant risk therefore not included on QRA
[33.1] Failure to use reasonable means to prevent "extraordinary traffic" caused by vehicles related to the ETN.	′								
[33.1] Failure to select routes and use vehicles to as far as possible avoid unnecessary damage to roads and bridges.	1	1							
[33.2][58] Strengthening bridges or altering or improving any highway connecting with the Site to facilitate installation of the Trams, the Infraco's Equipment or Temporary Works		*							
[33.3] Claims for damage to highways or bridges		/			~	/			OCIP covers legal liability to third parties for physical damage to property which would include highways or bridges if caused by the work, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions. OCIP also covers loss or damage to existing structures in the care, custody and control of the project. Where vehicles or plant cause damage to the highways or bridges the liability will be insured under the contractor's Motor third party liability policy.
[34.1] Failure to construct and complete Infraco works in strict accordance with the Agreement and in strict accordance with tie's instructions		√							and party making policy.
[34.2] Failure to keep materials, Infraco's equipment, labour, mode and manner o construction in accordance with the Infraco Contract		1							
[34.3] Claims for Compensation Event if tie's instructions result in delay or disruption of cost to the Infraco				N/A					Such instructions (where not to correct an Infraco default) are likely to be tie changes in any event. This does not relate to a risk but a decision.
[39] Discovery of Fossils etc on Site	1			Prob: Probable - 85% Impact: Moderate - £250k			Identify hotspots	Risk Id - 105, Risk Allocation £194k	
						<u> </u>	Agree Protocol		

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk :		Allocation)	Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP		Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
							Review Infraco programme		
							regarding archaeological hotspots and		
							ensure adequate programme float		
							4. Undertake archaeological work in		
							advance on off-street sections		
Maintenance									
Failure to carry out all maintenance, repair and works to the ETN as is necessary to:									
• [52.1.1] Maintain the ETN in accordance with the Maintenance Programme and the	9	√							
Maintenance Plan • [52.1.2] Ensure that the requirements of the Maintenance Specifications are met at all	1	1							
times									
[52.1.3][59] Comply with the Operator Procedures		✓			ļ				
 [52.1.5] Ensure that no maintenance or repair work shall prejudice to the Care for Safety 	r	1							
• [52.1.9] Hand back of the ETN in a condition consistent with the Infraco having	1	✓							
complied with Clause 52 (<i>Maintenance</i>) provided that: 1) the Infraco will not be in breach									
because of fair wear and tear or expiry of working life, and 2) this clause imposes no									
obligations on the Infraco to carry out any Operator Maintenance									
[52.2/52.3] Failure to work with Operator in respect of daily handover, comments and	t	✓							
checklist									
[52.4][60] Failure to support, assist and co-operate with tie Parties as tie may reasonably require from time to time	<u>/</u>	✓							
[52.5][61] Failure to carry out Mobilisation Services on or before the appropriate Mobilisation Milestone Dates	2	1							
[52.6] Safety and efficiency of the Maintenance Services, so that the ETN is capable or	f	/							OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical
being operated in a safe and efficient manner and free of any reasonably avoidable risk of	f	1			l '	'			damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions. This
pollution, nuisance, interference or hazard	1								includes nuisance and accidental pollution. Pollution clean-up costs and Gradual
polition, habanoc, interference of hazard									Pollution not insured. Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover
									injury to employees. Insurance does not cover fines due to breach of legislation.
									l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
[52.7] Failure to employ and train all staff necessary to perform the Maintenance Services	3	✓		1	1	✓			OCIP covers damage to the works and liability to third parties for physical
in accordance with the Infraco Contract									damage or injury, subject to no breaches of policy terms and conditions.
									Employer's Liability purchased by contractors will cover injury to employees.
									Insurance does not cover fines due to breach of legislation.
[52.8][62] Failure to provide and employ all staff necessary to perform the Mobilisation	1	✓			1				
Services in accordance with the Infraco Contract									
[52.9] Failure to supply only new materials and goods		✓							
[52.10-52.13][63] The provision of all Spare Parts and Special Tools required for the	9	✓							
Maintenance Services (including the provision of valid calibration certificates)									
[52.14] Review of the level of Minimum Spare Parts Pool			1	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact: Minor - £25k			Mitigation: Agree spare parts pool with operator prior to contract award		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[52 14] Variation of the Minimum Spare Parts Pool[64]	-			See 52.14 Above			As above		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[52.14] Variation of the Minimum Spare Parts Pool[64] [52.15] Effecting repairs of all defects in, failures or damage to the ETN irrespective of	f	√		JCC JZ. 14 ABOVE	-		7.0 0.000		OCIP CAR covers damage caused by defective design or workmanship but
cause.	Ί	'			1	l		ĺ	excludes costs of improving design materials and workmanship, which arises
	1		I	1	1				during construction or occurring within 12 months of commencement of
					1			ĺ	Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs soley due to the existence of a
					1			ĺ	defect. There must be damage under OCIP. Contractors are required to
									purchase Professional Indemnity insurance which covers their legal liability for
									acts of ommissions following breaches of their professional activities or duties.
[52.16] Cost of repairs referred to in 52.15 above to the extent that any damage to the		-				./			OCIP CAR covers damage caused by defective design or workmanship but
ETN is caused by: 1) a breach of the Infraco Contract by Infraco or 2) any negligent act of		'			1 '	'		ĺ	excludes costs of improving design materials and workmanship, which arises
lomission by the Infraco or any Infraco Party	Ί			1	1			ĺ	during construction or occurring within 12 months of commencement of
on solon by the nindee of dify nindee I dity	1		I	1	1				Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs soley due to the existence of a
	1		1	1	1			1	defect. There must be damage under OCIP. Contractors are required to
					1			ĺ	purchase Professional Indemnity insurance which covers their legal liability for
									acts of ommissions following breaches of their professional activities or duties.
					1			ĺ	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	•	•	•		•	•			

