

FAO Mr Scott McFadzen  
Bilfinger Berger Siemens Consortium  
Lochside House  
3 Lochside Way  
Edinburgh Park  
Edinburgh  
EH12 9DT

Our Ref: PRO.Infraco.2037/BB

Date: 18<sup>th</sup> April 2008

Dear Sirs,

**Edinburgh Tram Network  
Mobilisation and Advance Works Contract  
Infraco Project Offices**

We refer to your letter reference 25.1.201/JC/117 dated 17 April 2008 and comment as follows:-

As part of the mobilisation and Advance Works contract BBS are required to set up the Infraco site establishment in accordance with the programme. These works are currently some 5 months behind programme. BBS submitted a sketch layout of the area you proposed to use on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2008. The sketch clearly shows that the area proposed was directly on top of the proposed manhole location for a 1525mm sewer diversion. BBS are aware of the requirement for this work to be carried out.

A review of your proposal identified the following additional issues:-

- 1) There is a requirement for BBS to submit a Construction Management Plan that includes details on mobilisation. The detailed mobilisation plan should "incorporate comprehensive details of all aspects of mobilisation including, but not limited to, number of work sites, the facilities on each, a general arrangement drawing of main sites, lay down areas, materials storage, welfare and car parking. This should detail timescales and immediate resource availability and should also provide details of the permissions required and assumptions made." Although we have received your draft Mobilisation Plan, it lacks "comprehensive" detail for your site establishment.
- 2) The sketch identifies a new entrance way on to the site. The entrance also clashes with the proposed route of the diversion and also with the proposed drive pit. These clashes are clearly identifiable from the drawing. This entrance would require traffic management for which a four week notification period would be required. No details of this have been received from BBS.
- 3) Given the close proximity to the network rail line, a possession would be required for the craning operation for which a twelve week notice period is required. No details have been forthcoming from BBS on this. We have repeatedly expressed our concern with respect to a general lack off possession planning by BBS.

Direct dial: XXXXXXXXXX  
e-mail: [Robert.bell@tie.ltd.uk](mailto:Robert.bell@tie.ltd.uk)  
web: [www.tie.ltd.uk](http://www.tie.ltd.uk)

**CEC01293275\_0001**

4) No details have been received from BBS on power (or location of the plinth for a generator), telecom, water or sewer connection details.

In a spirit of co-operation we have pointed out to BBS that a site at Saughton may be an alternative proposal you wish to consider. It has similar issues to be addressed as those you have yet to address at Gogar, but has other advantages (in particular there is no need for Network Rail possessions).

Notwithstanding BBS' delay and the lack of detail given to date on this matter, we shall review the sewer diversion to try and accommodate the establishment at Gogar. We will continue to work with BBS to achieve a resolution to your difficulty, but we require any future proposal to be submitted in accordance with the Employers Requirements. In the meantime if BBS have a fully detailed, workable proposal you wish to submit, please do so as a matter of urgency.

Yours Faithfully,

Robert Bell