
From: Nick Smith
Sent: 10 October 2007 14:40
To: Alan Squair
Subject: Report

Alan

For your consumption only please

Most of Colin's points in his email of 26 Sept re the draft report seem to have been taken on board to some degree. To my mind the report as a whole is light on risks but that's not my call.


- The main problem I have is with 3.22 and 3.23 re the roles and responsibilities. This leads directly back to the recent Council reports and the tie governance paper. ie in my view none of this is consistent with the actual position and we have had no feedback. It talks about powers devolved to TEL, tie being an agent and the role and reporting line of TPB. None of this is settled as far as I am aware.
- 3.30 obviously gives a clear signal that the Council believes it is reasonable to rely on tie's work to date. I'm not sure this is consistent with views expressed previously.
- 4.5 and 4.6 do not, to my mind, explain clearly enough how costs will move. It reads as though the cost is agreed and may move "slightly". The fixed rates relate to piece work, not fixed totals. I'm not sure it explains this sufficiently.
- 4.12 - risk allowance seems to be 55k. Is this right?
- 4.46 - apparently the risks are set out in the FBC. I assume CDD and Finance are happy with these?
- 4.74 and 6.5 - I would prefer to see more refs to "recommendations by tie".

We can discuss for the response to City Dev assuming you/Gill are doing one.

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Senior Solicitor
Legal Services Division
City of Edinburgh Council
City Chambers
High Street
Edinburgh EH1 3YJ

(t) 0131 

(f) 0131 