From: John.Ramsay@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk

Sent: 14 July 2006 15:42

To: rebecca.andrew@edinburgh.gov.uk; Stewart McGarrity; lex.harrison@edinburgh.gov.uk;

max.thomson@edinburgh.gov.uk; Lorna.Davis@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: david_mackay@ Graeme Bissett; Andie Harper Subject: [SPAM] RE: TS/CEC funding and risk sharing agreement

Importance: Low

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Rebecca

Agreement in principle from senior officers only is probably the most realistic proposition on your timescales but we will need some further reassurances at the higher level ASAP thereafter-tho this might actually flow from a meeting of respective chief executives / directors of CEC, tie, TEL and Transport Scotland which is being mooted for next month.

John Ramsay Project manager - Edinburgh Trams Rail Directorate Transport Scotland Buchanan House Glasgow G4 0HF



mobile

----Original Message-----

From: Rebecca Andrew [mailto:rebecca.andrew@edinburgh.gov.uk]

Sent: 14 July 2006 10:16

To: Stewart McGarrity; Ramsay J (John); lex.harrison@edinburgh.gov.uk; max.thomson@edinburgh.gov.uk;

Davis L (Lorna)

Cc: david_mackay@ raeme Bissett; Andie Harper **Subject:** Re: TS/CEC funding and risk sharing agreement

This email has been received from an external party and has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

Stewart,

As far as I am concerned, this is largely correct. I have some reservations regarding the second bullet point. The agreement cannot be fully endorsed and committed to at officer level. Even if the Chief Exec/Director of

Finance agree to it in principle, they can only **recommend** it to elected members, who must have the final say.

John/Lorna - can you confirm that you need full Council endorsement by September, or would an agreement in principle from officers be sufficient?

If we need a report to Council prior to September, timescales will be very tight, as we'd need to hit the 24th August Council, which would require a report signed off by 17th **at the latest.** Given the amount of work involved and the risks of programme delay, my preferred option would be to issue tenders based on what can be agreed at senior officer level, and to formalise that agreement in the report going to Council on the draft final business case.

I would welcome any thoughts other people have on this.

Kind regards,

Rebecca

---- Original Message -----

From: Stewart McGarrity

To: john.ramsay@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk; lex.harrison@edinburgh.gov.uk;

max.thomson@edinburgh.gov.uk; rebecca.andrew@edinburgh.gov.uk;

Lorna.Davis@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: david mackay@ ; Graeme Bissett ; Andie Harper

Sent: Friday, July 14, 2006 8:31 AM

Subject: TS/CEC funding and risk sharing agreement

Dear All.

Following the meeting today, I wanted to take the liberty of noting the main points made during the time that David and I were present.

- This was anticipated to be the first of several working sessions to determine an agreement between TS and CEC on funding and risk sharing
- Such an agreement will need to be endorsed and committed to at the highest level (Ministerial / Council Chief Executive?)
- Time is of the essence: The agreement must be in place in principle prior to issue of Infraco tenders (as required by the draft grant offer letter for Apr to Dec 06), to be scrutinised as part of the Gateway Review to be performed prior to Infraco tender issue and to be documented in the Draft Final Business Case which is programmed to be delivered to CEC and TS on 9th November 06. In addition if there are any elements of the agreement which might be required to reflected in the Infraco documentation then it is needs to be considered immediately. The progress to achieving this agreement must visible to all stakeholders.
- The capital cost estimates and therefore affordability of the Tram are, as ever, sensitive to the construction programme (and therefore to the commencement of utility diversions and Infraco as planned). We all recognise the challenge of reflecting an evaluation of Infraco tender prices in the capital cost estimates prior to the currently assumed closure of the window for endorsement of utility diversion commencement (end January 2007).
- The current capital cost estimates are based upon Tramco / Infraco payment mechanisms which, although effective in incentivising the private sector, are not envisaged to require the private sector to provide significant levels of finance, the cost of which would be reflected in tender prices.
- The terms of the agreement will be wide ranging and will include (but not necessarily be limited to) the following:
- The nature and timing of delivery of the presently agreed funding package £500m (max) from TS plus £45m from CEC.
- What constitutes adequate 'headroom' between the risk inclusive capital cost estimates (or range of possible outcomes) and the funding available.

- The decision making process, criteria and timing for determining whether phase 1b should be included in the first phase of construction.
- The stage-gate points at which the 'headroom' would be reassessed prior to the project continuing likely to include pre Infraco tender issue, at the point the DFBC is considered and again when Infraco tenders have been received and evaluated. Each re-evaluation would necessarily include an examination of whether there are any additional sources of funding.
- The way in which any capital cost overruns (or underruns it can happen!) would be borne. This
 would include any financial support TS might provide to CEC in respect of any determined CEC
 share.
- The exact nature of any financing arrangements (borrowings) to be employed by CEC/TS to manage the timing of their respective cash inputs to the project including any agreements to guarantee or otherwise provide support for the servicing (payment of interest) on such financing arrangements.

Please let me know if any of this if off base. I am now on leave till 31st August but am willing and able to help in anyway I can to support the development of this agreement thereafter.

Regards,

Stewart

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.

This Email and files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended for the sole use of the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed. If you have received this Email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it without using, copying, storing, forwarding or disclosing its contents to any other person. The Council has endeavoured to scan this Email message and attachments for computer viruses and will not be liable for any losses incurred by the recipient.

PLEASE NOTE: THE ABOVE MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM THE INTERNET.

On entering the GSI, this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. In case of problems, please call your organisational IT Helpdesk.

The MessageLabs Anti Virus Service is the first managed service to achieve the CSIA Claims Tested Mark (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007), the UK Government quality mark initiative

for information security products and services. For more information about this please visit www.cctmark.gov.uk

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. On leaving the GSI this email was certified virus free.

The MessageLabs Anti Virus Service is the first managed service to achieve the CSIA Claims Tested Mark (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007), the UK Government quality mark initiative for information security products and services. For more information about this please visit www.cctmark.gov.uk