

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
2	Sub Section	Issue	Priority Considerations. Impact on:-			Status (Design Delay)	Dates		Days Aged	SDS Owner	Comments
3			Design Time	Approvals /Consents	CAPEX		Client notified	Cleared			
4			Low < 10days	Low < 10days	Low < £50k						
5	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium £50k - £250k								
6	High > 20 days	High > 20 days	High > £250k								
4	1A	FORTH PORTS ARE PROPOSING TO PLACE A SUBSTATION ON THE SAME SITE AS THE TRAM SUBSTATION. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 15/11/06 TO CONFIRM TIE AGREEMENT WITH ACCESS PROVISIONS TO THE SITE.				No			0		
5	1A	SDS issued RFI on 11/12/06 to confirm tie acceptance of the proposed layout of the interim terminus to be provided at newhaven stop.				Yes			66		
6	1A	Cycleway required as a result of side agreement with Forth Ports. Clarification required from tie of requirements. Location and extent of cycleway. SDS issued RFI 20/11/06				Yes			66		
7	1A	Formal Confirmation of acceptable solutions to 'red' comments from original DAP. SDS submitted letter to tie on 12/01/07				Yes			66		
8	1A	Preliminary Design comments from CEC require works outside the limits of deviation within Forth Ports property. SDS issued RFI on 30/11/06 to clarify the requirements associated with the Forth Ports agreement to complete these works. SDS issued letter on 12/01/07 to confirm the way forward. No response received				Yes			66		
9	1A	SDS require clarification from tie on agreement provisions for maintenance access. RFI issued 15/09/06 to clarify				Yes			66		
10	1A	SDS issued letter to tie on 16/01/07 requesting clear direction on requirements for bridges as conflicting info has been provided by CEC				Yes			48		
11	1A	SDS issued RFI on 27/07/06 requesting Health & Safety files for existing bridges. SDS issued letter on 12/01/07 to confirm the way forward. No response received				Yes			42		
12	1A	SDS are required to comply with the provisions of the Forth Ports agreement. SDS issued RFI on 17/11/06 to confirm that SDS Roads design is in conformance with the exact requirements as outlined in the agreement. SDS issued letter on 12/01/07 to confirm the way forward. No response received				Yes			66		
13	1A	SDS issued letter on 12/01/07 to confirm the way forward. No response received.				Yes			66		
14	1A	Formal confirmation of acceptable solutions 'Red' comments from original DAP. SDS submitted letter to tie on 08/12/06 with proposal				Yes			66		
15	1A	As part of DAP on 06/12/06. TEL indicated 'red' status because they wish to maintain the possibility to run 2 way buses along Constitution Street and through the relocated Foot of the Walk tram stop. Letter provided to tie on 22/12/06. Meeting held to discuss on 15/12/06 but no resolution achieved.				Yes			66		
