

SDS NOVATION – ALIGNMENT OF SDS DESIGNS WITH INFRACO PROPOSALS

PLAN FOR DELIVERING ALIGNMENT

Date:- 21/2/08

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The required outcome is alignment of the SDS Design and Infraco Proposals. Full alignment is unlikely to be delivered by contract award. What must be delivered and reflected in the Novation Agreement is identification of the misalignments and gaps together with agreed solutions for their resolution and a programme for delivering them.
- 1.2 The Plan sets out the approach, process, programme and team and their roles to deliver alignment.

2.0 Approach

- 2.1 The three aspects where misalignment and gaps arise are:-
 - A Civils – mismatches between the SDS design and the Infraco Proposals
 - B Systems – mismatches between the performance specs or procurement specs and Infraco Proposals
 - C Interfaces - conflicts or gaps between BBS Infraco Proposals for systems and the civils work to which this relates
- 2.2 The starting point (Base Documents) for comparison in each case are:-
 - A Civils – SDS Design
 - B Systems – Infraco Proposals
 - C Interfaces - Infraco Proposals
- 2.3 In each area the approach is to identify, on the basis of each parties knowledge or an intuitive basis, misalignments and gaps by priority i.e. those items that pose the biggest threat to programme and or require the largest amount of work to resolve.
- 2.4 Known areas misalignment and gaps are:-
 - A Civils:-
 - BBS ‘top down’ approach to road reconstruction
 - SDS to add others*
 - B Systems:-
 - Auto tension OLE system on street sections
 - SDS to add others*
 - C Interfaces:-
 - SDS to add others*

SDS NOVATION – ALIGNMENT OF SDS DESIGNS WITH INFRACO PROPOSALS

PLAN FOR DELIVERING ALIGNMENT

2.5 Separate teams are to be established for each aspect (A, B, C) to deliver and conclude the process in that area.

2.6 Once identified tie will instruct either:-

- A change to the SDS design, or further SDS design work
- A change to the ERs
- A change to the Infraco Proposals
- Or some combination of the three.

3.0 Process

3.1 The steps in the process are:-

1. Briefing of teams for each area on objective, process and programme
2. Teams meet to review and identify mismatches and gaps and recommend actions to close
 - a. Initially a prioritised long list of mismatches and gaps
 - b. Recommendations for closing
 - c. Steps and programme for closing
 - d. Implications for consents, CEC Prior and Technical consents in particular
 - e. Implications for construction programme
3. Review of prioritised lists and recommendations (tie, SDS, BBS)
4. tie instruction on recommendations (as noted in 2.6 above)
5. Relevant party implements the tie instruction

Further detail to be added by SDS

3.2 The decision criteria to be applied for step 4 are:-

- 1.

4.0 Programme

4.1 The programme for delivering the alignment process to step 4 above is as set out in Appendix A.

Appendix A to be added by SDS

5.0 Team

5.1 The teams assigned to this exercise are:-

SDS NOVATION – ALIGNMENT OF SDS DESIGNS WITH INFRACO PROPOSALS

PLAN FOR DELIVERING ALIGNMENT

Overall management of programme – SR, SB, MF

For each area:-

- A Civils – ??????
- B Systems – Andy Steele?????
- C Interfaces - ??????