From: Gill Lindsay

Sent: 26 November 2007 12:12

To: Colin MacKenzie; Duncan Fraser

Cc: Susan Clark; Alasdair Sim; Gill Lindsay; Alan Squair; Nick Smith; Rebecca Andrew; Alan

Coyle; Andy Conway

Subject: RE: LAC MEETING: 26 NOVEMBER

Alastair and all

I am working from home today , and give apologies as I can't attend meeting today in person. I can if reqd by phone or mail.

Important issues for me for todays meeting, following meeting the team here on Friday are to resolve a no of these issues asap

Issue re Scotrail and consents as discd last week . I strongly suggest daily updates on this matter circulated to all to ensure we escalate and use every day to resolve as this has ep to give significant issues for us.

I also think we need more info and reassurance that there are no issues re TRO s and delay .This seems to be an issue of seeking more info now to ensure issues of delay and costs do not arise. Again can we look to regular statement re position here.

Colin and Alan have raised with me the need for immediate interface with Sharon Fitzgerald to ensure we have DLA advice now on contract terms being negotiated and leading up to December. Can the LAG today put this in place pl.

These seem to be emerging issues for resolution now and others are I understand are on track.

Keep in touch today if I can assist before or during your meeting by phone or mail at all.

Regards Gill

----Original Message----

From: "Colin MacKenzie" <Colin.MacKenzie@edinburgh.gov.uk>

To: "Duncan Fraser" < Duncan.Fraser@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Cc: "Susan Clark" <<u>Susan.Clark@tie.ltd.uk</u>>; "Alasdair Sim" <<u>Alasdair.Sim@tie.ltd.uk</u>>;

"Gill Lindsay" <Gill.Lindsay@edinburgh.gov.uk>; "Alan Squair"

<a href="mailto:kgp://www.ncbe.com/ncbe

"Andy Conway" < Andy.Conway@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Sent: 23/11/07 15:05

Subject: LAC MEETING: 26 NOVEMBER

Duncan,

I refer to our discussion this afternoon and write to confirm that there are a number of critical issues that we would like to raise at Monday's meeting of the LAC with tie, following a meeting with the Council Solicitor.

1. Depot and Station Change procedure with First Scotrail. This is seen by the Council as a critical impediment to progress with the project, and will have to be addressed as a matter of the utmost urgency. (Duncan, this needs to be given prominence in the Council's Risk Register). If it is not resolved, the risk will have to be referred to in the Council report of 20 December.

- 2. Traffic Regulation Orders. As Alan mentioned to you on the telephone today, design delays impacting on the TRO programme were discussed at the meeting of the TRO Group on 21 November, and referred to by Keith Rimmer as posing a "very major risk to the project." I understand that you are aware of the position, but will no doubt be able to discuss matters with Keith as required in advance of the LAC meeting on Monday. This matter should perhaps be elevated in the Council's Risk Register, having regard to the potential impact.
- 3. Transfer of Consents to tie/CEC. This was discussed with the Council Solicitor, and the view is that the status quo should prevail.

Kind regards,

Colin MacKenzie for Council Solicitor