16	1A	SDS HAVE SEEN SEVERAL SOURCES WHERE THE NAMES OF TRAM STOPS ARE NOT CONSISTENT. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 20/11/06 TO CONFIRM THE TRAM STOP NAMES REQUIRED BY TIE.				No					
17	1A	CURRENT SUC REQUIREMENT IS TO REMOVE UTILITIES FROM CONSTITUTION STREET AND RELOCATE ONTO PARALLEL STREETS OUT WITH LOD. CHANGE ORDER REQUIRED.				No					
18	1A	PER COORDINATION WITH DNO, SDS HAVE BEEN REQUESTED TO CHANGE NAMES OF THE SUBSTATIONS. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 23/11/06 TO CONFIRM TIE ACCEPTANCE.				No					
19	1A	AS PART OF THE FOOT OF THE WALK CHALETTE SDS ARE REQUIRED TO PLACE A STOP PLATFORM WHERE LOCAL BUSINESSES CURRENTLY PERFORM THEIR LOADING OPERATIONS. THE PROPOSED SOLUTION(S) HAVE IMPACTS OUTSIDE OF THE LOD. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 20/11/06 TO CONFIRM THAT THE LAND OUTSIDE THE LOD REQUIRED FOR MITIGATION ARE AVAILABLE FOR USE.				Yes			66		
20	1B	SDS ARE PROPOSING TO PLACE A SUBSTATION ON THE SITE OF THE FORMER DEPOT. CEC HAVE INDICATED SEVERAL POTENTIAL USES FOR THIS SITE AND HAVE GIVEN SDS A 'RED'. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 17/11/06 TO CLARIFY THE PROPOSED USE OF THE SITE.				No					

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
2	Sub Section	Issue	Priority Considerations. Impact on:-			Status (Design Delay)	Dates		Days Aged	SDS Owner	Comments
3			Design Time	Approvals /Consents	CAPEX		Client notified	Cleared			
21			Low < 10days	Low < 10days	Low < £50k						
22	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium £50k - £250k								
23	High > 20 days	High > 20 days	High > £250k								
21	1B	SDS CONTACTED TIE VIA EMAIL ON 22/1/07 NOTING ADDITIONAL OPTIONS AVAILABLE AND AWAITING GUIDANCE ON WAY FORWARD				No					
22	1B	AS PART OF DAP ON 6/12/06, CEC INDICATED A 'RED' STATUS FOR THIS AREA REQUIRING MORE PARKING/LOADING SPACE THAN IS CURRENTLY PROVIDED. LETTER PROVIDED TO TIE ON 22/12/06. EMAIL FROM M. CONNOLLY TO SDS ON 5/01/07 INDICATES POSSIBLE PREFERENCE FOR CYCLES ON LEITH WALK. SDS REQUIRE CLARIFICATION FROM TIE ON WAY FORWARD. LETTER PROVIDED ON 9/01/07.				Yes			36		
23	1B	THE TRAM SYSTEM REQUIRES A SITE ON LEITH WALK FOR RADIO COMMUNICATIONS. SDS ISSUED RFI ON 16/11/06 TO CONFIRM IF THERE ARE ANY CEC OWNED FACILITIES THAT CAN BE USED.				No					
24	1B	SDS REQUIRES CLARIFICATION FROM TIE ON HOW/IF TRAM LANE WILL BE SHARED WITH OTHER VEHICLES. SDS ISSUED RFI 20/11/06.				No					
25	1C	PER TDWG DISCUSSION 10/1/07, SDS ISSUED LETTER TO TIE REQUESTING DIRECTION TO PROCEED WITH CEC REQUEST FOR BUILDING FIXING ANALYSIS				No					
26	1C	CHARENTE AREA 'RED' PER 6/12/06 DAP. CEC REQUIRE REVISIONS TO LAYOUTS AND ADDITIONAL DETAILS ON OPERATIONS. LETTER PROVIDED TO TIE ON 22/12/06.				No					
27	1C	SDS ARE PROPOSING TO PLACE A TRAM SUBSTATION WITHIN AN EXISTING CEC FACILITY LOCATED OUTSIDE OF THE LOD. DISCUSSIONS WITH CEC TO DATE HAVE INDICATED ACCEPTANCE TO THIS PROPOSAL. SDS ISSUED RFI 30/11/06 REQUESTING THE FORMAL AGREEMENT WITH CEC FOR USE OF SITE.				No					
