From:

Nick Smith

Sent:

22 December 2010 14:51

To:

Dave Anderson

Cc:

Alastair Maclean; Carol Campbell

Subject:

FW: Resolution

Attachments:

Response to tie Itd on Project Resolution - comments.doc

## Dave

As requested, comments on the letter attached. I think it is a good letter which deals with the critical issues.

Richard also sent me a copy of the Project Resolution document on Friday. I have not had a chance to review it in detail but I seriously question some of the narrative. I appreciate the audience it was intended for but the gloss that has been put on the delivery of some of the workstreams is somewhat astounding. There is, for example, a significant disconnect between what we were advised at the time relative to the factual matrix and RTNs and what was actually carried out by tie in this regard at the time.

Whilst Richard has asked for comments, having discussed with Alastair, I do not propose to spend valuable time poring over a document to correct factual points which will not affect the outcome but which are clearly there for other reasons. Richard does acknowledge that not everyone will agree with tie's report. However, suffice to say Alastair, Carol and I have significant reservations about the content of the report itself.

In terms of appendix 2 re Legal Advice there is really only our summary which was sent to Richard on 26 November. I have forwarded on this email.

Hopefully the responses to your letter will better inform CEC's position.

Happy to discuss.

Kind regards

Nick

mith د . Niể

Principal Solicitor - Commercial, Procurement & Finance

Legal Services Division City of Edinburgh Council Level 3, Waverley Court East Market Street Edinburgh EH8 8BG

(t) 0131

Please note that I am not in the office on a Monday

From: Nick Smith

Sent: 22 December 2010 14:34

To: 'Richard Jeffrey'

Cc: Alastair Maclean Subject: Resolution

## Richard

I refer to the letter which I received on Friday attaching a copy of the Project Resolution report and looking for comments by Monday. Thank you for sending me the document.

Clearly I have not been able to respond in the time available, although there are a number of points in the narrative which I do not necessarily agree reflect the full factual position.

Given that it has been released already and it is a report prepared by tie for TPB I thought that rather than respond in detail we should just concentrate on moving forward to achieve the best possible outcome for the project.

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Principal Solicitor - Commercial, Procurement & Finance
Land Services Division
C. of Edinburgh Council
Level 3, Waverley Court
East Market Street
Edinburgh EH8 8BG

(t) 0131

Please note that I am not in the office on a Monday

and the control of the form of the control of the c