Ailsa McGregor From:

20 December 2006 14:39 Sent: To:

Gary Easton - TSS

Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert; Susan Clark; Andie Harper Cc:

Subject: RE: Employers Requirements - SDS comments

20061026154008431-infraco itn.pdf; 20061117123832543-infraco.pdf Attachments:

Gary,

You need to understand that SDS have developed their design based on the original ER's, which the client team of Tie/ TSS has amended without discussion with SDS and issued to Infraco without agreement from SDS. This provides SDS with an opportunity to request a change to the requirements and claim abortive costs for any redesign works. It will be for SDS to substantiate any costs associated with this but the reality is it will happen. Tie/ TSS will assess the validity and quantum of any claim from SDS.

You are incorrect to suggest they did not comment at the beginning of November they issued a letter copied to Procurement which Bob Dawson advised you would respond on and to date I have received no response and I am still awaiting a response ffom you as the Infraco PM to respond to SDS on. So I suggest we invest our time and energy in sorting the issues and not in protracted correspondence pretending things are perfect when we all know they are far from perfect.

I await your response to the SDS letter before Friday, further copy attached.

Ailsa

From: Gary Easton - TSS Sent: 20 December 2006 10:34

To: Ailsa McGregor

Cc: Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert; Susan Clark; Andie Harper

**Subject:** RE: Employers Requirements - SDS comments

Ailsa

Your comments are not entirley correct. The roots of some of the ER's were in SDS documentation.

SDS need to be specific about where they believe their design differs from the Tender ER's. One could argue that it is there in interests not to comment on the ER's when they were issued in October 2006 and wait until Detail Design is further progressed in 2Q and 3Q 2007.

Being positive, would you recommend that we meet them to get to the crux of their comments, particularly on the Ops/Performance and Systems Acceptance Requirements.

Thanks for your help.

Regards Gary

From: Ailsa McGregor

**Sent:** Tue 19/12/2006 16:16 To: Gary Easton - TSS

Cc: Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert; Susan Clark; Andie Harper

Subject: RE: Employers Requirements - SDS comments

Gary,

The ER's were completely changed by tie/tss and Transdev with no consultation with SDS so we have to dfeal with the fall out from any changes to requirements issued when we are in detail design stage.

**From:** Gary Easton - TSS **Sent:** 19 December 2006 15:34

To: Ailsa McGregor

Cc: Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert; Susan Clark; Andie Harper

Subject: RE: Employers Requirements - SDS comments

Ailsa

I understand the comments from SDS, however the ER's were based upon SDS's Requirements Definition Documents and also SDS have had the ER's since early October.

SDS were free to identify any conflicts in requirements vs. prelim design and commencement of thier detail designs before now. I appreciate that there is complexity in the detail.

Regards Gary

From: Ailsa McGregor Sent: Tue 19/12/2006 09:20 To: Gary Easton - TSS

Cc: Bob Dawson; Trudi Craggs; Geoff Gilbert; Susan Clark; Andie Harper

Subject: Employers Requirements - SDS comments

Gary,

Further to the circulation of SDS letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> December 2006 on the Employers Requirements and the issue of your Infraco ITN programme. I confirm that I spoke to Alan Dolan, yesterday to chase for the detailed comments on the Employers Requirements and SDS have someone going through these in detail but the detailed response will not be available until early January 07.

Alan also indicated that where detail design has been progressed and these revised Employers Requirements impact on the design and to the extent that SDS require to revise their designs then **tie** will be charged for the additional work involved.

Since detail design deliverables have commenced then this could accrue a cost and time impact to tie and the ETN if SDS are subjected to extensive redesign work or acceleration of works as there is no float in the agreed programme.

I will issue the detailed response as soon as available,

Regards,

Ailsa McGregor SDS Project Manager Edinburgh Tram Project

tie limited
Verity House
19 Haymarket Yards
Edinburgh EH12 5BH

Tel: +44 (\_\_\_\_\_

Fax: +44 (0)131 622 8301

Email: ailsa.mcgregor@tie.ltd.uk