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)		Allasation		Immed			Mitirations	Tio comments	
Risk:	D. d. C.	Allocation		Impact	OOLD	loui	Mitigations	Te:-!- All 0	Tie comments
	Public Sector	Private Sector	Shared		OCIP	Other Insurances	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
52.17][65] Cost of repair to the extent that any damage to the ETN is NOT caused by 1) a	Jectoi √	Jector		Prob: Possible - 50% Impact:	1	ilisurances	As per clause 17.34		OCIP CAR covers damage caused by defective design or workmanship but
preach of the Infraco Contract by Infraco or 2) any negligent act or omission by the Infraco				Moderate - £500k	·		7.0 per diadoc 17.04		excludes costs of improving design materials and workmanship, which arises
or any Infraco Party	1		1	Moderate - 2500k					during construction or occurring within 12 months of commencement of
or any mindoo r arry			1						Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs soley due to the existence of a
			1						defect. There must be damage under OCIP.
[52.19] Temporary Repairs and obtaining approvals thereafter		→			√	+			Temporary repairs to the works from an insured event covered under OCIP,
		,							subject to no policy breaches.
[52.20/52.21] Failure to provide assistance, information and advice to tie and the Operator		 							
which is reasonably required in the case of incidents or failures affecting the ETN and			1						
reporting thereon[66]									
[52.23] Failure to report and propose a solution to defects where rectification falls within	1	✓							
the scope of the Infrastructure Maintenance Services in the ETN which may prejudice			1						
safety or reliable operation of the ETN[67]									
[52.24] Failure to provide additional systems availability requested by tie following	1	✓							
Infraco's confirmation of its ability to so provide			1						
52.25] Failure to keep up to date and supply a maintenance manual, electronically and		✓							
ree of charge, to tie's representative			1						
53][68] Use, handling and disposal of Hazardous Materials		√					†		
54][69] Maintenance of Technical Records and Computer Systems	†	→	1 		+	1		†	
Quality, Testing and Examination					_				
(35.1) Testing and examination of the quality, weight or quantity of any materials used			T	T			T	1	T
	1	1 '	1						
before use in the Infraco Works		+				-		ļ	
[35.2] Costs of samples		√					<u> </u>		
[35.3/41.2/44.2/47.2][70] Costs of any tests specified tests (additional tests will be a tie	2	✓	1	Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			ERs include tests required and these		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
change)			1	Insignificant - £25k			have been priced accordingly.		
			1				Additional tests will be in response to		
			1				particular circumstances and may be at		
			1				Infraco's cost or a tie change.		
			1				Construction supervision and		
			1				application by contractor of quality		
			1				management procedures will reduce the		
			1				likelihood of additional tests.		
[36.1] Failure to give 48 hours notice to allow examination of work prior to covering up		✓							
[36.2] Cost of uncovering where Infraco Works are found to be in accordance with	√		1	Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact:		1	ERs include tests required and these	İ	Construction supervision will reduce the likelihood of additional tests.
Agreement			1	Insignificant - £25k			have been priced accordingly.		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
			1				Additional tests will be in response to		, v
			1				particular circumstances and may be at		
			1				Infraco's cost or a tie change.		
			1				Construction supervision and		
			1				application by contractor of quality		
			1				management procedures will reduce the		
			1				likelihood of additional tests.	1	
[36.2] Cost of uncovering where Infraco Works are found not to be in accordance with		→					inclinood of additional tests.		
Agreement	<u> </u>								
37.1] Unsatisfactory work or materials	ļ	√					A- 05 0		
37.5] Costs of opening up/testing for unsatisfactory work/materials after repeat offences.		✓				1	As 35.3	<u> </u>	
[38.3] Urgent repairs carried out by tie which the Infraco was liable to carry out under the		✓			→				Temporary repairs to the works from an insured event covered under OCIP,
Agreement and which in the opinion of tie's Representative, acting reasonably, gives rise	;		1						subject to no policy breaches.
to an immediate threat to health and safety			1						
[38.4] Urgent repairs carried out by the Infraco (except to the extent such work results	√ .			See 52.17[65] Above	✓		ERs include tests required and these		Mitigation: Covered by OCIP, subject to no policy breaches, therefore not
rom Infraco's default)	1	1	1			1	have been priced accordingly.		included in QRA- Infraco liable for deductible if they are at fault. Budget
			1				Additional tests will be in response to		allowance for tie deductibles.
			1				particular circumstances and may be at		
		1					Infraco's cost or a tie change.		
		1					Construction supervision will reduce the		
	I	1	1			1	likelihood of additional tests.		
37.1] Unsatisfactory work or materials	1	→	1		✓				OCIP CAR covers damage caused by defective design, materials or
-	1	1	1		1	1	1		workmanship but excludes costs of improving design materials and
	1	I	1				1		workmanship, which arises during construction or occurring within 12 months of
			1						commencement of Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs solev due to
									commencement of Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs soley due to the existence of a defect. There must be damage under OCIP.
[37.5][71] Costs of opening up and testing for unsatisfactory work and materials following	1								commencement of Maintenance Period. It does not cover repairs soley due to the existence of a defect. There must be damage under OCIP. Position as 35.3