28	1C	CEC 'RED' AREA DUE TO QUESTION ON CROSS SECTION ON YORK PLACE PER 6/12/06 DAP.				Yes			42		
29	1C	CEC 'RED' PER LACK OF DETAIL ON WEST SIDE OF SQUARE. SDS COORDINATING WITH CEC CAPITAL STREETS PROJECT.				Yes			42		
30	1C	PER TDWG DISCUSSION ON 16/1/07, SDS ISSUED RFI ON 22/1/07 REQUESTING TIE CONFIRMATION THAT OLE POLES CAN BE PLACED WITHIN ST. ANDREWS SQUARE GARDENS				Yes			42		
31	1C	SDS ISSUED RFI ON 16/1/07 REQUESTING IF CEC PLAN TO UTILISE THEIR EXISTING CONTRACT TO PROCURE TRAM SHELTERS FOR THE EDINBURGH TRAM NETWORK.				No					
32	1C	JUNCTION RECEIVED 'RED' STATUS FROM CEC DAP COMMENTS.				Yes			42		
33	1C	CEC PROVIDED 'RED' STATUS TO PRINCES STREET DESIGN AT 6/12/06 DAP. CEC REQUEST CHANGE IN ALIGNMENT THAT CONFLICTS WITH TRAM DESIGN MANUAL & PREVIOUS GUIDANCE. CHANGE NOTICE REQUIRED. LETTER PROVIDED TO TIE 22/12/06.				Yes			42		
34	1D	SDS REQUIRES CLARIFICATION FROM TIE ON HOW/IF TRAM LANE WILL BE SHARED WITH OTHER VEHICLES. SDS ISSUED RFI 20/11/06.				No					
35	1D	TEL TO PROVIDE LAYOUT REQUIREMENTS OF BUS STOPS ALONG PRINCES STREET PER COORDINATION MEETING ON 02/11/06.				Yes			42		
36	1D	JUNCTIONS RECEIVED 'RED' STATUS FROM CEC DAP COMMENTS. DESIGN REQUIRED TO NECESSITATE SHARED RUNNING THROUGH THIS AREA WITH TRAM NOT HAVING ULTIMATE PRIORITY.				Yes			19		
37	1D	CHARENTE AREA 'RED' PER 6/12/06 DAP. CEC/TEL REQUIRE BUS TO BE ABLE TO PASS STOPPED TRAM. LETTER ISSUED TO TIE 22/12/06				Yes			19		

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
2	Sub Section	Issue	Priority Considerations. Impact on:-			Status (Design Delay)	Dates		Days Aged	SDS Owner	Comments
3			Design Time	Approvals /Consents	CAPEX		Client notified	Cleared			
38			Low < 10days	Low < 10days	Low < £50k						
39	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium £50k - £250k								
40	High > 20 days	High > 20 days	High > £250k								
38	1D	CEC COMMENTS INDICATE THAT PLANNING SUMMIT MEETING MINUTES HAVE NOT BEEN CASCADED TO REVIEWERS. REQUESTS REPORT FROM SDS TO JUSTIFY SHANDWICK PLACE CHARETTE DISMISSAL. LETTER ISSUED TO TIE 22/12/06.				No					
39	1D	SDS REQUIRE CLARIFICATION FROM TIE ON AGREEMENT PROVISIONS FOR MAINTENANCE ACCESS. RFI ISSUED 15/09/06 TO CLARIFY				No					
40	1D	CEC REQUESTED ALIGNMENT REVISION TO ACCOMMODATE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC LANES WHICH MAY REQUIRE PERMANENT REMOVAL OF HISTORIC STEPS. RFI ISSUED BY SDS ON 13/11/06 TO CLARIFY REQUIREMENTS FOR PERMANENT REMOVAL OF STEPS.				Yes			19		
41	2A	NETWORK RAIL PROJECT ADDING TRACK AND PLATFORM ZERO UNDER CONSTRUCTION. SDS REQUESTED AS-BUILT CAD FILES ON 2/5/06 FROM NETWORK RAIL.				No					
42	3A	11 UPPER COLTBRIDGE TERRACE: PROXIMITY OF NEW HOUSE EXTENSION TO LOD. TO BE SURVEYED AND REVIEWED BY STRUCTURES TEAM.				No					
