
From: Ney, Scott
Sent: 22 March 2008 09:14
To: Reynolds, Steve
Subject: FW: Technical Submission for 1B

See below. FYI related to novation and the dependence on Halcrow as I have previously indicated a concern. I talked to Andy C. about this (and he understands the constraints), and they are going to make folks aware and cover themselves. The issue is that as soon as we pass info over, their clock is ticking, and the more that comes in at one time, the more info they are going to be looking for from SDS to minimise review times (I'll call it somewhat of a shifting goal post in lieu of a moving target at this time). We (myself, Jim Guild who is Halcrow Roads DTL) had a good meeting with CEC on Wednesday to go through these issues, and I'll let you know if the rules change again moving forward. However, if we could be facing LD's moving forward, Halcrow need to have a stake in it for us to be able to accurately forecast dates. With Halcrow works being critical for others to complete their works (ie the roads impact on items such as lighting and OLE), we need to make sure that Halcrow are playing ball.

Please note that this is not intended to be a slight on the Halcrow roads team (as they are working very long hours and producing), it is more a symptom of how things have evolved and the fact that everything is now coming together at one time. Halcrow mgmt has recently (in the last couple months) removed one team from the project, and now only one team is trying to finish the entirety of the work for the project (roads and drainage and TRO). This is good in some aspects, but bad from a resource perspective.

As much as I have pushed and noted to Kevin, I just do not believe that there is enough resource working on the project to meet the current demands. We can discuss more on Tuesday as you see fit.

Have a good Easter.

SMN

From: Ney, Scott
Sent: 20 March 2008 11:37
To: Perry, Kevin
Cc: Chandler, Jason; Dolan, Alan; 'Guild, Jim'
Subject: FW: Technical Submission for 1B

Kevin -

Please see below from CEC. We had a productive meeting with CEC yesterday to close out issues, but there is still work to be done. I have asked Jim to consider the outcomes from yesterday and get a programme together for closeout early next week.

I would appreciate your confirmation in getting him any required resource to assist in this so as not to impact any of the other deliverables that his team are working to complete. Jim is aware of the needs coming out of the meeting yesterday.

SMN

From: Andy Conway [<mailto:Andy.Conway@edinburgh.gov.uk>]
Sent: 20 March 2008 10:05
To: Tony Glazebrook
Cc: Tom Hickman; Duncan Fraser; Damian Sharp; Gavin Murray; Susan Clark; Ney, Scott
Subject: Technical Submission for 1B

Tony,

Just a short note to update you on progress on the submission for 1B.

SDS submitted section 1B to CEC for technical approval on 20 February 2008. Our 8 week approval period should conclude on 16 April, however, as you are aware there have been issues raised by the Road Safety Audit which results in SDS revising the design for that section and further information that we require (which was the subject of our meeting with SDS and *tie* yesterday). At that meeting, SDS stated that it was likely that we would not receive the outstanding information for a further two weeks, which would be around the 2 April. I suspect that we'll not be able to turn it around in the remaining two weeks because of this delay. We'll do everything we can, but I believe that it will take us about 4 weeks (which will be around 16 April).

Regards

Andy Conway

Tram Co-ordinator / City of Edinburgh Council
Level 1 / Citypoint / 65 Haymarket Terrace / Edinburgh / EH12 5HD
Mobile: [REDACTED]
Citypoint (tie): [REDACTED] City Chambers: [REDACTED]
andy.conway@edinburgh.gov.uk

This email and files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended for the sole use of the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this eMail in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it without using, copying, storing, forwarding or disclosing its contents to any other person.

The Council has endeavoured to scan this eMail message and attachments for computer viruses and will not be liable for any losses incurred by the recipient.