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie) Risk:		Allocation	1	Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
AND A	Public		Shared		OCIP	Other		Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector			100	Insurances	James minguistre		
[40] Errors or omissions in the Infraco Works		✓				✓			Contractors are required to purchase Professional Indemnity insurance which
									covers their legal liability to pay compensation for acts of ommissions following
									breaches of their professional activities or duties.
[43.5][72] Compliance with obligations in the Tram Inspector Agreement (Non-compliance	✓	✓		Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:			Apply effective project management		Majority of risk lies with Infraco. Minor risk to tie therefore not included in QRA.
<u>with)</u>				Minor - £25k			and respond promptly to any approval		
110 (110 o c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c						ļ	process.		-
[43.10] Costs of appointment and services of the Tram Inspector	✓		-	Not a risk - included in budget		<u> </u>			Tram Inspector costs included in budget.
[44.1/45.1/47.1] Failure to inspect the Infraco works on the dates specified	•			Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:					Subject to negotiation
[46.2][73] Carrying out of Snagging		1	 	Minor - £25k					
[47.2/47.4] Failure to complete T5 and to satisfy tie that T5 is complete and that a Network	(· /							
Certificate/Reliability Certificate should be issued	Ì								
[48] Tests, surveys, trials or searches at tie's request where the defect or fault is one fo	r 🗸			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			ERs include tests required and these		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
which the Infraco is NOT liable under the Agreement				Insignificant - £25k			have been priced accordingly.		
							Additional tests will be in response to		
							particular circumstances and may be at		
							Infraco's cost or a tie change.		
							Construction supervision and application by contractor of quality		
							management procedures will reduce the		
							likelihood of additional tests.		
[48] Tests, surveys, trials or searches at tie's request where the defect or fault is one fo	r	-			_		intolineda di additional toolo.		
which the Infraco is liable under the Agreement									
[55.3][74] Costs associated with surveys and audits which do not show non-compliance by	/ /			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			Construction supervision and		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
the Infraco				Insignificant - £25k			application by contractor of quality		
							management procedures will reduce the	:	
							likelihood of additional tests.		
[55.4] Costs associated with surveys and audits which show a material non-compliance by	/	✓							
the Infraco [55.5/55.6] Failure to rectify in agreed period		-			_	_			
[56.1][75] Failure to comply with Schedule 6 Maintenance Payment Regime in respect of	f	 	<u> </u>		+	1	Mitigation: To apply performance		Performance and payment regime mirrored in DPOFA contract.
performance monitoring	<u> </u>						management during maintenance		enormance and payment regime minored in Dr Or A contidue.
							phase		
[56.3-56.5] Failure to submit Service Quality Reports, Annual Service Reports and Self	-	✓							
Monitoring Plans at the required times									
[56.6] Failure to assist tie in respect of monitoring procedures		√							
[56.8] Increased monitoring as a result of Underperformance Warning Notices being	9	✓							
issued						-			
[105.1.2] Failure to operate a quality management system in accordance with BS EN 1S0 9001:2000	Ί	'							
Programme						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
[60.1/60.9/62.1][76] Failure to progress Infraco Works with due expedition and in a timely	/	√	T	T	Т	T	Liquidated damages provide recovery		LDs capped at 10% of contract value.
and efficient manner in accordance with the Programme and to mitigate any delays							of project costs.		l ''
[60.4] Deemed acceptance of a revised programme due to failure by tie's Representative				Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			Apply effective project management to		Programme is produced for the purposes of project management of Infraco.
to accept, reject or request further information within 10 Business Days in respect o	f			Minor - 2 weeks			respond within time limit. Also, a		Does not entitle extensions of time however material inaccuracies in programme
revised programmes proposed by the Infraco							second chance reminder which Infraco		could affect defence of claims for extension of time.
[60.2/60.5/60.7] Failure to update and to provide further information in respect of the		-					is required to issue		
revised programme proposed by Infraco	1	'							
[62.1] Late completion of any Section resulting in LDs NOTE: LDs/drafting O/S		→	 						
[62.6] ^[77] Failure to deliver a Tram to the Depot by the Agreed Delivery Date ^[78]	 	√			+	1			
[62.11] Tram exceeding Maximum Tram Weight		/			+				
[87.1] Suspension on instructions of tie's Representative in circumstances not provided	1 1	1	<u> </u>	Prob: Insignificant - 5% Impact:		1	Good planning by tie and CEC should	50.98	Clause is to protect tie for necessary suspension due to unforeseeable events.
below				Catastrophic - £1m			avoid need to apply this suspension.		Risk Id 1009
[87.1.3] Suspension necessary for the proper construction and completion or for the	9	✓		· ·					
Safety of the Infraco Works				<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
[87.2] Occurrence of termination or omission of Infraco Works if permission to resume no	t ✓			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact:			Suspensions are generally due to	130.73	Risk Id 1010
granted by tie within 6 months				Catastrophic - £10m		1	Infraco default. If tie responsibility -		
						1	unlikely to apply to whole of works and		
		1	1			1	partial suspension could be deployed for affected area.		
							ioi anecieu area.		