43	3A	ST. GEORGES AS-BUILTS. RFI SUBMITTED 5/12/06				No					
44	3A	COLTBRIDGE VIADUCT - RED CHARETTE OUTPUT & PLANNING SUMMIT REQUIREMENTS.				Yes			21		
45	3A	ROSEBURN - PINCH POINT LAND BOUNDARIES. RFI SUBMITTED 27/11/06. PARTIAL RESPONSE RECEIVED. INFO NO MORE DETAILED THAN THAT ALREADY HELD.				No					
46	3A	CRAIGLEITH DRIVE BRIDGE - RED CONFIRMATION OF SDS PROPOSALS REQUIRED FOR PEDESTRIAN & CYCLIST WALKWAYS - CHARETTE OPTION APPROVAL REQUIRED.				Yes			51		
47	3A	HOLIDAY INN ACCESS BRIDGE "AS-BUILTS" REQUESTED. RFI SUBMITTED 20/11/06.				No					
48	3A	ARTIFICIAL BADGER SETT LAND OWNERSHIP. RFI SUBMITTED 27/11/06.				No					
49	3A	DRYLAW DRIVE - CONFIRMATION REQUIRED FROM TIE THAT EXISTING BRIDGE CAN BE REMOVED. SDS ISSUED RFI 21/11/06.				No					
50	3A	ROSEBURN CORRIDOR- LIAISON REQUIRED WITH HMRI CAUSING DELAYS BRIDGE PROTECTION & DEMARCATION ISSUES				Yes			10-45		
51	3A	VEHICLE ACCESS FOR MAINTENANCE - BELIEVE BEST SOLUTION IS FOR OPERATOR/INFRACO TO MAINTAIN WHOLE CORRIDOR (RFI) INC. CYCLEWAY. CEC TO CONFIRM VEHICLE REQUIREMENTS. RFI SUBMITTED 23/10/06.				No					
52	3A	REQUEST - STOPPING FOR TRAMS - REQUIRED. SPEED & NOISE IMPLICATIONS? RFI SUBMITTED 23/11/06.				No					
53	3A	ENVIRONMENTAL DISTURBANCE TO TREES ETC. CEC SUPPORT COMPLETE REMOVAL (APART FROM HEALTHY TREES CLEAR OF TRAMWAY) PR & BETTERMENT ISSUE. CEC DO NOT SUPPORT COPPING DUE TO MAINTENANCE ISSUES. DELAY LHMP SUBMISSION AGREED WITH CEC. TO BE RESOLVED INTERNALLY WITH SDS & TIE - INTERIM COPY SUBMITTED FOR DISCUSSION.				No					
54	3A	LHMP - SNH REQUEST BADGER TUNNELS EVERY 100m. SDS VIEW IS THIS IS EXCESSIVE ON GROUNDS OF COST/BENEFIT. TO BE RESOLVED.				No					
55	3A	FOOTPATH/CYCLEWAY DEMARCATION SOLUTION. HMRI RESOLUTION NEED TO AVOID SPEED RESTRICTIONS & BALANCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPAC. BOTTLENECK & BRIDGE NARROWINGS - DEMARCATION VERSUS SPEED ISSUE				Yes			10		

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
2	Sub Section	Issue	Priority Considerations. Impact on:-			Status (Design Delay)	Dates		Days Aged	SDS Owner	Comments
3			Design Time	Approvals /Consents	CAPEX		Client notified	Cleared			
56			Low < 10days	Low < 10days	Low < £50k						
57	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium £50k - £250k	58	High > 20 days	High > 20 days	High > £250k				
56	3A	NOISE BARRIER/FENCE DESIGN ONGOING. DIFFERENCES IN DESIGN CRITERIA DEMANDED BY ES AND N & V POLICY. EXTENT OF MEASURES REQUIRED AND OTHER CONFLICTING ISSUES (e.g. AMENITY, ENVIRONMENT/HABITAT APPROVAL) - HUGEYLY DEPENDANT ON DESIGN CRITERIA TO BE USED. LETTER TO TIE 21/12/06				Yes			47		
57	3A	NOISE LEVELS FOR TRAM REQUIRED - POTENTIAL SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON LEVELS OF NOISE MITIGATION REQUIRED. RFI 16/11/06 & RFI 21/12/06				Yes			47		
