
From: Geoff Gilbert
Sent: 04 January 2008 13:34
To: Tom Hickman; Damian Sharp; David Crawley
Cc: Susan Clark; Steven Bell; Bob Dawson; Clare Norman; Lindsay Murphy; Alasdair Sim
Subject: RE: SDS V24 Programme Impact on BBS Agreed in Principal Construction Programme

Tom

Thanks for this. I support Tom's suggestion that SDS provide a full explanation of the slippage. In addition we need to understand the materiality of this slippage on the BBS construction programme. We can't credibly go to BBS without understanding why slippages have occurred. David – what are your views on why slippage has arisen, or perhaps more correctly continued?

Regards

Geoff

From: Tom Hickman
Sent: 03 January 2008 14:17
To: Damian Sharp; David Crawley
Cc: Susan Clark; Steven Bell; Geoff Gilbert; Bob Dawson; Clare Norman; Lindsay Murphy; Alasdair Sim
Subject: SDS V24 Programme Impact on BBS Agreed in Principal Construction Programme

Damian, David,

Attached is a spreadsheet analysis of the programme data from SDS V24 Programme received 21st December 2007 and progressed to data date 10th December 2007.

The spreadsheet shows the programmed dates at V17 (agreed Baseline clear of Critical issues), V22 (Programme which BBS Construction programme is based on), V23 (SDS Status at 19Nov07) and the current V24 (SDS Status at 10th December).

There are also two columns showing the movement in days from V22 (BBS Infraco Programme data) to V24 (Current status) and also V23 to V24.

The activities I have highlighted in red are the ones where I can see a direct impact to the Infraco construction programme which is already agreed in principal with all the major stakeholders.

The ones where the Infraco Planned date is also highlighted in red indicates that the issue of design information is now within a few days of, or already after the agreed construction start date.

There are other areas where there has been significant slippage from V22 to V24 but this is not yet impacting the agreed in principal Infraco programme.

We cannot afford to continually delay the already agreed construction commencement dates as this causes major implications not only for the individual items but also for the full programme sequencing and therefore I am sure you will agree that we require a report submission from SDS via yourselves on the reasons why these items have been re-programmed and also inclusion in the agenda of the next critical issues meeting for discussion.

Geoff,

V23 and V24 of the SDS Design Programme have not been issued to BBS.

Regards,

Tom Hickman
Programme Manager
tie Limited
Citypoint
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh EH12 5HD
Tel: +44(0) [REDACTED]
Mob: +44 (0) [REDACTED]
Fax: +44(0) 131 622 8301
Email: tom.hickman@tie.ltd.uk

www.transforedinburgh.com

www.tie.ltd.uk