
From: Jim Harries [jim.harries@transdevplc.co.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2007 14:48
To: David.Crawley@tie.uk.ltd
Cc: ney@pbworld.com; Matthew Crosse; Dorrington, Kim (Edinburgh Tram); ChandlerJ@pbworld.com
Subject: Issues from SDS's IDR meeting on 21 Feb

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

David

It is unfortunate that tie was not represented at SDS's IDR meeting today, despite the formal and informal invitations that SDS issued to tie. tie's new thrust to recognise the importance of engineering issues associated with the project has had a bit of a knock as a result. However, key issues from this meeting for tie follow.

There was a common thread at the SDS IDR meeting where SDS believes that tie is holding up the process in certain areas, or tie/CEC has intimated scope changes that generate uncertainty and unnecessary rework.

Some specifics follow:

1. Is length of tram to be 44m(?) for depot design (adding a bay to the workshop). Failure to issue the instruction is likely to result in abortive costs for tie as design is currently being developed around 40m trams. A long standing issue between tie and SDS.
2. Airport flight path information and impact on depot
3. Scope issues on the role of D&W in connection with building fixings
4. approved DAP comments are awaited from tie - when?
5. Issues with DC link GRS-NEW should 1b not be built (may need another substation!)
6. Stray current code of practice impasse - SDS awaiting tie
7. All of the "yellow boxes" (Matthew knows what we are talking about - I can explain further)

SDS held a professional and well structured meeting that was very informative. Don't miss the next one, probably on 21 March (TBC)!

All the best
Jim Harries

Mobile 07 [REDACTED]
City Point, 65 Haymarket Terrace, Edinburgh EH12 5HD