From: Anthony Rush [rush_aj@█████████
Sent: 20 May 2010 08:29
To: Richard Jeffrey; Steven Bell
Cc: Blair Anderson; Dennis Murray; Andrew Fitchie; Brandon Nolan
Subject: SDS

Our meeting yesterday confirmed clearly that neither BSC nor SDS see themselves responsible for the design, managing the design or coordinating the design (including properly programming it). I guess this view is predicated on the design review workshop/alignment provisions etc.

Their new man, Ed Kirsman admits his private view that the design is a disaster (to the point that he wishes he wasn't here). He and Blair were pressing me to get the design from Russell Road to Balgreen scrapped and replaced with an elevated deck. The concept appears to be so obvious that I assume it has been thought about and discounted for good reason.

The track saga is very obscure and obtuse - I am at a total loss (as was Ed)

Jason has no urgency - not able to present a timetable for key deliverables.

I have to say the Contract is fragmented - bits in the terms - bits in the SDS Agreement - bits in the Novation Agreement - bits in various schedules - bits in regulations.

What I am minded is that we put a team of one technical person and one quantity surveyor to work to produce a report and recommendations on design.

In the meantime we should inform BSC that we will not pay any additional fee for aligning track design on the basis that they clearly haven't

Tony
Sent using my BlackBerry® from Orange

BoW Tel██████████
Mobile ████████████
email rush_aj@████████