

From: Mark Hamill
Sent: 21 April 2010 16:49
To: Julie Smith
Subject: Risk Section for Frank's Report

J

Start
Infraco Risk Update

Risk reviews were carried out in the period with the PMs for Signalling, Communication and Control, Roads and Drainage, Structures, Network Rail, the Depot and Section 1A and the outstanding Mudfa works. All treatment plans were reviewed and updated. The risks below are those high-level risks identified by the Infraco Director as currently facing the Infraco team. The risk relating to a commercial dispute has now occurred and is being controlled by tie management with a number of issues currently going through the Dispute Resolution Process (DRP) and several others being prepared to enter the DRP. An additional risk was added to this list in Period 1. This new item was added following the visible decreasing of progress in the off-street section following tie's decision to refuse BSC permission to commence work at specific on-street sections.

	Risk Number	Risk Name	Risk Description	
General	80	Lack of agreement over design changes between Nov 07 and May 08	Commercial dispute prevents progress of critical works	D C
General	102		Behaviour of BSC commercial, safety and quality management resulting in unreasonable estimates being submitted	P
General	106	BSC's alleged lack of assumptions within contract price	Due to lack to detail at tender stage BSC are claiming they have omitted to price for various aspects of the contract e.g Drainage	A
General	116	Failure of BSC to comply with Employer's Requirements	Failure to supply system verification, integration and design assurance documentation	K
General	117	Utility diversions carried out prior to final design of D&E. Lack of space when utility diversion were being carried out	Conflicts with services within the D&E at Leith Walk	A
General	118	BSC failure to comply with contractual obligations with regard to on-street works	Failure to progress on-street works and/or requirement for CISSA	A
General	122	Utility works being transferred to Infraco	Insufficient funds are available to be transferred from MUDFA to cover the cost of outstanding utility works	R
General	123	Allowances made in MUDFA account for betterment	Misalignment in calculation of betterment between tie and SUCs results in lower payments being received from SUCs than what has been previously been budgeted	R
General	125		tie decision to prevent BSC commencing on-street works prevents progress and leads to slow progress on of-street works	F

There were two drawdowns on the project risk and contingency allowance in the period. These were for street lighting on section 6A (£2,049) and the additional scope of works at Edinburgh Park Private Utilities (£54,284).

End

Give me a call if you've any probs.

Mark Hamill
 Risk and Insurance Manager

Edinburgh Trams
Citypoint
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh
EH12 5HD

Tel: (+44) [REDACTED]
Mobile: (+44) [REDACTED]
Email: mark.hamill@tie.ltd.uk