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:	Allocation			Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	£
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
Relief Events (time) and Compensation Events (time and/or costs)		-				-	Inc. c. A. I. ID.I.	·	IN COURT OF THE CO
[Definition of Compensation Event] Occurrence of any delay caused by tie failing to give				Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:			Mitigation: Agree Land Release		Note all GVDs issued. Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
possession or access including refusal of third party to permit Infraco to exercise occupation rights.				Moderate - £200k			schedule with Infraco prior to contract award.		
e e e e pe e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	─			D 1 D 1 000/1		+			Not an insure for the Desiret Birth Desiretes on this is a society of OFO insure
[18.20/definition of Compensation Event] Occurrence of any delay caused by CEC	*			Prob: Remote - 30% Impact:			Mitigation: To agree full on-street construction works methodology prior to		Not an issue for the Project Risk Register as this is a residual CEC issue
stopping up streets				Catastrophic - £1m			contract award. This gives CEC clear		
							understanding of sequence and timing		
							of Infraco works. CEC where possible		
							to schedule other work around Tram.		
							To be monitored on a monthly basis.		
[Definition of Compensation Event] Occurrence of any delay caused by Utilities Works,	✓			Prob: Possible - 40% Impact:		İ	Construction programme has been	522.9	Risk Id 1011
MUDFA Works, breach of Third Party Agreements, Unplanned City Events, New Utilities				Catastrophic - £1m			sequenced and interleaved with that of		
and/or any other event referred to as a Compensation Event							Infraco's. Infraco programme to be		
							shared with CEC and significant third		
ı							parties and monitored on a period by		
							period bias to avoid programme		
						<u> </u>	clashes.		
[Definition of Compensation Event] Delay caused by breach by tie a tie Party or act of	✓			Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:			Apply effective project management		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
Network Rail which adversely affects the performance of the Infraco Works	<u> </u>	ļ		Moderate - £200k			and control		
[Definition of Compensation Event] Delay caused by discovery of unexploded ordnance,	✓			Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:			See Clause 22		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
utility apparatus or contaminated land which did not at the time of such discovery form part				Moderate - £100k		1			
of the Infraco Works						ļ			
[Definition of Compensation Event] Any inaccuracy, incompleteness or unfitness for				To be reviewed					Subject to negotiation - should end after due diligence
purpose of any of the Background Information. NOTE: This is not consistent with									
clauses 7.9 and 7.10 and results in significant risk retention. To be reviewed	-					+	<u> </u>		
[Definition of Compensation Event] Failure by tie to obtain Land Consent, land agreement or TRO.	ľ								
[Definition of Compensation Event] Power Failure or bursting of pipes, apparatus save	─	-			 	 	 		
when caused by Infraco.	'				1				
[Definition of Compensation Event] Vandalism impacting ETN.			✓		→	+			
[Definition of Compensation Event] Operator interference with Infraco.	✓	 		Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:		1			Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
				Moderate - £500k			Back off to Operator through DPOFA		and granded the control of the contr
[34.3] Occurrence of any referable delay caused by instructions from tie's Representative	✓			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact: Minor			Apply effective project management		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
under Clause 34.3				£100k			117		
[37.5/definition] Occurrence of any referable delay caused by orders or directions from	✓			See 34.3 Above		1	Apply effective project management		See also mitigations referred to under clauses 35 and 36. Insignificant risk
tie's Representative in respect of the removal of unsatisfactory work or materials[79]									therefore not included in QRA.
[49.1/49.3] Failure to remove materials and equipment at the correct time		✓							
[63.2/63.7][80] Cost of repairing or making good any damage to property or personal injury	✓			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:	✓		Apply stakeholder management and		OCIP covers loss or damage to the works by protestor action and any legal
or death suffered on the Site caused by Protestor Action except where such Protestor				Moderate - £250k			monitor stakeholder groups for potential		liability to the project which results, subject to no policy breaches
Action is directed at the Infraco "personally" and not at the project.							areas of strong dissent and direct		
							action.		
[Definition of Relief Event] Delay caused by terrorism	✓			Impossible to quantify	✓				Covered under OCIP for accumulated costs resulting from insured events for
							<u> </u>		£24m for 2 years, subject to 60 day deductile
[Definition of Relief Event] Delay caused by UK strike or industrial dispute affecting Infraco	✓			Impossible to quantify			Principally Infraco risk unless national		Principally Infraco risk unless national strike, refer to general delay risk item
workforce.						ļ	strike		
[86.6/definition of Relief Event] Delay caused by a Force Majeure Event	✓			Impossible to quantify	+	1	 		Parties responsible for own costs, refer to general delay risk item
[61.1] Increase to rate of progress where no entitlement to extension of time		✓	<u> </u>	Dooks Domoto 400/ 1	+	1	Apply timply decision motion		This is not really a viel, but a decision to be used in the electronic
[61.6] Acceleration measures required by tie to accelerate programme not arising out of	 			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:	1	1	Apply timely decision making and		This is not really a risk but a decision to be made in the circumstance.
any default by the Infraco	1			Moderate - £500k	1		anticipate potential problems to minimize need for any acceleration.		1
						1	Assess the requirement for any		
	1						acceleration on a business case basis		
							מוסטטפומנוטון טון מ טעטווופסט טמספ טמסוס		
[63.1] Delay caused by Protestor Action	√	 		Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:	√		Apply stakeholder management and	1	Only a public sector risk to the extent that it is not caused by Infraco If damage
L	1			Moderate - £250k			monitor stakeholder groups for potential		or loss occurs, delay costs would contribute to overall DSU cover under OCIP,
							areas of strong dissent and direct		subject to 60 day deductible
							action.		
[Definition of Relief Event] Delay caused by fire, flood (other than flood caused by bursting	✓	1	Ì	Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			1		Covered under OCIP in respect of works. Allowance for deductibles in estimate
or overflowing of apparatus and pipes), explosion, lightning, tempest or earthquakes,				Impossible to quantify		1			Contractor bears own costs for Relief Event
power failure or failure of operators or pipes]		1			
[63.3] Removal of protestors from the ETN[81]	√	T		1					

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:	Allocation)	Impact	Mitigations				Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
[65.3][82] [Definition] Failure to notify tie within 20 Business Days of awareness of delay event/compensation event	<u>(</u>	*							
[64/65.8.1][83] Failure to identify long lead time works. NOTE: drafting remains to be settled. Roley seeks agreement POA Preferred Bidder.	2	1							
[64/65.8.2] Failure to identify enabling works required		-							
[64/65.8.3] Failure to manage interface with CEC		· /							
[64/65.8.4] Failure to manage interface with third party consent provider		'							
[64/65.8.5] Failure to identify required instructions		· /							
[64/65.9] Any other cause of delay not being a Relief Event or Compensation Event or caused by Infraco breach	r	7							
[80.14] Delay/costs due to a tie Change (save where the Infraco could have prevented the	1	+	 	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact: Minor -		!	Apply effective project management		All significant changes to be dealt with by applying 'business case' justification
need for the tie change)[22]	•			£100k			and project stakeholders to minimize need for changes		and by application of the Change Control Process. Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA.
[87.1] Occurrence of any referable delay/costs caused by suspension by tie's Representative	· 🗸			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact: Catastrophic - £1m			Apply effective project management and minimize need for changes	9.67	Risk Id 1012
Payment and Measurement				Dulustropino Lini		•	and the state of t		
[41.1] Failure to attend testing relevant to Milestone achievement	✓		I	1	I	I		l	Under negotiation
[41.3] Failure to satisfy tie in relation to the achievement of a milestone (including a critical milestone)	l	√							ender negodiation
Payment provisions are not complete and cannot be commented on here	 	+	 	 	!	!	 		
[67.6/67.8][84] Failure to submit valid VAT invoice on time		1							
		 							Deleted by Coope
[67.14/68.12][85] Failure to adhere to requirement to procure collateral warranties prior to payment	2	,							Deleted by Scoop
68.4 Failure by tie to issue Maintenance Service Interim Certificate deemed to be an issue of MSIC for full amount claimed	✓			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact: Minor - £100k					Under negotiation. Same clause on Scoop not amended. Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[69.1] Interest on Late Payment at Base Rate + 2% (against Infraco Invoice or tie set off)	✓								
[69.3] Failure to issue a notice of withholding within the prescribed time period	~			Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact: Minor - £100k			Apply effective contract management		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[70.1] Payment of tax on any taxable supplies to tie		✓							
[70.5] Reimbursement of third party VAT intended in Party Costs	✓	✓					CEC reclaim VAT		Inserted clause by Roley therefore not on Scoop
Warranties									
[75] Breach of corporate warranties		✓							
Required Insurance									
[76.1] Failure to procure and maintain Required Insurances		✓							
[76.11] Failure to comply with the terms of the Required Insurances or OCIP Insurances		1			√	√			All interested parties insured under OCIP. OCIP includes a non-vitiation clause which protects the rigts of other insured parties
[76.13] Commercially unreasonable rates and terms of insurance			√	See tie comments			Monitor market but dealing with major firms that should be able to get reasonable terms		Not a risk - premiums agreed for duration
[76.14] Failure to obtain or maintain OCIP Insurances	*			Not a risk - OCIP in place	*	*	Monitor market but dealing with major firms that should be able to get reasonable terms		OCIP in place for duration of construction phase. Operational phase has still to be placed. All contracts contain clauses that if tie do not effect an OCIP then the contractor must effect Additional Joint named insurances covering the same risks and this will be treated as a tie change
[76.16] Excesses/deductibles under OCIP Insurances or Additional Insurances to the extent that the claim is due to acts or omissions of the Infraco	•								tie has a budget for deductibles which it is responssible for under OCIP. Contractor bears own costs.
[49.2] Loss or damage to Infraco's Equipment, Temporary Works, goods or materials, Trams, engineers works vehicles, Spare Parts, Special Tools (except as stated in Clause 77.1)		√			~				OCIP covers loss or damage to the works. Contractors insure loss or damage to own equipment and plant, both subject to no policy breaches
[77.1][89] The Infraco to indemnify the Indemnified Parties from and against any and all claims, suits, losses, liabilities damages, penalties, fines, forfeitures, and the costs and expenses incident thereto (including without limitation any legal costs of defence) as a result of the Infraco's negligence or breach of the Agreement		V			*				OCIP covers loss or damage to the works and libaility to third parties which contains a waiver of subrogation agaiant all insured parties. Contractor responsible for deductibles unless not negligent. Contractors insure Employer's Liability risks and both INFRACO and TRAMCO have agreed waiver of subrogations for tie. Fines, penalties not insured.