58	3A	DRAINAGE - PREFERRED SOLUTION REQUIRES AGREEMENT WITH SCOTTISH WATER FOR 5no OUTFALL LOCATIONS / CEC. PUMPING REQUIRED NO MATTER WHAT - QUEENSFERRY ROAD BRIDGE AREA FLOODS. RFI SUBMITTED 09/11/06. CEC FLOW RATE REQUIREMENTS NECESSITATE ATTENUATION AND LARGE PIPES. GREATER CONSTRUCTION COSTS & TIME.				Yes			32		
59	3A	LHMP - SNH REQUEST ADDITIONAL ARTIFICIAL BADGER SETT FOR ROSEBURN. NOT IDENTIFIED IN PARLIAMENT AND SDS BELIEVE NOT REQUIRED.				No					
60	3B	ROSEBURN CORRIDOR LIAISON REQUIRED WITH HMRI CAUSING DELAYS BRIDGE PROTECTION & DEMARCATION ISSUES.				No					
61	3B	FERRY ROAD (RED) ONGOING ISSUES - CAPACITY & MODELLING TO BE PROVEN.				No					
62	3B	MODELLING - AGREE FLOWS USED WITH CEC				No					
63	3C	MORRISON SUPERMARKET - PREDICTED TRAFFIC FLOWS & PROPOSED UTILITY CHANGES. RFI SUBMITTED 5/12/06				No					
64	3C	DRAINAGE INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM CEC FOR SECTION 3B. RFI SUBMITTED 03/10/06 AND RE-AFIRMED ON 1/12/07 VIA SECOND RFI.				No					
65	3C	WEL DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS - PASSIVE PROVISION FOR ACCESS. RFI SUBMITTED 23/10/06 & 5/12/06.				No					
66	3C	WEL - WATER FEATURE DEVELOPMENT. DEVELOPMENT INFO RECEIVED. WEL POSITION OF TRAM IS APPROX 2m DIFFERENT TO DESIGN LOCATION. WILL RESTRICT WORKING SPACE. IMPLICATIONS NEED TO BE CHECKED. TIE NEED TO CONFIRM TIMESCALES FOR DEVELOPMENT. ie PRE/POST TRAM.				No					
67	3C	WEST HARBOUR ROAD - CONFIRMATION OF CEC REQUIREMENTS FOR CLOSING OF ACCESSES AND PROVIDING ALTERNATIVE ROUTE TO PICKFORDS. RFI SUBMITTED 13/11/06. TIE HAVE MET WITH TENANTS ALONG WHR. SDS REQUEST OUTCOME OF DISCUSSIONS.				No					
68	3C	GRANTON TERMINUS ARRANGEMENT - CONFIRMATION OF PREFERRED LAYOUT. EXISTING & PROPOSED ACCESSES SEVERELY CONSTRAINED SOLUTION.				No					
69	3C	GRANTON SQUARE - CONFIRMATION OF POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL SCOPE TO REDEVELOP GRANTON SQUARE. RFI SUBMITTED 14/11/06.				No					
70	3C	RED SUBSTATION CONFIRMATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF LOCATION OF SUBSTATION IS REQUIRED TO PROGRESS DETAILED DESIGN. DRAWINGS SENT TO CEC ON 14/12/06.									
71	5A	SIDE AGREEMENT WITH SRU STILL NOT FINALISED/SIGNED. SDS REQUIRE AGREEMENT TO PROCEED AND CHANGE ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL DESIGN WORKS.SDS WORKING AT RISK UNTIL SIDE AGREEMENT RESOLVED.				Yes			30		
72	5A	TIE NEED TO ADVISE WHICH OF THE TWO PROPOSED FLOOD MITIGATION SCHEMES ARE REQUIRED IN THE VICINITY OF WATER OF LEITH TO SRU STADIUM. DESIGN OF RETAINING WALL ON HOLD. LETTER SENT TO TIE 26/09/06. RFI SENT TO TIE 29/11/06.				Yes			30		

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
2	Sub Section	Issue	Priority Considerations. Impact on:-			Status (Design Delay)	Dates		Days Aged	SDS Owner	Comments
3			Design Time	Approvals /Consents	CAPEX		Client notified	Cleared			
			Low < 10days	Low < 10days	Low < £50k						
		Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium 10 - 20 days	Medium £50k - £250k							
