From:	Ware, Julian [Julian.Ware@KPMG.co.uk]
Sent:	12 January 2007 12:16
То:	Ramsay J (John); Ruane, Nick
Cc:	Davis L (Lorna); Savage N (Nadia); Braun V (Volker); McQuade G (Gerard); Malcolm,
	Craig; Cameron, Uilleam; Barlex, Ian
Subject:	RE: Cost breakdown - Phase 1a / 1b

This email has been received from an external party and

has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

John,

Thank you for this, which we discussed on the phone.

1st point – it would be useful to see the answer as made by the Minister in due course. We also noted in preparing the background note that **tie** had placed a considerable amount of information in the public domain.

 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} points – A lower cost for Phase 1a is good news for us, but we should look carefully at the detail of what **tie** are suggesting. We need to make sure that the cost quoted for 1a is the cost for which 1a could be build alone – and that there are portions of fixed costs in 1b.

4th and 5th points – these are key for the next stage of the work. We obviously want to get information from the bids as soon as it can be reasonably digested by **tie**. And, depending on what we then see, there may be a headroom issue with a 20% OB allowance. A preliminary discussion with **tie** would be helpful.

My suggestion to you was a meeting in the week beginning 22 January, first with TS and then with TS and **tie**. But I think you may be on leave that week.

Julian Ware



From: John.Ramsay@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:John.Ramsay@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk] Sent: 12 January 2007 11:22

To: Ruane, Nick; Ware, Julian

Cc: Lorna.Davis@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk; Nadia.Savage@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk; Volker.Braun@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk; Gerard.McQuade@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk **Subject:** RE: Cost breakdown - Phase 1a / 1b

Folks

Julian / Nick

1st: thanks for the table etc, most useful.

2nd: At Wednesday's 4 weekly progress report meeting, tie confirmed that their official cost split between Phases 1a and 1b was that used in the DFBC, respectively £500m and £92m - regardless of other previous cost splits - so we should effectively use these as the base for all our considerations.

3rd: Tie also promised to provide a disaggregated breakdown table and programme on this basis by middle of next week but the respective risk elements might take longer.

4th: This should allow also allow tie to update the overall affordability position following receipt of the 1st pass Infraco bids to be opened today, and ourselves to review our headroom analysis.

Finally: You might wish to note that there is a degree of residual sensitivity within tie regarding our preference for a higher level of OB at this stage (20% at least) I emphasised that this only remained acceptable so long as tie pursues a robust approach to risk management levels - something that Cyril Sweett are keen to pursue and to which tie indicated a willingness to assist with over the next few weeks.

John Ramsay Project manager - Edinburgh Trams Rail Directorate Transport Scotland Buchanan House Glasgow G4 0HF

Tel

mobile

-----Original Message----- **From:** Ruane, Nick [mailto:Nick.Ruane@KPMG.co.uk] **Sent:** 09 January 2007 17:28 **To:** Ramsay J (John); Davis L (Lorna) **Cc:** Ware, Julian **Subject:** Cost breakdown - Phase 1a / 1b

This email has been received from an external party and

has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

John / Lorna

Following discussions with Julian, please find attached attached an amended cost breakdown table, which agrees with Stewart's figures of £512m (although here £513m due to rounding).

Our figure of £522m was derived by taking £512m as a starting point, to which we added £9.3m. This was because we were advised by Geoff Gilbert at our clarification meeting on 27 November that £9.3m of costs were being shifted from 1b to 1a - we noted at the time that this would have a detrimental effect on 1a's BCR, but not overall affordability of 1a within a £545m envelope. Our minutes reflected this as follows -

"Costs being moved from 1b to 1a

JW stated that moving costs from 1a to 1b would have a detrimental effect on 1a's BCR, which may cause problems for the scheme. GG confirmed that $\pounds 9.3m$ of costs were being moved from 1b to 1a - $\pounds 2m$ related to the completion of detailed design for 1b, the remaining $\pounds 7.3m$ to diversion / utilities works costs being incurred concurrently for 1b."

We were not subsequently advised that this £9.3m had been reinstated from 1a to 1b.

I hope this helps, but please give me a call if you wish to discuss.

regards
Nick Ruane
Associate
Corporate Finance
KPMG LLP
Saltire Court
20 Castle Terrace
Edinburgh EH1 2EG
Tel +44 (0)
Fax +44 (0) 131 527 6644
nick.ruane@kpmg.co.uk
KPMG Corporate Finance is a division of KPMG LLP which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority for investment business activities

<<Cost breakdown for parliamentary question v2 - £513m.doc>>

This email has been sent from KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership, or from one of the companies within its control (which include KPMG Audit Plc, KPMG United Kingdom Plc and KPMG UK Limited). The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.

KPMG United Kingdom PLC, registered in England No 03513178 Registered office: 8 Salisbury Square, London EC4Y 8BB

KPMG UK Limited, registered in England No 3580549 Registered office: Aquis Court, 31 Fishpool Street, St Albans AL3 4RF

KPMG LLP, registered in England No 0C301540 Registered office: 8 Salisbury Square, London, EC4Y 8BB

KPMG Audit Plc, registered in England No 3110745 Registered office: 8 Salisbury Square, London EC4Y 8BB

PLEASE NOTE: THE ABOVE MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM THE INTERNET. On entering the GSI, this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.

In case of problems, please call your organisational IT Helpdesk.

The MessageLabs Anti Virus Service is the first managed service to achieve the CSIA Claims Tested Mark (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007), the UK Government quality

mark initiative for information security products and services. For more information about this please visit www.cctmark.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return. Communications with the Scottish Executive may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Executive.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. On leaving the GSI this email was certified virus free.

The MessageLabs Anti Virus Service is the first managed service to achieve the CSIA Claims Tested Mark (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007), the UK Government quality mark initiative for information security products and services. For more information about this please visit www.cctmark.gov.uk

PLEASE NOTE: THE ABOVE MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM THE INTERNET.

On entering the GSI, this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. In case of problems, please call your organisational IT Helpdesk.

The MessageLabs Anti Virus Service is the first managed service to achieve the CSIA Claims Tested Mark (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007), the UK Government quality mark initiative for information security products and services. For more information about this please visit www.cctmark.gov.uk