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

Risk:	Allocation Impact					Mitigations	Tie comments		
	Public Sector	Private Sector	Shared		OCIP	Other Insurances	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
[77.2] Death of, or injury to, persons, loss of or damage to property, loss or damage to the		Dector		Prob: Improbable - 3% Impact:	✓	ilisurances			Legal liability to third parties for physical damage or injury for costs damages
Infraco Works; causing itself or CEC to be in breach of any law, the Asset Protection Act,				Dependent upon circumstances					and expenses covered under OCIP. Fines and penalties not insured.
The DPOFA, the Roads Demarcation Agreement, Tram Inspector Agreement, the Third				however provided for in OCIP					
Party Agreements, other undertakings, Land Consent, Permanent Land or Temporary	1								
Land; IPR infringement, making alternative arrangements resulting from any act, omission,									
neglect, or breach of statutory duty by tie, CEC, any tie Party or any of their respective									
agents, servants or other contractors (not being employed by the Infraco or any Infraco									
Party) or for or in respect of any claims, suits, losses, liabilities, damages, penalties, fines,									
forfeitures, demands, proceedings, damages, costs, charges and expenses									
[77.10][90] Indirect Losses NOTE: under negotiation	✓	✓		Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact	✓		Agree programme and methodologies	26.15	Infraco bears losses in relation to Third Parties indirect losses up to the level of
				Catastrophic - £2m			with significant Third Parties		the liability cap where caused by their failure, tie bears losses where due to tie
									failure. Risk Id 1013. The Utility Companies customer charger payments are
									excluded but are the responsibility of the INFRACO contractor (included within
									cap). OCIP covers £1m for pure financial loss cover, i.e. economic losses under
									delict. The financial loss cover excludes liabilities assumed under contract. No cover for tie breach of procurement rules.
[77.9] Cap on liability - negotiation to be finalised and confirmed CHECK right clause									Losses capped at 20% of the construction element of contract excluding
reference					I				receipts from Bonds and losses arising from insurable events (but insurable
									losses capped at OCIP indemnity level). LDs capped at 10% of construction
									element of contract sum.
Changes			<u> </u>						
[79.2] Failure to maintain a change control register and provide a copy (and updates) to tie		*							
[80] tie Change	✓			As 80.14 Above			Apply effective project management		
							and minimize need for changes		
[80.4/80.8] Failure to comply with Estimate time limits		✓							
[80.6/80.14] Failure to include attempt to minimise costs, need for, and impact of the tie		✓							
Change									
[80.7] Failure to demonstrate that it is appropriate to subcontract for the tie Change and		✓							
obtain best value for money									
[80.8] Failure to agree the Estimate			✓	Prob: Remote - 20% Impact: Minor -			Apply effective project management.		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
				£100k (these refer to DRP costs)			Contract procedure deals with		
							mitigation.		
[80.11] Withdrawal of tie Notice of Change	✓			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:					Clause inserted by Roley. Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[00 40][04] Decreed with decreed of a tile Observe due to feither to increed to Observe Order				Insignificant - £10k			A l		In all marks and winds the anatom and time board of the ODA
[80.12][91] Deemed withdrawal of a tie Change due to failure to issue tie Change Order within 30 days of agreement on Estimate			 	Prob: Remote - 10% Impact:			Apply effective project management.		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
				Insignificant - £10k					Hadan a sastatian
[80.13] No EoT or Costs if Infraco could have foreseen the need for or materially reduced the scope of the tie charge. NOTE: under review by Roley	/								Under negotiation
		/							
[80.15-80.17] Failure by the Infraco to notify tie within set periods of matters which may									
constitute a tie Change [81] Infraco Change		1	-		-	-			
[81.1] Failure to notify tie of matters which may constitute and Infraco Change		\ \ \ \ \	-		-	-			
		· ·							
[81.2.1] Reduction in Contract Price if Infraco Change results in lower costs	<u> </u>	-							
[81.2.2] Increase in costs to Infraco if such increased costs result from an Infraco Change		*							
[81.3] Failure to propose a change which might result in a saving of more than £20,000		✓				-			
[82.2] Failure to take reasonable steps to minimise the inconvenience to the Infraco and		✓							
disruption to the Programme of any Small Works.									
[82.3] Failure to carry out and complete any Small Works in accordance with Small Works Cost Notice		*							
[82.4] Payment of costs in Small Works Cost Notice	✓			Not a risk		 	Apply effective project management to		Not a risk but a decision - included in general contingency.
[2-2.1] · My				1101			minimize changes as 80.14		The second of th
[83.2] Failure to take reasonable steps to minimise the duration of any Accommodation		1			i	i e	3		
Works	1				I				
[83.3] Failure to carry out and complete any Accommodation Works in accordance with	✓			This is not a risk as tie will be paying	i	i			Mitigation: apply effective project management to monitor provisional sum
Accommodation Works Cost Notice				these costs. There is provisional sum	I				5 1,7 2 2 2 2 1 2,7 2 2 2 2,5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	I		1	of £1m in the contract.					
	•	•	•		-	-	•		

