
From: Trudi Craggs
Sent: 21 June 2007 08:58
To: Susan Clark
Subject: RE: Overview of SDS Design Programme V16 Received 15th June 2007 (Data Date 04Jun07)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thanks Susan

RBS - Kate is chasing but we have a way forward with SDS which will be discussed at the critical issues meeting today. I will raise this as an issue at the SDS/CEC meeting tomorrow and instruct Duncan to discuss with Andrew Holmes.

Ocean Drive substation - we have received an email from CEC setting out their position - I have forwarded this FP and offered to assist where I can. We are meeting Malcolm this afternoon anyway.

Matrix - I thought I had sent this to you - I will send it to you once I'm back at D&W.

Trudi

From: Susan Clark
Sent: Thu 21/06/2007 08:50
To: Trudi Craggs
Subject: FW: Overview of SDS Design Programme V16 Received 15th June 2007 (Data Date 04Jun07)

Trudi

Tom sent this out earlier in the week. It doesn't yet pick up the impact on our programme but identifies any slippage.

Hope this helps.

Susan

PS any update on RBS and 3rd party matrix review?

Susan Clark
Delivery Director - Tram

.....
tie limited
Verity House
19 Haymarket Yards
Edinburgh EH12 5BH

Tel: +44 (0) [REDACTED]
Fax: +44 (0) [REDACTED]
Mobile: +44 (0) [REDACTED]
Email: susan.clark@tie.ltd.uk

From: Tom Hickman
Sent: 19 June 2007 13:43
To: Tony Glazebrook; David Crawley
Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Geoff Gilbert; Matthew Crosse; Susan Clark; John McAloon - TSS; Orla O'Regan - TSS; Jim Cahill;

David Carnegie; Clare Norman; Gavin Murray; Michael Jesuarul

Subject: RE: Overview of SDS Design Programme V16 Received 15th June 2007 (Data Date 04Jun07)

Dear All,

I would seem that I have attached the V15 matrix for "Analysis of Design Schedule Revision 28May07", therefore please find attached the correct version for V16.

Regards,

Tom Hickman
Tram Programme Manager
Edinburgh Trams Project
City Point
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh EH12 5BH
Tel: +44(0) 1 [REDACTED]
Mob: +44 (0) [REDACTED]
Fax: +44(0) 1 [REDACTED]
Email: tom.hickman@tie.ltd.uk

From: Tom Hickman

Sent: 18 June 2007 12:04

To: Tony Glazebrook; David Crawley

Cc: Ailsa McGregor; Geoff Gilbert; Matthew Crosse; Susan Clark; John McAloon - TSS; Orla O'Regan - TSS; Jim Cahill; David Carnegie; Clare Norman; Gavin Murray; Michael Jesuarul

Subject: Overview of SDS Design Programme V16 Received 15th June 2007 (Data Date 04Jun07)

David / Tony,

I have completed my overview review of the SDS Design Programme V16 received 15th June 2007 and progressed to a data date of 4th June 2007. (Note this is again 19 days behind our period cut-off of 23rd June)

I have reviewed only to sub-section level and not line by line.

We should ask SDS to provide a commentary on the reasons for the movement in dates since the agreed V9 Baseline issue in mid December 2006.

The attached spreadsheet (*Analysis of Design Schedule Revision*) shows the movement in number of days by phase (PD or DD) and sub-section since the agreed baseline issue V9. Positive numbers/red blocks indicate finish date has slipped and negative numbers/green blocks indicate finish date has come forward.

- There are nine areas where the PD is not complete and the completion date has slipped from the V9 Baseline issue.
- There are seven areas where PD is not complete and the completion date has slipped since previous issue V15.
- There are fifty-two areas where the DD is not complete and the completion date has slipped from the V9 Baseline issue.
- There are forty areas where DD is not complete and the completion date has slipped since previous issues V15.
- There are eight areas where the DD completion date has improved since the last issue V15
- There are no areas where the DD completion date has improved since the V9 Baseline issue.
- The total planned man-hours have decreased by 1641hrs
- There are twenty-seven areas where the slippage in completion of Detailed Design (V16) is greater than the 28 days elapsed since the last update (V15). The worst being
 - Haymarket to Gogar Section Wide – 245 days
 - Balgreen Road to Saughton Road North – 98 days
 - Granton Road West to Granton Square – 96 days
 - Western General Hospital to Crewe Toll – 95 days
 - Balfour Street to Foot of the Walk – 92 days
 - Crewe Toll to West Granton Access – 86 days
 - Foot of the Walk to Constitution Street – 82 days
 - Princes Street West to St.Andrew Square – 75 days
 - St.Andrew Square to Picardy Place – 75 days
 - Haymarket to Balgreen Road Intermediate Section Wide – 74 days
 - Murrayfield to Balgreen Road – 74 days
 - Ocean Terminal to Newhaven Road – 69 days
 - Constitution Street to Ocean Drive – 68 days

- MacDonald Road to Balfour Street – 64 days
- West Granton Access to Caroline Park – 64 days
- Roseburn Junction to Haymarket – 61 days

Also attached is a table of percentages against Prelim and Detailed Design indicating Planned and Actual percentage completes against the V9 agreed baseline. The summary table at the bottom of this report shows the current position against both the V9 Baseline man-hours and the current V16 programmed man-hours.

Finally, I have also attached the forecast curve showing where Design will complete using average monthly earned hours over two different criteria.

Regards,

Tom Hickman
Tram Programme Manager
Edinburgh Trams Project
City Point
65 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh EH12 5BH
Tel: +44(0) 13 [REDACTED]
Mob: +44 (0) 7 [REDACTED]
Fax: +44(0) 13 [REDACTED]
Email: tom.hickman@tie.ltd.uk