			High > 20 days	High > 20 days	High > £250k						
73	5B	AWAITING NWR/TIE/SDS AGREEMENT FOR ALIGNMENT/BRIDGE DESIGN - AFFECTS BAIRD DRIVE RETAINING WALL, BALGREEN ROAD RETAINING WALL. STOP & SUBSTATION.ALL ON HOLD. LETTER SENT TO TIE 09/11/06. REPORT SENT TO TIE 21/12/06 FOR NWR & SCOTTISH EXECUTIVE.				Yes			30		
74	5B	DESIGN DELAYED DUE TO CHARETTE. DESIGN NOW TO PROGRESS AS PER PD WITHOUT CYCLEWAY & WITH REVISED HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT				Yes			26		
75	5B	STOP POSITION MOVED 80m EAST - LETTER ISSUED TO TIE ULE90130-05-LET-00057 ON 19/01/07. CONFIRM "RED" CAN NOW BE LIFTED.				Yes			29		
76	5B	RFI RESPONSE REQUIRED TO HERMISTON GATE AS-BUILT UTILITIES ULE90130-05-RFI-00012				No					
77	5B	LETTER SENT TO TIE TO CONFIRM CENTRE POLES WILL BE USED ON THE BRIDGE. CONFIRM 'RED' CAN NOW BE LIFTED. ULE90130-05-LET-00058.				Yes			24		
78	5B	CHANGE ORDER/INSTRUCTION REQUIRED FOR CONFIRMATION OF REVISED LEVELS TO ACCOMMODATE PROPOSED MASTERPLAN FOR NEL. CRT44 SENT TO TIE 10/11/06.				No					
79	5C	RFI SENT TO TIE 19/12/06 RE CONSTRUCTION OF GYLE STOP RETAINING WALL				No					
80	5C	RFI RESPONSE REQUIRED TO RESOLVE LAND ISSUE FOR A8 RETAINING WALL ANCHORS. ULE90130-05-RFI-00013				No					
81	7A	EXCAVATION FOR TRACKFORM LIMITED TO 0.3m IN GOGARBURN STOP AREA. AWAITING FORMAL CONFIRMATION FROM JOHN LAWSON (CEC ARCHAEOLOGIST) OF EXCAVATION DEPTH TO PROGRESS TRACKFORM/VERTICAL ALIGNMENT DESIGN.				Yes			14		
82	7A	RBS - SDS HAVE BEEN VERBALLY ADVISED BY TIE OF THE REQUIREMENT TO PROVIDE LANDMARK/ BRANDED TRAMSTOP. NO AGREEMENT IS IN PLACE TO CLARIFY WHAT APPROVALS OF THE TRAMSTOP ARE REQUIRED. NEW REQUIREMENT FOR SDS & TIE TO ISSUE SDS INSTRUCTION/CHANGE NOTICE. RBS DESIGN INPUT WILL AFFECT STOP DESIGN PROGRAMME - RESPONSE REQUIRED TO PROPOSED REVISED PROGRAMME. LETTER ULE90130-SW-LET-00437				Yes			52		
83	7A	LETTER SENT TO TIE REF: NEWBRIDGE SPUR ON 14/12/06. TIE NEED TO CONFIRM 'RED' CAN NOW BE LIFTED. ULE90130-07-LET-00266				Yes			19		
84	7A	TIE TO CONFIRM HOW THE PARK & RIDE IS TO BE INDICATED ON SDS DRAWINGS. RFI SUBMITTED TO TIE ON 28/11/06				No					
85	7A	CULVERT NO.3 DESIGN AND EMBANKMENT DESIGN ON HOLD PENDING RESOLUTION RELATING TO COMPENSATORY FLOOD STORAGE. CEC TO ADVISE REQUIREMENTS & TIE TO CONFIRM LAND AGREEMENTS. LETTER SENT TO TIE 28/11/06. ADDITIONAL FLOOD MODELLING IS REQUIRED - CHANGE REQUEST TO BE SENT TO TIE SHORTLY.				Yes			13		
86	7A	BAA/ EARL INTERFACE IS ONGOING. AWAITING REVISED CHANGE NOTICE 018. LETTER SENT TO TIE 25/09/06				Yes			14		
87	7A	CHANGE REQUEST SENT TO TIE FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF PARK & RIDE				No					
88	7A	SECTION 7A - CHANGE ORDER REQUIRED FOR REVIEW OF THE FEASIBILITY STUDY. NOT IN THE SDS SCOPE OF WORKS. FEASIBILITY REPORT HAS BEEN PRODUCED BY GOGARBURN PARTNERSHIP GROUP. CRT50 SENT TO TIE 10/11/06.				No					