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie) Risk:		Allocation		Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
Not.	Public		Shared	Impact	OCIP	Other		Risk Allocation - £	The Comments
		Sector	onarou		"	Insurances	Caron imaganone	THORY INCOME IN	
[84] ^[92] Qualifying Changes in Law ^[93] (time and cost implications)	•			Prob: Improbable - 5% (During Construction) Impact: Minor - £100k			Qualifying Change of Law covers either a Discriminatory Change of Law (applying to the Edinburgh Tram Network or Infraco only) or a Specific Change of Law (applying to works the same as or similar to Infraco Works). Infraco are responsible for additional costs up to thresholds noted in Clause 84 but tie is responsible for any Qualifying Change of Law in the event that these thresholds are exceeded. Given the timescales to implement changes, this is more likely to be applicable to the maintenance phase.		Mitigation for Qualifying Change in Law is to deliver within current requirements but threshold as noted below. Not a significant risk therefore not included in QRA.
• [84.2.1] failure to use all reasonable endeavours to minimise increase in costs]	✓	I						
• [84.2.2-3] failure to mitigate effects and implement changes in most cost effective	:								
manner	ł	—	}						
	ł		ł						
[84.3] Failure to implement the change in all circumstances (except to the extent that such change is not necessary to implement the Qualifying Change in Law)		1							
[84.4.1][94] Payment in respect of Qualifying Changes in Law which exceed a threshold of £150,000.	✓			See 84 Above			See 84 Above		See above
[84.4.2] Where the limit of £150,000 in aggregate has been exceeded, payment in respect of SDS Qualifying Changes in Law are above a threshold of £15,000 in respect of each and every event.	→			See 84 Above			See 84 Above		See above
[84.4.3], Where the limit of £150,000 in aggregate has been exceeded, payment in respect of Tram Supply Qualifying Changes in Law which are above a threshold in respect of £30,000 in respect of each and every event	*			See 84 Above			See 84 Above		See above
[84.4.4] Where the limit of £150,000 in aggregate has been exceeded, payment in respect of Tram Maintenance Qualifying Changes which are above a threshold of £15,000 in respect of each and every event.	,			See 84 Above			See 84 Above		See above
[84.4.5] Where the limit of £150,000 in aggregate has been exceeded, payment in respect of Infrastructure Maintenance Qualifying Changes in Law which are above a threshold of £75,000 in respect of each and every event. NOTE: Roley is seeking a cap on aggregate exposure under all of these provisions of £150,000. tie to consider and revert				See 84 Above			See 84 Above		
[84.5] General Change In Law[95]			✓	Not a risk to tie					CEC to back off changes in law to TS in funding agreement
[85.1] The cost of any Phase 1b option	✓			N/A			N/A		Not a risk but a decision to be made against an option
[86.2.2] The cost of any Network Expansions Termination	—			N/A			N/A		Not a risk but a decision to be made against an option
[87] Suspension of Work (unless provided for in the Agreement, or is necessary by reason of the weather or some default on the part of Infraco or is necessary for proper construction and safety reasons) for 6 months NOTE: tie considering grounds for suspension. [88.8] tie Default termination liability: all works carried out as valued; prelims;	√			As 87.2			As 87.2 Provide forecasts, updated on a four		Under negotiation
supplies/materials committed under contract; demobilisation costs; subcontractor breakage costs; loss of profit (under negotiation by Roley)							weekly period basis. CEC to manage treasury function to ensure funds are available.		
[88.9] Suspension for non-payment by tie	•						Provide forecasts, updated on a four weekly period basis. CEC to manage treasury function to ensure funds are available.		Scoop have removed this clause
[89] Voluntary Termination by tie three years after the issue of the first certificate of Service Commencement	√ (financial	✓		This is a tie 's discretion and shouldn't be undertaken if results in significant impact at the time.					A decision - not risk
Risk of termination due to: (see definition of Infraco Default)									
[Definition] Infraco insolvency event [Definition] broach of provision of the Agreement which metarially and advarsally	<u> </u>	✓		 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ		
 [Definition] breach of provision of the Agreement which materially and adversely affects the Infraco Works not remedied within 30 days 									
LDs cap at Clause 62.3 is exceeded.		✓							
 [Definition] Infraco's unremedied failure to take out and maintain the Required Insurances 		✓							

