
From: Reynolds, Steve
Sent: 25 March 2008 15:03
To: Gibb, David
Subject: FW: SDS Prolongation and Disruption Claim

The original offer of settlement from Geoff Gilbert. Note the 18 August limit. Key to the debate has to be that at that date there was no agreement on the bridges change. - no instruction given on the basis of the request (I believe). The change was only instructed once funding became available (~ Sep 07). Hence the events referred to should be covered by the time limit I would argue. £204k for CR278 should be pursued?

Steve

From: Reynolds, Steve
Sent: 06 September 2007 08:59
To: 'Geoff Gilbert'
Cc: Matthew Crosse; Ayres, Greg
Subject: RE: SDS Prolongation and Disruption Claim

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Geoff

Further to our exchange of emails below, I am pleased to advise that PB is in general agreement with the principles for settlement as set out in your email of 24 August ("the Principles"). You will however appreciate that PB must satisfy certain claims against it by Halcrow which relate to some of the issues raised in PB's claim letter dated 31 May. Accordingly, PB's agreement with the Principles is subject to finalisation of acceptable terms of settlement with Halcrow reflecting the Principles. Our discussions with Halcrow are quite well advanced in this regard and we are confident agreement will be reached with them in the very near future. I will keep you apprised of developments in this regard.

PB also believes that the payment terms set out in section (3) of your 24 August email require some refinement (in particular, the conditions precedent to tranche payments). This will need to be the subject of discussion as we finalise the formal settlement terms.

I trust that you will now put the proposed settlement to the Tram Board, and I look forward to receipt of the draft legal settlement referred to in the penultimate paragraph of your email of 24 August. In closing, I would also like to express my thanks for your constructive and helpful approach to the settlement dialogue, and your commitment to an amicable resolution of our differences."

Best regards - Steve

From: Geoff Gilbert [mailto:Geoff.Gilbert@tie.ltd.uk]
Sent: 24 August 2007 16:07
To: Reynolds, Steve
Cc: Ayres, Greg; Matthew Crosse
Subject: SDS Prolongation and Disruption Claim

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Proposed Settlement of SDS Provider Claim

Steve

Further to our discussions last week I set out below the principles of the agreement we reached.

1) **Basis of Claim Settlement**

The agreed payment in the sum of £2.5 million is to be in full and final settlement of all issues raised in your claim letters dated 31 May 2007 and 28th June 2007 (and attached materials). This addresses all delay, prolongation and disruption issues arising from the various Heads Of Claim set out in your submissions i.e. Critical Issues, Changes, Charettes, Additional Scope, Consents, Third Party Agreements and Third Party Developer Emerging Designs etc. All these claims are extinguished and there will be no further delay, prolongation or disruption claims from SDS Provider in respect of any issues arising up to and including 18 August 2007 under the Heads Of Claim detailed in your submissions or otherwise.

2) **Variation/Changes**

The settlement sum of £2.5 million includes all amounts accepted by **tie** as due in respect of the Changes and Proposed Changes referred to in the appended list together with all related SDS Provider costs in respect of:-

- all SDS Provider Services and Deliverables necessary to redesign the Edinburgh Tram Network to implement the changes to the Depot resulting from the value engineering exercises undertaken by the Project and to take advantage of the removal of the EARL constraints. This includes the raising of the Depot level, rerouting of utility diversions, rearrangement of PWay, replacement of the piled wall along the A8 with soil stabilisation and all other related changes referred to in the various meetings held on the subject of Depot Works (Note:- Details to be included in legal settlement letter);
- providing all technical support on and off site required to address any utilities design issues arising from the MUDFA works and resolution by the SDS Provider of any technical queries arising from the MUDFA works contractor's use of SDS Provider design within the timescale necessary to avoid delays to the progress of the MUDFA and tram infrastructure installation works;
- all SDS Provider Services and Deliverables necessary to redesign the Edinburgh Tram Network for the omission of structure S33 (Overbridge at Ingliston), including relocation of the Ingliston tramstop, liaison and co-ordination with the Ingliston Park and Ride designer.

3) **Payment Of The Settlement Sum**

The Settlement Sum of £2.5 million will be paid by **tie** pursuant to the terms of the SDS contract in four tranches as follows:-

- the first tranche payment of £500k under the October 2007 payment certification issued by **tie**;
- the second tranche payment of £500k in the payment certification issued by **tie** following the satisfactory delivery to **tie** of the last completed Utilities issued for construction design package for Phase 1a to support **tie's** MUDFA contract with AMIS;
- the third tranche payment of £500k in the payment certification issued by **tie** following the satisfactory delivery to **tie** of the last completed Detailed Design package for Phase 1a as issued by SDS under a Notification Of Completion letter;
- subject to achieving the criteria for the third tranche payment the fourth and final tranche payment of £1.0 million in the payment certification issued by **tie** following the satisfactory delivery to **tie** of the last completed Design Assurance package for Phase 1a.

We confirm that SDS will be delivering all remaining Services and Deliverables to the contractual SDS Provider Version 18 programme. As we have advised in our various discussions, delivery of all the designs to the fixed programme dates is required to enable the Project to successfully close its procurement phase by 28th January 2008 and avoid additional costs which would jeopardise the affordability of the scheme.

We will prepare the draft legal settlement within the next 10 days for agreement with you by early September. We will then put the proposed settlement to the Tram Project Board in September, following whose approval the first tranche payment will be made as outlined above.

I would like to thank you for the pragmatic approach you have applied to achieve this draft settlement.

Regards

Geoff Gilbert - Project Commercial Director
TRAM Project

tie limited
Verity House
19 Haymarket Yards
Edinburgh
EH12 5BH

tel [REDACTED]
mo [REDACTED]

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.