From: Tony Glazebrook

Sent: 12 November 2008 12:23
To: Damian Sharp; Dennis Murray

Cc: David Crawley; Susan Clark; Steven Bell Subject: DAS review - Contractual obligations

Attachments: V38 DAS dates compared with V36 - AG - 12 Nov 2008.xls

Hi Guys,

At yesterday's PD review with Susan Clark, I raised my concerns over a recent discussion with Jason Chandler of SDS.

Jason had verbally responded to my email (see below) by saying that he "couldn't be bothered to respond" and that in any case it was incorrect because "**tie** has had all the drawings for ages and all that was new at the DAS review session was the DAS itself - **so the 20 days tie review period had expired for everything long ago**" - he added that the DAS itself was merely a list of what a DAS pack contains.

As has proved to be so often the case, Jason has completely missed the point. I have further attempted to explain the purpose to him - success or otherwise will be evidenced at next week's review of the draft DAS for route section 3A - which John Dolan is attending and David Crawley is chairing.

The principal purpose of the DAS is that it is <u>not</u> merely a list. Rather, that whilst it does indeed list the underpinning design drawings and specs etc <u>crucially, it contains the documentary evidence and safety arguments for</u> the design being correct the way it is. Only at such a point can stakeholders review the offering meaningfully in respect of its verity and safety.

To date, we have not had one single shred of documented safety argument or evidence tabled by SDS for anything meaningful at all. Indeed, the Independent Competent Person (John Dolan) is still chasing, by means of a formal RFI, SDS' arguments for the A8 underpass at Gogar being unlit.

Interestingly, the latest DAS dates from SDS' V38 programme - attached and annotated to show differences from V36 - were received by me after continual prompting to Jason and demonstrate not only further significant slippage, but also that the two sections we have already reviewed (i.e. Sections 2A and 6) are still incomplete. Also, it should be noted that we still do not have the draft Section 2A DAS CD from BSC - allegedly transmitted by SDS to BSC last Friday.

Aside from all the arising issues generally from this miserable state of affairs, my key question to you both is: can you confirm please that my contention in *yellow highlighted text* below is correct. Following your confirmation I will draft a letter for Steven to sign to restate our position to BSC/SDS.

Cheers,

Tony

From: Tony Glazebrook **Sent:** 23 October 2008 09:35

To: Chandler, Jason

Cc: Linda Melville; Kirsty Wilson; Shudall, Kate; Colin.Brady@bilfinger.co.uk; Lindsay Murphy; Gavin Murray; Colin

Kerr; Dennis Murray; Damian Sharp **Subject:** RE: Full 2A DAS Package - CD

Hi there,

The contract schedule 14 (Design Review) is crystal clear. The review period starts when "the entire contents of a submission" have been received. This has not yet happened.

The Contract also clearly says that each submission – which of course, like any other submission has to come in the usual way accompanied by a transmittal – comprises "five hard copies and one soft copy". I have told you that the hard copies are not required. The soft copy is. This has not yet been received.

When tie receives the transmittal with the agreed soft copy, complete, the 20 days start.

Regards,

Tony

From: Shudall, Kate [mailto:ShudallK@pbworld.com]

Sent: 23 October 2008 09:21

To: Kirsty Wilson

Cc: Tony Glazebrook; Linda Melville; Chandler, Jason

Subject: RE: Full 2A DAS Package - CD

Kirsty,

tie have the majority of the documents detailed on the DAS form and everyone was given a hard copy on Tuesday of the relevant documents for the review. I believe that the 20days started on Tuesday.

We will endeavour to deliver the CD as quickly as possible.

Kate

From: Kirsty Wilson [mailto:Kirsty.Wilson@tie.ltd.uk]

Sent: 23 October 2008 09:15

To: Chandler, Jason

Cc: Shudall, Kate; Tony Glazebrook; Linda Melville

Subject: Full 2A DAS Package - CD

Jason

I was expecting the full DAS package on CD yesterday as was promised in Tuesday's review session – tie's 20 day review period will not commence until we have received this; please pass this across to us ASAP.

Please also ensure that you include the full SDS Risk Register on this, as was also promised on Tuesday.

Cheers

Kirsty Wilson Edinburgh Tram Project Engineering Assistant Project Manager