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)									
Risk:		Allocation		Impact	Table Table		Mitigations	-	Tie comments
	Public Sector	Private Sector	Shared		OCIP	Other Insurances	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
• [Definition] failure to achieve Sectional Completion Date or the Service		✓							
Commencement Date on or before the date falling [12 months] after the Planned Service									
Commencement Date or Planned Sectional Completion Date									
[Definition] Infraco's breach of confidentiality obligations		✓							
• [Definition] Infraco's failure to resolve a conflict of interest - subject to due diligence		1							
[Definition] Change in legal status or control of the Infraco which is materially	,	✓							
prejudicial to carrying out and completing the Infraco Works - subject to review		-							
 [Definition] Permanent Abandonment without due cause of the whole of the Infracc Works or a material part of them 		Ť							
 [Definition] Infraco's failure to commence Works within 90 days of Commencement Date 	t	 							ļ ,
[Definition] Infraco's continued suspension of works for 15 days after a written notice	;	✓							
to proceed - subject to review • [Definition] The issue of 3 or more underperformance Warning Notices in any 12		√		+	 	 	 	1	
month period - Roley requires due diligence		,							
• [Definition of tie Default] tie's failure to make payment due under Interim Certificates	ş ✓			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact:			Provide forecasts, updated on a four	N/A	Risk Id 1015
exceeding, in aggregate, 5% of the Contract Price				Black Flag			weekly period basis. CEC to manage treasury function to ensure funds are available.		
· [Definition or tie Default] tie's breach of obligation under the Agreement which	✓			Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact:			Apply effective project management.	N/A	Risk Id 1016
materially and adversely affects the carrying out/completion of the Infraco Works[31]				Black Flag			CEC to support tie with quick and effective decision making.		
tie Insolvency Event	✓						Ĭ		
		✓							
• tie breach of assignation provision (assignation permitted to CEC, Minister and TEL otherwise requires Infraco prior consent which can be withheld without reason)									
[91.6] Force Majeure Event(ultimate termination as per tie Default)	~	1		Prob: Improbable - 1% Impact: Black Flag			Each party carries own costs	N/A	
[92] Infraco or Sub-Contractor commits a Prohibited Act		✓							
Miscellaneous									
[50.3][96] Failure in role as Principal Contractor under CDM where such action results from any action, lack of action or default on the part of the Infraco.		'							
[51.1] Failure to report accidents to HSE		✓			i –	1			
[58][97] TUPE responsibilities - Roley has required extensive amendment			1	This is only an issue once the maintenance phase ends					
[58.9][98] Costs of compliance with TUPE provisions	/			This is only an issue once the	 	+	Contractual protections for frustrations		Would be dealt with in any business case for taking maintenance in-house.
	, i			maintenance phase ends			of transfer to new maintainer		would be dealt with in any business case for taking maintenance in-nouse.
[59.5] Reasonable and demonstrable costs arising and steps being required following a step-in for Health and Safety and Environmental reason	l	*							
[71.2] Tax fluctuations where tie is informed of such increase within 3 months	✓			Prob: Remote - 10% Impact: Major -			Residual Risk - CEC to back this off to	see tie comments	Applies to employee national insurance and VAT only. Risk ld 1017 but not
				£500k			TS under funding agreement		included in QRA as CEC to manage
[71.2] Tax fluctuations where tie is NOT informed of such increase within 3 months	-	✓		+	 	+	 		
[73] Failure to provide reasonable assistance to tie in respect of best value performance and improvement		Ť							
[95.3/95.4/95.5] Failure to provide continuity services following termination		✓			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
[[98.1-98.2] Unauthorised Assignation	✓	✓		Theoretical risk only					Mitigation: follow contract
[98.3 & 4] Failure to inform tie of any change in legal status/control	✓	✓		Theoretical risk only	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
[99] Occurrence of a Conflict of Interest		√							
[101.2] Unauthorised disclosure of confidential information		✓					ļ		
[101.7] Breach of terms of FOISA[99]	→			Prob: Remote - 20% Impact: Moderate - £100k					Not on QRA - not a material risk
[102] Unauthorised use of Project IPR - provisions remain under negotiation						1			Subject to negotiation
[102.2] Failure properly to assign IPR or grant appropriate licences to tie					<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		Subject to negotiation
[102.4] Failure to grant a licence in accordance with this clause					<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		Subject to negotiation
[102.9] Failure to use reasonable endeavours to procure licences of Third Party Software for tie		✓	<u> </u>						Subject to negotiation
[102.10] Failure to ensure back up of Deliverables in accordance with Good Industry Practice	′	✓							Subject to negotiation
[102.12] Failure to provide source code, object code and documentation in relation to Third Party Software to tie		*							Subject to negotiation
[102.9] Failure to procure the grant of a sub-licence for any relevant Third Party Software		✓							Subject to negotiation
	I	I	L		l .	1			

ROLEY INFRACO CONTRACT

EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK

ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT [05] October 2007

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

(Based on 8 March 2007	version of the infraco	Contract as	negotiated by	tie/

Risk:		Allocation	n	Impact			Mitigations		Tie comments
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			
[103] Breach of data controller obligations		✓							
[105.3] Failure to comply with the HSQE system		✓							
[115] Breach of discrimination legislation		✓							
[118] Failure to act reasonably when exercising discretion.			✓						
[121] Failure to arrange interface with operator	✓								
Dispute Resolution			•						
[Schedule 9 paragraph 7] Loss of right to dispute due to failure to raise dispute within 3	✓	✓		Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			Mitigation: apply effective and project		
months				Minor - £100k			contract management		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA
[Schedule 9 paragraph 9] Loss of right to dispute due to failure to meet any timescales	✓	✓		Prob: Improbable - 5% Impact:			Mitigation: apply effective and project		
prescribed in DRP (Other than in respect of the adjudication provisions)				Minor - £100k			contract management		Insignificant risk therefore not included in QRA

- [1] Foley to review wording.
- [2] Business days and mar-up review subject to agreement on how ERs are amended.
- [3] Roley accepts subject to review of SI reports.
- [4] Agreed subject to DD.
- [5] Agreed subject to review of bridge assessments in due diligence and reassessment prior to award
- [6] Clause 6.3 contains partnering obligations
- [7] <u>Background Information Subject to DD, review of land risk provisions and ER</u> amendments. Further drafting required to reflect risk position.
- [8] Roley has accepted risk for System Integration but further review of details under novated contracts and Employer's Requirements is required
- [9] Subject to availability of sureties to provide such Bond.
- [10] Needs to reflect that 2 PCGs will be provided.
- [11] Subject to review of Asset Protection Agreement and inclusion of any other parties.
- [12] Further review of details under novated contracts/ERs required.
- [13] Accepted subject to review of drafting.
- [14] Accepted subject to review of drafting.
- [15] Subject to due diligence on the relevant agreements
- [16] "SDS Agreement Letter" to be defined.
- [17] Reinstatement subject to review of Roley wording.
- [18] Redraft to allow for Infraco rights on precedent breach to be retained. Removal of mark-up subject to DD.
- [19] Roley to provide wording preserving its rights for a precedent breach
- [20] Reinstatement subject to review of Roley wording.
- [21] <u>Subject to Roley review and subsequent provision of wording to protect it when Tramco in material breach.</u>
- [22] Redraft to allow for Infraco rights on precedent breach to be retained. Removal of mark-up subject to DD.
- [23] Reinstatement subject to review of Roley wording.
- [24] Redraft to allow for Infraco rights on precedent breach to be retained. Removal of mark-up subject to DD.
- [25] Reinstatement subject to review of Roley wording.
- [26] Redraft to allow for Infraco rights on precedent breach to be retained. Removal of mark-up subject to DD.
- [27] Roley position reserved pending due diligence.
- [28] Roley position reserved pending due diligence.
- [29] Roley position reserved pending due diligence.
- [30] To be clarified during due diligence.
- [31] tie to talk with AR on this clause to clarify his position.
- [32] Roley requires clarification on which parts of the ETN are to be maintained by the Operator.
- [33] Roley requires understanding of Depot.
- [34] Charging mechanism for additional hours to be discussed.
- [35] Consent definition to be split into tie Consents and Infraco Consents and risk allocation of this Clause 19 to be appropriately agreed.
- [36] To be expanded to allow for exclusivity.
- [37] "Land Consents" means all licences to occupy land, wayleaves, and any other licences, permissions, rights of access and related consents in respect of the land required for the Infraco Works;
- [38] tie to revert after checking with Land Team.

