
From: Tony Glazebrook
Sent: 21 June 2007 08:47
To: David Crawley
Subject: FW: Project programme

From: Trudi Craggs
Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2007 8:46:30 AM
To: Matthew Crosse; Susan Clark; Geoff Gilbert
Cc: Tony Glazebrook
Subject: Project programme
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Matthew/Susan/Geoff

I refer to the V16 programme from SDS. I have had a brief look at this to understand the link between the design assurance packages, the prior approvals, the submission of design to tie etc.

Frankly this is not an easy exercise however it seems that there is very little logic or connection between these activities and that may add risk or delay to the programme. In addition the time allocated to certain tasks is inconsistent, not in accordance with agreed protocols and does not in some cases reflect reality. I don't think some of the dates reflect our programme - Geoff can you let me have a copy of the current version of the programme albeit it may not have been formally signed off - this would let me get a feel for float/slippage/etc.

Is someone (Tom?) sense-checking/interrogating the programme to pick these things up and challenge them?

I am meeting with Tony and Gavin this morning to discuss this further and after that I would be happy to mark up the v16 programme with comments/concerns if that would help.

Trudi