ROLEY INFRACO CONTRACT EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK

ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT [05] October 2007

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)

Risk:	Allocation			Impact	Mitigations			Tie comments	
	Public	Private	Shared		OCIP	Other	Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £	
	Sector	Sector				Insurances			

- [39] Consents definition to be split and risk to be agreed and sub-clauses to be reinserted.
- [40] Special requirements schedule required.
- [41] Costs of compliance with Special Requirements are borne by tie
- [42] Subject to due diligence.
- [43] "Proposals" means, in relation to each section of OLE forming part of the Edinburgh Tram Network, the Infraco's proposals for the type of supporting infrastructure to be installed in relation thereto, together with any information or documentation which would be reasonably required by tie in order to properly evaluate such proposals
- [44] Conflict in risk position.
- [45] 20.3 conflicts with 20.5 on risk position. Clarification required.
- [46] Note that although tie shall procure that CEC offers such assistance, this will be at Infraço's cost
- [47] Subject to the provision of information.
- [48] Save in respect of unidentified utilities, contaminated land or unexploded ordinance
- [49] Subject to extension for unforeseeable ground conditions. tie to provide info to Roley. I501 Subject to due diligence on the Depot Licence
- [51] To define Key Sub-Contractors. Roley do not agree to the approval of any Sub-
- [52] To be finalised following definition of Key Sub-Contractors.
- [53] Roley wishes to discuss the practical aspects of compliance with clauses 30.5-30.9.
- [54] Acceptable subject to definition of "Designated Work Area".
- [55] Roley wishes to discuss the practical aspects of compliance with clauses 30.5 30.9
- [56] Risk transfer and handover details required.
- [57] Subject to clarification of clause 19 and land risk issues
- [58] Subject to due diligence of Tramco
- [59] Position reserved until Operator Procedures have been reviewed.
- [60] Obligation to be clarified
- [61] Mobilisation Services to be defined.
- [62] Obligation to be clarified.
- [63] Intention to be clarified.
- [64] Variation to the Minimum Spare Parts Pool shall be treated as a tie Change.
- [65]Roley proposes to have a pre-approved lot of prices for standard repairs.
- [66] Infraco can recover its costs where the incident or failure was not due to its negligence or omission
- [67] Costs allocated in accordance with clauses 52.16/52.17.
- [68] Maintenance of Hazardous Materials Register to be clarified.
- [69] This is subject to a review of the Maintenance Services Connecting Agreement
- [70] Principle agreed, drafting to be finalised.
- [71] concept of repeat offences agreed, drafted required.
- [72] Pending due diligence on Tram Inspector Agreement
- [73] Snagging protocol, time limit and long head time to be agreed.
- [74] Subject to review of maintenance services meeting agreement
- [75] Subject to review of maintenance services meeting agreement
- [76] Subject to review of MUDFA dates
- [77] Intention to be clarified before clause 62 can be agreed.
- [78] Subject to due diligence
- [79] The cost of the test or opening up is to be borne by the Infraco. However, unless it can be shown that the workmanship or materials were not in accordance with the Agreement, the orders will constitute a Relief Event.
- [80] tie to provide reworked clause with new risk position.
- [81] Note that Infraco may request the assistance of tie to remove protestors where Infraco can show, to tie's satisfaction, that the legal remedies available to Infraco have been exhausted or are unsuitable (clause 63.4). However, Infraco will indemnify tie in respect of any costs incurred in providing such assistance (clause 63.7).
- [82] Subject to review of revised drafting.
- [83] List of Relief/Compensation Events needs to flow through from TSA/TMA and apply to Maintenance Period.
- [84] Subject agreement on practicalities.
- [85] % deletions subject to future agreement.

ROLEY INFRACO CONTRACT

EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK

ALLOCATION OF CONTRACTUAL RISKS IN THE INFRACO CONTRACT [05] October 2007

(Based on 8 March 2007 Version of the Infraco Contract as negotiated by tie)

Scoop have this as a Public Sector Risk Scoop have this as a Private Sector Risk This does not appear on Scoop's Matrix Scoop have this as a Shared Risk

NOTE: Impacts Are Post Mitigation

Risk : Allocation Impact		Tie comments
Public Private Shared	OCIP Other Other Mitigations	Risk Allocation - £
Sector Sector	Insurances	

[86] Interest is payable by tie for late payment at 2% over the base rate of the Royal Bank of Scotland plc.

[87] OCIP renewal issues to be clarified.
[88] OCIP renewal issues to be clarified.

[89] Subject to due diligence and further review.

[90] Subject to reinstatement of original Indirect Loss definition.

[91] Remove foresight element.

[92] End and definitions still to be negotiated and redrafted to reflect outcome.

[93] "Qualifying Change in Law" means:

(a) a Discriminatory Change in Law ("Discriminatory Change in Law" means a Change in Law, the terms of which apply expressly to: (a) the ETN; and/or (b) the Infraco and not to other persons);

(b) a Specific Change in Law ("Specific Change in Law" means any Change in Law which specifically applies to the same as or similar to the Infraco Works but excluding the making, amendment or revocation of any traffic regulation order)

[94] tie to revert on Aggregate Cap.[95] Redrafting required

[96] To be reviewed by Roley.

[97] Subject to Agreement between parties at PB.

[98] Subject to Agreement between parties at PB.

[99] Infraco acknowledges that tie is subject to the requirements of the FOISA and the Infraco undertakes to assist and cooperate with tie (at Infraco's expense) with such compliance. However, it is still tie that has the obligation to comply